

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		1	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		1	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				100.0%			1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0				100.0%			1
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.0				100.0%			1
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.0			100.0%				1
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	5.0				100.0%			1
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0				100.0%			1

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CBE-UY 4113: Engineering Laboratory I

Class Number: 16157

Section: D

Primary Instructor: Wang, Xin

Response Rate: 10/13

		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	3.8	22.2%			33.3%	44.4%		9
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	1.9	20.0%	70.0%	10.0%				10
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	5.0					100.0%		10
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	3.7	10.0%	20.0%		30.0%	40.0%		10
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0		10.0%	20.0%	30.0%	40.0%		10
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.1		10.0%	10.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.9	10.0%	10.0%		40.0%	40.0%		10
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.2			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.9				10.0%	90.0%		10
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.1		10.0%	20.0%	20.0%	50.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.9		10.0%	30.0%	20.0%	40.0%		10
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	4.4				55.6%	44.4%		9
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	4.1		11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	44.4%		9



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CM-UY 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Class Number: 15748

Section: A4LB

Primary Instructor: Hagver, Rena

Response Rate: 11/13

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.7				27.3%	72.7%		11
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.4				63.6%	36.4%		11
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				9.1%	90.9%		11
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.8			9.1%		90.9%		11
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.5			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5			18.2%	9.1%	72.7%		11
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3			18.2%	36.4%	45.5%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0			36.4%	27.3%	36.4%		11
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	4.0			20.0%	60.0%	20.0%		5
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	4.2				80.0%	20.0%		5
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	4.4				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	3.2	20.0%		40.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.5		18.2%	36.4%	27.3%	18.2%		11
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.8				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.7		9.1%	36.4%	27.3%	27.3%		11
M. The Recitation Instructor									
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		5
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.0				100.0%			5
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	4.6				40.0%	60.0%		5
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	3.8			40.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CM-UY 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Class Number: 15750

Section: A1LB

Primary Instructor: Roy, Debasish

Response Rate: 12/18

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.9				8.3%	91.7%		12
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.3				75.0%	25.0%		12
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3			8.3%	58.3%	33.3%		12
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		12
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.2			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		12
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.7		16.7%	25.0%	33.3%	25.0%		12
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.6	8.3%	8.3%	25.0%	33.3%	25.0%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.4		25.0%	25.0%	33.3%	16.7%		12
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	4.1			25.0%	41.7%	33.3%		12
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	3.8		8.3%	25.0%	50.0%	16.7%		12
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	4.5			8.3%	33.3%	58.3%		12
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	3.0	8.3%	41.7%		41.7%	8.3%		12
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.6		25.0%	8.3%	50.0%	16.7%		12
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.3		8.3%		50.0%	41.7%		12
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.8		8.3%	25.0%	41.7%	25.0%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.4		8.3%	58.3%	16.7%	16.7%		12
M. The Recitation Instructor									
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.2			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		12
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	3.5		8.3%	41.7%	41.7%	8.3%		12
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	3.8			33.3%	50.0%	16.7%		12
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	3.6		16.7%	25.0%	41.7%	16.7%		12



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CM-UY 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Class Number: 15752

Section: A2LB

Primary Instructor: Chigirinskaya, Lyubov

Response Rate: 15/18

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.8				23.1%	76.9%		13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.2	7.7%			53.8%	38.5%		13
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7				30.8%	69.2%		13
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.8				15.4%	84.6%		13
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	3.8	7.7%	15.4%		38.5%	38.5%		13
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5			7.7%	38.5%	53.8%		13
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.7	7.7%	7.7%	30.8%	15.4%	38.5%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0		7.7%	23.1%	30.8%	38.5%		13
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	4.4		7.1%		42.9%	50.0%		14
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	4.3			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		14
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	4.6				35.7%	64.3%		14
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	3.8		15.4%	7.7%	53.8%	23.1%		13
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.2	15.4%	15.4%	7.7%	53.8%	7.7%		13
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.4			7.7%	46.2%	46.2%		13
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.8	7.7%		7.7%	69.2%	15.4%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.5	7.7%	15.4%	7.7%	53.8%	15.4%		13
M. The Recitation Instructor									
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5				50.0%	42.9%	7.1%	14
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	3.6	7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	50.0%	14.3%		14
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	4.2			21.4%	35.7%	42.9%		14
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	3.1	14.3%	28.6%		42.9%	14.3%		14



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CM-UY 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Class Number: 15757

Section: B5LB

Primary Instructor: Chigirinskaya, Lyubov

Response Rate: 17/20

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.6		5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	76.5%		17
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.1		11.8%		58.8%	29.4%		17
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				23.5%	70.6%	5.9%	17
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.8				17.6%	82.4%		17
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.4		5.9%	5.9%	29.4%	58.8%		17
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.5	11.8%	17.6%	11.8%	23.5%	35.3%		17
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.1	5.9%		11.8%	41.2%	35.3%	5.9%	17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4		5.9%	5.9%	35.3%	52.9%		17
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	4.5			20.0%	13.3%	66.7%		15
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	4.5			20.0%	13.3%	66.7%		15
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	4.5			13.3%	26.7%	60.0%		15
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	4.0		6.7%	20.0%	40.0%	33.3%		15
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3		11.8%		35.3%	52.9%		17
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.7				29.4%	70.6%		17
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.2	5.9%		11.8%	29.4%	52.9%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.1	5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	35.3%	47.1%		17
M. The Recitation Instructor									
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5			13.3%	20.0%	66.7%		15
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	3.9		13.3%	20.0%	26.7%	40.0%		15
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	3.9		13.3%	26.7%	20.0%	40.0%		15
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	3.7		13.3%	33.3%	20.0%	33.3%		15



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CM-UY 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Class Number: 15761

Section: B2LB

Primary Instructor: Roy, Debasish

Response Rate: 18/19

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.3	5.6%		11.1%	22.2%	61.1%		18
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.2		5.6%	5.6%	50.0%	38.9%		18
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5	5.6%			27.8%	66.7%		18
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.6		5.6%		27.8%	66.7%		18
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.0		11.1%	5.6%	55.6%	27.8%		18
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4			16.7%	22.2%	61.1%		18
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.9		16.7%	5.6%	44.4%	33.3%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0		11.1%	16.7%	33.3%	38.9%		18
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	4.3		12.5%		37.5%	50.0%		16
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	4.3				68.8%	31.3%		16
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	4.6				37.5%	62.5%		16
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	3.7	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	43.8%	18.8%	6.3%	16
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1		5.6%	11.1%	55.6%	27.8%		18
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.1		5.6%	16.7%	38.9%	38.9%		18
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.8	5.6%	5.6%	16.7%	44.4%	22.2%	5.6%	18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.8	5.6%	5.6%	22.2%	38.9%	27.8%		18
M. The Recitation Instructor									
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.4			6.3%	50.0%	43.8%		16
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	3.6	6.3%	6.3%	25.0%	50.0%	12.5%		16
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	3.6	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	56.3%	12.5%		16
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	3.5	12.5%	6.3%	25.0%	31.3%	25.0%		16



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

**Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CM-UY 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers**

Class Number: 15764

Section: C5LB

Primary Instructor: Chigirinskaya, Lyubov

Response Rate: 12/17

		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		12
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.3			8.3%	50.0%	41.7%		12
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		12
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.9				8.3%	91.7%		12
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.0	8.3%		16.7%	33.3%	41.7%		12
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3			16.7%	41.7%	41.7%		12
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0		8.3%	8.3%	58.3%	25.0%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.7	8.3%	8.3%	16.7%	41.7%	25.0%		12
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	4.3				75.0%	25.0%		12
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	4.2			8.3%	66.7%	25.0%		12
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	3.6	8.3%	16.7%	16.7%	25.0%	33.3%		12
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1			25.0%	41.7%	33.3%		12
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.6				41.7%	58.3%		12
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0			16.7%	66.7%	16.7%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.8		8.3%	25.0%	41.7%	25.0%		12
M. The Recitation Instructor									
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6				41.7%	58.3%		12
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.0			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		12
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	4.0			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		12
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	3.2		25.0%	41.7%	25.0%	8.3%		12



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CM-UY 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Class Number: 15766

Section: C1LB

Primary Instructor: Roy, Debasish

Response Rate: 16/20

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		16
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.3	6.3%		6.3%	37.5%	50.0%		16
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8			6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		16
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	5.0					100.0%		16
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.1		6.3%		75.0%	18.8%		16
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.2	6.3%		18.8%	18.8%	56.3%		16
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.2		12.5%	6.3%	31.3%	50.0%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1		12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	43.8%	6.3%	16
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	4.2			7.1%	64.3%	28.6%		14
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	4.2			6.7%	66.7%	26.7%		15
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	4.7			6.7%	13.3%	80.0%		15
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	3.6	7.1%	7.1%	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%		14
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1		6.3%	6.3%	56.3%	31.3%		16
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.8				18.8%	81.3%		16
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4			6.3%	43.8%	50.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.9	6.3%		18.8%	43.8%	31.3%		16
M. The Recitation Instructor									
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5		6.7%		33.3%	60.0%		15
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	3.9		13.3%		73.3%	13.3%		15
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	4.4			6.7%	46.7%	46.7%		15
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	4.1			26.7%	40.0%	33.3%		15



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CM-UY 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Class Number: 15770

Section: C4LB

Primary Instructor: Chigirinskaya, Lyubov

Response Rate: 6/7

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.3				66.7%	33.3%		6
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		6
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	5.0					100.0%		6
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	3.3		16.7%	33.3%	50.0%			6
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0		16.7%		50.0%	33.3%		6
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.3	16.7%	16.7%		50.0%	16.7%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.2		33.3%	16.7%	50.0%			6
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	3.3		33.3%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%		6
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	3.7		16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		6
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	2.3	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%	16.7%			6
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	2.8		50.0%	16.7%	33.3%			6
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.5			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.0		16.7%	66.7%	16.7%			6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	1.8	50.0%	16.7%		16.7%		16.7%	6
M. The Recitation Instructor									
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		6
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	2.5	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%			6
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	3.3	16.7%		16.7%	66.7%			6
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	2.7	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	33.3%			6



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CM-UY 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Class Number: 15899

Section: D3LB

Primary Instructor: Hagver, Rena

Response Rate: 14/20

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.9				7.1%	92.9%		14
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.4			7.1%	50.0%	42.9%		14
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				7.1%	92.9%		14
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.9				7.1%	92.9%		14
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.6				42.9%	57.1%		14
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		14
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5			7.1%	35.7%	57.1%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1			28.6%	28.6%	42.9%		14
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	4.4			8.3%	41.7%	50.0%		12
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	4.2			25.0%	33.3%	41.7%		12
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	4.4				58.3%	41.7%		12
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	4.1	8.3%			58.3%	33.3%		12
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1			28.6%	28.6%	42.9%		14
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.9				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.1			28.6%	35.7%	35.7%		14
M. The Recitation Instructor									
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				8.3%	91.7%		12
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.3		8.3%	8.3%	33.3%	50.0%		12
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	4.0		16.7%	8.3%	33.3%	41.7%		12
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	4.2		8.3%	8.3%	41.7%	41.7%		12



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CM-UY 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Class Number: 16017

Section: D4LB

Primary Instructor: Hagver, Rena

Response Rate: 20/21

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		20
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.1		15.0%	5.0%	40.0%	40.0%		20
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				15.0%	85.0%		20
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.9				10.0%	90.0%		20
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.2		10.0%	10.0%	35.0%	45.0%		20
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3		10.0%	5.0%	30.0%	50.0%	5.0%	20
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3			10.0%	45.0%	45.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.2		5.0%	10.0%	40.0%	40.0%	5.0%	20
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	4.7				30.0%	65.0%	5.0%	20
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	4.6				40.0%	55.0%	5.0%	20
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	4.7				25.0%	70.0%	5.0%	20
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	3.3	10.0%	20.0%	15.0%	30.0%	20.0%	5.0%	20
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.8		15.0%	5.0%	60.0%	15.0%	5.0%	20
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.9				5.0%	90.0%	5.0%	20
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3	5.0%		10.0%	25.0%	55.0%	5.0%	20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.9	5.0%	5.0%	25.0%	15.0%	40.0%	10.0%	20
M. The Recitation Instructor									
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		20
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.2		10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	45.0%	5.0%	20
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	4.2		5.0%	20.0%	20.0%	45.0%	10.0%	20
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	4.1			30.0%	20.0%	40.0%	10.0%	20



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CM-UY 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Class Number: 16143

Section: B3LB

Primary Instructor: Chigirinskaya, Lyubov

Response Rate: 14/20

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.6				35.7%	64.3%		14
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.6				35.7%	64.3%		14
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.2	7.1%	7.1%		28.6%	57.1%		14
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.1	7.1%	7.1%	14.3%	14.3%	57.1%		14
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	3.8	14.3%		7.1%	35.7%	35.7%	7.1%	14
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.9	14.3%		7.1%	28.6%	42.9%	7.1%	14
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.8	14.3%	7.1%		35.7%	35.7%	7.1%	14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1	14.3%			28.6%	50.0%	7.1%	14
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	4.0	7.1%	7.1%		50.0%	35.7%		14
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	3.9	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	42.9%	35.7%		14
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	4.4	7.1%	7.1%		7.1%	78.6%		14
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	3.4	14.3%		35.7%	28.6%	21.4%		14
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.9		7.1%	21.4%	28.6%	28.6%	14.3%	14
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	3.6	21.4%			35.7%	28.6%	14.3%	14
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.7	14.3%		21.4%	21.4%	35.7%	7.1%	14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.5	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	35.7%	7.1%	14
M. The Recitation Instructor									
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.9	14.3%		7.1%	35.7%	42.9%		14
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	3.4	7.1%	14.3%	28.6%	21.4%	21.4%	7.1%	14
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	3.8	14.3%		7.1%	42.9%	28.6%	7.1%	14
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	3.3	14.3%	7.1%	28.6%	21.4%	21.4%	7.1%	14



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CM-UY 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Class Number: 16264

Section: A5LB

Primary Instructor: Roy, Debasish

Response Rate: 14/16

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		14
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.6				42.9%	57.1%		14
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%		14
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		14
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.6			7.1%	28.6%	64.3%		14
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		14
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.9		14.3%	21.4%	21.4%	42.9%		14
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	4.3		7.1%		50.0%	42.9%		14
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	4.2			7.1%	64.3%	28.6%		14
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	4.6				42.9%	57.1%		14
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	3.8	7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	28.6%	35.7%		14
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4			7.1%	50.0%	42.9%		14
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4			14.3%	35.7%	50.0%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0		7.1%	14.3%	50.0%	28.6%		14
M. The Recitation Instructor									
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6		7.1%		21.4%	71.4%		14
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	3.7		21.4%	7.1%	50.0%	21.4%		14
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	3.9		14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%		14
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	3.3	7.1%	14.3%	35.7%	28.6%	14.3%		14



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CM-UY 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Class Number: 16368

Section: D5LB

Primary Instructor: Charnick, Suzanne

Response Rate: 17/20

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.8				17.6%	82.4%		17
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	3.9	6.3%		6.3%	68.8%	18.8%		16
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				17.6%	82.4%		17
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.3		12.5%		37.5%	50.0%		16
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	3.8	5.9%	11.8%	17.6%	23.5%	41.2%		17
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.6	11.8%	5.9%	11.8%	47.1%	23.5%		17
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.1			17.6%	47.1%	29.4%	5.9%	17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4			11.8%	35.3%	47.1%	5.9%	17
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	4.4			11.8%	35.3%	52.9%		17
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	4.2			11.8%	47.1%	29.4%	11.8%	17
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	4.5			5.9%	35.3%	58.8%		17
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	3.8			25.0%	31.3%	12.5%	31.3%	16
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.2		5.9%	11.8%	35.3%	41.2%	5.9%	17
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	3.9	5.9%	11.8%	5.9%	29.4%	35.3%	11.8%	17
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.2	5.9%		11.8%	29.4%	52.9%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.2	5.9%		23.5%	5.9%	58.8%	5.9%	17
M. The Recitation Instructor									
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				18.8%	81.3%		16
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.2		5.9%	11.8%	41.2%	41.2%		17
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	4.1		5.9%	17.6%	35.3%	41.2%		17
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	3.6		11.8%	29.4%	35.3%	17.6%	5.9%	17



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM-UY 1014: GENERAL CHEMISTRY I

Class Number: 16315

Section: A4LB

Primary Instructor: Charnick, Suzanne

Response Rate: 20/20

		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.7				30.0%	65.0%	5.0%	20
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	3.7	5.0%	5.0%	15.0%	55.0%	15.0%	5.0%	20
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6			5.0%	25.0%	65.0%	5.0%	20
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.0		15.0%	5.0%	40.0%	35.0%	5.0%	20
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	3.9		5.0%	30.0%	25.0%	30.0%	10.0%	20
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.7		15.0%	15.0%	50.0%	15.0%	5.0%	20
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.1	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	45.0%	35.0%	5.0%	20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.8	5.0%	5.0%	25.0%	25.0%	35.0%	5.0%	20
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1		5.0%	10.0%	50.0%	30.0%	5.0%	20
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	3.8		15.0%	10.0%	50.0%	20.0%	5.0%	20
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.7		15.0%	15.0%	45.0%	20.0%	5.0%	20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.8		10.0%	25.0%	35.0%	25.0%	5.0%	20
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	3.9	5.0%		20.0%	40.0%	25.0%	10.0%	20
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	4.1			15.0%	60.0%	20.0%	5.0%	20
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	3.7		10.0%	30.0%	30.0%	25.0%	5.0%	20



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CM-GY 9033: Physical Organic Chemistry

Class Number: 14883

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Ulman, Abraham

Response Rate: 8/9

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		8
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7				25.0%	62.5%	12.5%	8
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0			25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.9				12.5%	87.5%		8
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.9				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.9				12.5%	87.5%		8
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6				37.5%	62.5%		8
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.9				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		8

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CM-UY 4413: Polymer Science

Class Number: 15782

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Ulman, Abraham

Response Rate: 9/10

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					88.9%	11.1%	9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					88.9%	11.1%	9
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%	9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.4				55.6%	33.3%	11.1%
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.1	11.1%		55.6%	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.1			22.2%	44.4%	33.3%	
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3		11.1%		33.3%	55.6%	
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0		11.1%	11.1%	44.4%	33.3%	
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1			11.1%	66.7%	22.2%	
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0		22.2%		33.3%	44.4%	
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.1		11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	44.4%	
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.9		33.3%		11.1%	55.6%	



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6233: Training Systems in Organizations

Class Number: 14933

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Dorin, Philip A

Response Rate: 14/14

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			14
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		14
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8			7.1%	7.1%	85.7%		14
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7			7.1%	14.3%	78.6%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6		7.1%		14.3%	71.4%	7.1%	14
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7		7.1%		7.1%	85.7%		14
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.7			7.1%	14.3%	78.6%		14
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.6			7.1%	28.6%	64.3%		14
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.9				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		14
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		14
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8				21.4%	71.4%	7.1%	14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%		14

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Class Number: 20699

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering
CE-GY 7823: Forensic Engineering

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Morgan,Troy

Response Rate: 11/11

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		11	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		11	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8				18.2%	81.8%		11
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.3	9.1%	27.3%		36.4%	18.2%	9.1%	11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.2			18.2%	27.3%	36.4%	18.2%	11
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.9				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6			9.1%	18.2%	63.6%	9.1%	11
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4				54.5%	36.4%	9.1%	11
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7				27.3%	72.7%		11



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS-GY 5303: INTRODUCTION TO PROGRAMMING AND PROBLEM SOLVING

Class Number: 14823

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Ozkan,Ozgur

Response Rate: 9/14

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		11.1%			88.9%			9
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.9	11.1%	11.1%		33.3%	44.4%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.2			22.2%	33.3%	44.4%		9
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.4		44.4%		22.2%	33.3%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3		11.1%		33.3%	55.6%		9
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3		11.1%		33.3%	55.6%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4		11.1%		22.2%	66.7%		9
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.1	11.1%			44.4%	44.4%		9
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	3.3	11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	22.2%	22.2%		9
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.0	11.1%		11.1%	33.3%	44.4%		9
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	3.4	22.2%		22.2%	22.2%	33.3%		9
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	4.3		11.1%		33.3%	55.6%		9
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.6		11.1%		11.1%	77.8%		9
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		9
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	3.5			66.7%		22.2%	11.1%	9
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.9			44.4%	22.2%	33.3%		9
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.5			66.7%		22.2%	11.1%	9
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	4.0	11.1%	11.1%		22.2%	55.6%		9
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	3.4	11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	11.1%	33.3%		9
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1		11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	44.4%		9
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.1		11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	44.4%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0	11.1%		11.1%	33.3%	44.4%		9



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-GY 6003: Foundations of Computer Science

Class Number: 15417

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Ozkan,Ozgur

Response Rate: 31/35

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning							100.0%		31
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.2%						96.8%		31
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.7	9.7%	6.5%	22.6%	25.8%	35.5%			31
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.5	16.1%	12.9%	9.7%	25.8%	35.5%			31
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.1	6.5%	6.5%	6.5%	35.5%	45.2%			31
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4	3.2%		9.7%	29.0%	58.1%			31
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.8	9.7%	6.5%	6.5%	45.2%	29.0%	3.2%		31
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1	6.5%	6.5%	6.5%	32.3%	48.4%			31
E1. Online Course Module		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	3.7	12.9%	6.5%	12.9%	35.5%	32.3%			31
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	3.6	9.7%	9.7%	16.1%	41.9%	22.6%			31
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.0	3.2%	6.5%	12.9%	41.9%	32.3%	3.2%		31
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	3.9	3.2%	19.4%	3.2%	32.3%	41.9%			31
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	3.9	6.5%	9.7%	6.5%	38.7%	35.5%	3.2%		31
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	3.9	9.7%	6.5%	3.2%	48.4%	32.3%			31
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	3.6	10.0%	13.3%	3.3%	46.7%	23.3%	3.3%		30
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	3.8	3.2%	3.2%	35.5%	29.0%	29.0%			31
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.8	3.2%	3.2%	29.0%	32.3%	29.0%	3.2%		31
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.7		3.2%	45.2%	32.3%	19.4%			31
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	3.9	9.7%	3.2%	12.9%	29.0%	41.9%	3.2%		31
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	3.7	6.5%	6.5%	29.0%	25.8%	29.0%	3.2%		31
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.9	6.5%	6.5%	3.2%	58.1%	22.6%	3.2%		31
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0	6.5%	6.5%	6.5%	38.7%	41.9%			31
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.5	12.9%	12.9%	12.9%	29.0%	32.3%			31
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.8	9.7%	9.7%	3.2%	38.7%	35.5%	3.2%		31



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering
EE-UY 2013: Fundamentals of Electric Circuits I

Class Number: 15737

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Knox, Michael E.

Response Rate: 38/52

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		37
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		37
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				10.5%	89.5%		38
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8			2.6%	13.2%	84.2%		38
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.9				10.8%	89.2%		37
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8			5.4%	10.8%	83.8%		37
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8			2.7%	10.8%	86.5%		37
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.2		2.7%	16.2%	37.8%	43.2%		37
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.2	2.6%	7.9%		42.1%	47.4%		38
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7			2.6%	21.1%	76.3%		38
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8			5.3%	10.5%	84.2%		38
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8			2.6%	15.8%	81.6%		38
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H3. TA Evaluation									
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	4.8			2.8%	11.1%	86.1%		36
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	4.8			2.8%	13.9%	83.3%		36
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	4.9			2.8%	5.6%	91.7%		36
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering
EE-UY 2013: Fundamentals of Electric Circuits I

Class Number: 15738

Section: AREC

Primary Instructor: Knox, Michael E.

Response Rate: 4/52

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		4	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		4	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.5	50.0%			50.0%			4
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
H3. TA Evaluation		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4

Class Number: 15999

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Knox,Michael E.

Response Rate: 10/17

A. Procedures and Policies		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		10
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		10
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		10
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5				10.0%	30.0%	60.0%	10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5				10.0%	30.0%	60.0%	10
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1	10.0%	10.0%		20.0%	60.0%		10
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4				20.0%	20.0%	60.0%	10
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		10
H3. TA Evaluation		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	5.0					100.0%		9
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%		9
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%		9

Class Number: 15790

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering
EE-UY 4183: WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Knox,Michael E.

Response Rate: 18/18

		No			Yes			Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				100.0%				18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				100.0%				17
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				5.6%	94.4%		18
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.9				5.6%	94.4%		18
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5				47.1%	52.9%		17
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6			11.1%	16.7%	72.2%		18
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4			22.2%	11.1%	61.1%	5.6%	18
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6				38.9%	61.1%		18
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8				22.2%	77.8%		18
H3. TA Evaluation		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	4.6		5.6%	5.6%	16.7%	72.2%		18
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	4.6		5.6%	5.6%	16.7%	72.2%		18
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	4.8			5.6%	5.6%	88.9%		18

Class Number: 14835

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-GY 6373: Programming Languages

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Viega,John Timothy

Response Rate: 39/50

A. Procedures and Policies		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	7.7%					92.3%		39
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.3%					94.7%		38
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.1		15.4%	5.1%	30.8%	46.2%	2.6%	39
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.4	12.8%	17.9%	12.8%	28.2%	28.2%		39
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	2.9	25.6%	12.8%	23.1%	20.5%	17.9%		39
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.9	7.7%	5.1%	7.7%	41.0%	35.9%	2.6%	39
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.9	7.7%	7.7%	10.3%	38.5%	35.9%		39
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.4	7.7%	25.6%	12.8%	20.5%	30.8%	2.6%	39
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.5	7.7%	23.1%	10.3%	28.2%	28.2%	2.6%	39
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.9	10.3%	5.1%	10.3%	30.8%	43.6%		39
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.6	15.4%	12.8%	7.7%	28.2%	35.9%		39
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.9	12.8%	5.1%	10.3%	23.1%	46.2%	2.6%	39

Class Number: 19741

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Stein,Jordan

Response Rate: 17/18

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.9%				94.1%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					93.8%	6.3%	16
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				17.6%	82.4%	17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5			5.9%	41.2%	52.9%	17
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.1		11.8%	5.9%	41.2%	41.2%	17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4			17.6%	29.4%	52.9%	17
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.2		5.9%	11.8%	35.3%	47.1%	17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5			5.9%	41.2%	52.9%	17
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate the relationship between content of scientific and technological knowledge, and the social and intellectual context in which it is created.	4.0	5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	35.3%	41.2%	17
Q28	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how social processes frame scientific and technological enterprises, including theory construction, invention, and innovation.	4.3		5.9%	11.8%	29.4%	52.9%	17
Q29	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how science and technology shape society (in historical, philosophical, sociological, cultural and technical ways).	4.2			17.6%	47.1%	35.3%	17
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0			41.2%	17.6%	41.2%	17
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.2		5.9%	17.6%	29.4%	47.1%	17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.4			17.6%	23.5%	58.8%	17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.2		5.9%	17.6%	29.4%	47.1%	17



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 15843

Section: Lecture

Primary Instructor: Georgi, Gunter W

Response Rate: 335/364

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.4%						94.7%	1.9%	320
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.8%						93.7%	3.5%	316
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>	
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.4	0.9%	0.9%	10.0%	30.6%	56.3%	1.3%		320
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.0	1.3%	4.4%	26.9%	22.2%	41.6%	3.8%		320
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>	
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.6	8.1%	18.9%	7.5%	34.2%	31.1%	0.3%		322
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.8	3.4%	14.3%	13.1%	37.1%	30.8%	1.2%		321
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>	
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.1	2.2%	6.2%	11.8%	38.0%	40.8%	0.9%		321
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3	2.5%	4.3%	12.7%	24.5%	53.4%	2.5%		322
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>	
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	4.3	0.6%	3.1%	5.0%	45.3%	45.6%	0.3%		318
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	4.3	1.3%	2.8%	6.6%	45.6%	43.1%	0.6%		318
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>	
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.8	4.7%	12.5%	10.9%	42.8%	28.1%	0.9%		320
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>	
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.1	3.4%	5.6%	9.9%	39.8%	40.4%	0.9%		322
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.3	0.9%	2.8%	12.1%	31.4%	50.6%	2.2%		322
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.8	6.8%	9.3%	14.0%	33.5%	34.8%	1.6%		322
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>	
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	4.1	0.3%	5.0%	13.2%	44.3%	36.5%	0.6%		318
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	4.0	0.9%	6.3%	12.3%	47.9%	31.5%	0.9%		317
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	4.4	0.9%	3.1%	6.0%	36.2%	53.1%	0.6%		318
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	4.3	1.3%	1.9%	9.5%	39.7%	47.0%	0.6%		317
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.2	0.6%	2.8%	9.1%	46.7%	40.1%	0.6%		317
X. The Recitation Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>	
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5	0.3%	2.5%	6.6%	28.3%	61.3%	0.9%		318
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	4.4	0.6%	3.1%	14.2%	20.8%	59.1%	2.2%		318
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.3	1.3%	4.1%	10.4%	34.6%	48.4%	1.3%		318
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	4.4	1.3%	4.4%	6.9%	23.9%	62.3%	1.3%		318

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 15843

Section: Lecture

Primary Instructor: Georgi, Gunter W

Response Rate: 335/364

Y. Regarding The Laboratory		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3	1.3%	4.4%	6.9%	32.2%	53.3%	1.9%	317
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.6		2.5%	5.0%	24.2%	67.0%	1.3%	318
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.4	0.9%	2.2%	8.2%	35.3%	52.1%	1.3%	317
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.5	0.3%	2.2%	6.0%	29.0%	60.9%	1.6%	317
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.0	1.6%	9.4%	10.7%	39.3%	38.4%	0.6%	318
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.5	1.3%	2.2%	6.3%	25.5%	62.9%	1.9%	318

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

SU-UY 9: Elementary General Engineering & Design II

Class Number: 24722

Section: UAI

Primary Instructor: Georgi, Gunter W

Response Rate: 14/15

		No		Yes		Decline To Answer		# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	14.3%		85.7%				14	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	28.6%		71.4%				14	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5			7.1%	28.6%	50.0%	14.3%	14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.9	7.1%		7.1%	50.0%	21.4%	14.3%	14
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6		7.1%		14.3%	78.6%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		14
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5			7.1%	35.7%	57.1%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6		7.1%		14.3%	78.6%		14
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.7	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	42.9%	28.6%		14
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6			7.1%	28.6%	64.3%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.9			35.7%	42.9%	21.4%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.7	14.3%		14.3%	42.9%	28.6%		14



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management
MN-GY 7893: Production Science

Class Number: 15225

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Sathaye, Shashi

Response Rate: 18/22

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		16.7%				83.3%		18
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.4		5.6%	11.1%	22.2%	61.1%		18
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.9	11.1%	5.6%	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		18
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.9		11.1%	27.8%	22.2%	38.9%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.0		11.1%	27.8%	11.1%	50.0%		18
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.1	5.6%	11.1%	5.6%	27.8%	50.0%		18
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.9		22.2%	11.1%	16.7%	50.0%		18
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	3.7	11.1%	5.6%	27.8%	11.1%	44.4%		18
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	3.9		11.1%	11.1%	50.0%	27.8%		18
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.0	5.6%	11.1%		44.4%	38.9%		18
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.1		11.1%	5.6%	44.4%	38.9%		18
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.8		33.3%		22.2%	44.4%		18
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3		5.6%	11.1%	27.8%	55.6%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.6	11.1%	11.1%	16.7%	27.8%	33.3%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.9	11.1%	5.6%	11.1%	27.8%	44.4%		18

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.3%						91.3%	4.3%	23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.3%						95.7%		23
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>			
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5		4.3%	8.7%	17.4%	69.6%			23
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.1		9.1%	13.6%	31.8%	45.5%			22
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>			
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.8		17.4%	17.4%	30.4%	34.8%			23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3	4.3%	4.3%	8.7%	26.1%	56.5%			23
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>			
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.9	4.3%	13.0%	8.7%	34.8%	39.1%			23
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3		4.3%	4.3%	43.5%	47.8%			23
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>			
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	3.7	4.3%	8.7%	26.1%	34.8%	26.1%			23
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.0		8.7%	8.7%	52.2%	30.4%			23
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.0			21.7%	56.5%	21.7%			23
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.3			21.7%	26.1%	52.2%			23
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>			
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.7		21.7%	17.4%	34.8%	26.1%			23
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>			
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3		4.3%	4.3%	52.2%	39.1%			23
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.8	8.7%	8.7%	8.7%	39.1%	34.8%			23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0	4.3%	13.0%	8.7%	26.1%	47.8%			23

Class Number: 24828

Dept. of Technology Management
MN-GY 7893: Production Science

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Sathaye,Shashi

Response Rate: 2/5

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	50.0%				50.0%		2	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		2	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	1.5	50.0%	50.0%					2
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	1.5	50.0%	50.0%					2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.0		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0				100.0%			2
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	3.0		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.0				100.0%			2
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.0				100.0%			2
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	3.0		50.0%		50.0%			2
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.0				100.0%			2
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	4.0				100.0%			2
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	1.5	50.0%	50.0%					2
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	2.5		50.0%	50.0%				2
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	3.5			50.0%	50.0%			2
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.0				100.0%			2
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%		2
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	5.0					100.0%		2
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	5.0					100.0%		2
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	4.0				100.0%			2
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.5		50.0%			50.0%		2



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Class Number: 24828

Dept. of Technology Management
MN-GY 7893: Production Science

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Sathaye,Shashi

Response Rate: 2/5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0				100.0%			2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	2.0		100.0%					2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.0		50.0%		50.0%			2

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-GY 8253: Project Management for Construction

Class Number: 20709

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Hossain, Faruque

Response Rate: 23/25

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	22.7%						77.3%		22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.0%						95.0%		20
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5			8.7%	30.4%	60.9%			23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.4		9.1%	9.1%	13.6%	68.2%			22
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.5	13.0%	13.0%	21.7%	13.0%	39.1%			23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4			13.0%	30.4%	56.5%			23
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.9	4.3%	8.7%	13.0%	43.5%	30.4%			23
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.2	13.6%	4.5%	45.5%	18.2%	18.2%			22
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.6		21.7%	21.7%	30.4%	26.1%			23
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.2		8.7%	8.7%	39.1%	43.5%			23
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.0		17.4%	8.7%	30.4%	43.5%			23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0	4.3%	8.7%	13.0%	26.1%	47.8%			23

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management
MG-GY 6093: ACCOUNTING & FINANCE

Class Number: 14914

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Apicella, Francis X

Response Rate: 33/42

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		33
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		33
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7				30.3%	69.7%		33
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7				27.3%	72.7%		33
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6			12.1%	15.2%	69.7%	3.0%	33
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6		3.0%	3.0%	21.2%	72.7%		33
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5			6.1%	36.4%	57.6%		33
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7			3.0%	21.2%	75.8%		33
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.5		6.1%	3.0%	27.3%	63.6%		33
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.4	3.0%		9.1%	33.3%	54.5%		33
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.3	3.0%	3.0%	12.1%	24.2%	57.6%		33
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.4		6.1%	12.1%	21.2%	60.6%		33
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4		3.0%	6.1%	36.4%	54.5%		33
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6			3.0%	30.3%	66.7%		33
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7			3.0%	24.2%	72.7%		33
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8				21.2%	78.8%		33



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

TR-GY 6223: Intelligent Transportation Systems and Their Applications

Class Number: 14947

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Patel,Raman

Response Rate: 16/18

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	6.3%						87.5%	6.3%	16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.7%						93.3%		15
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5		6.3%	12.5%	6.3%	75.0%			16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	2.9	31.3%		18.8%	43.8%	6.3%			16
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.4	18.8%	6.3%	18.8%	31.3%	25.0%			16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.5	12.5%	18.8%	18.8%	6.3%	43.8%			16
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.9	12.5%	6.3%	12.5%	12.5%	56.3%			16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.5	25.0%	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	43.8%			16
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.4	12.5%	18.8%	18.8%	18.8%	31.3%			16
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.8	12.5%	12.5%	6.3%	18.8%	50.0%			16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.5	18.8%	6.3%	18.8%	18.8%	37.5%			16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.6	18.8%	12.5%	6.3%	18.8%	43.8%			16

Class Number: 15799

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 1002: The Art of Mathematics

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Yang,Deane

Response Rate: 3/22

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	66.7%						33.3%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.							100.0%		3
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3				66.7%	33.3%			3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.0				66.7%		33.3%		3
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.3		33.3%		66.7%				3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.3		33.3%		66.7%				3
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	2.7	33.3%		33.3%	33.3%				3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	2.7	33.3%		33.3%	33.3%				3
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	3.0	33.3%			66.7%				3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	3.0			100.0%					3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	2.7	33.3%		33.3%	33.3%				3
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.0	33.3%			66.7%				3
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.3			66.7%	33.3%				3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.0		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%				3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.0	33.3%			66.7%				3
M. The Recitation Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	3.0	33.3%		33.3%		33.3%			3



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 2114: Calculus III: Multi-Dimensional Calculus

Class Number: 25057

Section: A3

Primary Instructor: Yang,Deane

Response Rate: 3/23

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			3
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.					66.7%	33.3%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.					33.3%	66.7%		3
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.					100.0%			3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.					100.0%			3
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.					100.0%			3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.					66.7%	33.3%		3
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.					33.3%	66.7%		3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.					66.7%	33.3%		3
Q29	I need more HW problems.					33.3%	66.7%		3
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.					33.3%	66.7%		3
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.					100.0%			3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.					66.7%	33.3%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.					100.0%			3

		No			Yes			Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				100.0%				11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				100.0%				10
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.9				9.1%	90.9%		11
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.9				9.1%	90.9%		11
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.9				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.9				9.1%	90.9%		11
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to understand Urban History and Policy.	4.9				9.1%	90.9%		11
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.8			9.1%		90.9%		11
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9				9.1%	90.9%		11

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society
DM-UY 1123: Visual Foundation Studio

Class Number: 21196

Section: C

Primary Instructor: LaRocca, Frank

Response Rate: 13/19

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					90.9%	9.1%	11
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				23.1%	76.9%	13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6			7.7%	23.1%	69.2%	13
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8				15.4%	84.6%	13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.9				7.7%	92.3%	13
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8				15.4%	84.6%	13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5		7.7%		30.8%	61.5%	13
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4			23.1%	15.4%	61.5%	13
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8				23.1%	76.9%	13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8				23.1%	76.9%	13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8				23.1%	76.9%	13



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CBE-UY 2124: Analysis of Chemical and Biomolecular Processes

Class Number: 15713

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Mijovic,Jovan

Response Rate: 39/42

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	2.6%						97.4%		38
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.7%						97.3%		37
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8		2.6%	2.6%	5.1%	89.7%			39
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.1	2.6%	2.6%	23.1%	23.1%	46.2%		2.6%	39
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.2		2.6%	20.5%	28.2%	46.2%		2.6%	39
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4		7.7%	5.1%	30.8%	56.4%			39
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4		7.7%	7.7%	23.1%	61.5%			39
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7			2.6%	28.2%	69.2%			39
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3		7.7%	5.1%	38.5%	48.7%			39
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5		7.7%	5.1%	15.4%	71.8%			39
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.1	2.6%	17.9%	2.6%	23.1%	53.8%			39
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.4		2.6%	10.3%	28.2%	59.0%			39
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.5		2.6%	7.9%	26.3%	63.2%			38
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	3.8	7.9%	5.3%	18.4%	31.6%	36.8%			38
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	4.2	5.3%	7.9%	5.3%	28.9%	52.6%			38

Class Number: 15389

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 6091: Financial Econometrics

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Dessertine,Segolene

Response Rate: 5/24

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp				
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	40.0%	60.0%		5				
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	40.0%	60.0%		5				
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	60.0%	40.0%		5				
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	60.0%	40.0%		5				
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.2		40.0%		60.0%			5
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.2		40.0%		60.0%			5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.4		40.0%		40.0%	20.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.4		40.0%		40.0%	20.0%		5
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.2		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.2		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.2			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.2			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.4	20.0%		20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.4	20.0%		20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0			40.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0			40.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4				60.0%	40.0%		5
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.2		20.0%	60.0%		20.0%		5
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.2		20.0%	60.0%		20.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	2.4	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%		20.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	2.4	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%		20.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.4		20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.4		20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 6351: Econometrics and Time Series Analysis

Class Number: 15397

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Dessertine, Segolene

Response Rate: 10/25

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		10
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		10
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.2		40.0%	10.0%	40.0%	10.0%		10
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.2		40.0%	10.0%	40.0%	10.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.1		50.0%	10.0%	20.0%	20.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.1		50.0%	10.0%	20.0%	20.0%		10
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	2.9	10.0%	40.0%	10.0%	30.0%	10.0%		10
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	2.9	10.0%	40.0%	10.0%	30.0%	10.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.4	10.0%		40.0%	40.0%	10.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.4	10.0%		40.0%	40.0%	10.0%		10
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.7		20.0%		70.0%	10.0%		10
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.7		20.0%		70.0%	10.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0	10.0%		10.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0	10.0%		10.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.7		20.0%		70.0%	10.0%		10
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.7		20.0%		70.0%	10.0%		10
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.6	10.0%	10.0%		70.0%	10.0%		10
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.6	10.0%	10.0%		70.0%	10.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	2.8	20.0%	30.0%	10.0%	30.0%	10.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	2.8	20.0%	30.0%	10.0%	30.0%	10.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.4	10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	50.0%	10.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.4	10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	50.0%	10.0%		10

Class Number: 15489

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 6871: R in Finance

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Dessertine,Segolene

Response Rate: 2/9

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	3.0	50.0%				50.0%		2
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	3.0	50.0%				50.0%		2
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	3.5			50.0%	50.0%			2
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	3.5			50.0%	50.0%			2
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.5			50.0%	50.0%			2
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.5			50.0%	50.0%			2
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	3.5		50.0%			50.0%		2
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	3.5		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.5		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.5		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.0		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.0		50.0%		50.0%			2
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	3.0	50.0%				50.0%		2
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	3.0	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.5		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.5		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.0	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.0	50.0%				50.0%		2

Class Number: 16251

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Dimitrov,Dimitar L

Response Rate: 29/32

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.3		7.4%	7.4%	29.6%	55.6%		27
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.3		6.9%	3.4%	41.4%	48.3%		29
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3		10.3%	3.4%	27.6%	58.6%		29
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.3	6.9%	3.4%	3.4%	24.1%	62.1%		29
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.3	3.4%		13.8%	27.6%	55.2%		29
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0		13.8%	3.4%	48.3%	34.5%		29
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.1	3.4%		17.2%	37.9%	37.9%	3.4%	29
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.8	3.4%	13.8%	20.7%	20.7%	37.9%	3.4%	29
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.8		17.2%	10.3%	51.7%	20.7%		29
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.1	6.9%	10.3%	6.9%	20.7%	55.2%		29
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.9		13.8%	10.3%	48.3%	27.6%		29
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.2	13.8%	6.9%	27.6%	41.4%	6.9%	3.4%	29
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	4.3			3.8%	61.5%	34.6%		26
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	4.2			11.5%	53.8%	34.6%		26

Class Number: 19599

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Section: L

Primary Instructor: Dimitrov,Dimitar L

Response Rate: 16/16

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.4			12.5%	31.3%	56.3%		16
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.6				37.5%	62.5%		16
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		16
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.8				18.8%	81.3%		16
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.5			6.3%	37.5%	56.3%		16
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4	6.3%			37.5%	56.3%		16
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3		6.3%		50.0%	43.8%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1		6.3%	12.5%	43.8%	37.5%		16
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0		6.7%	13.3%	53.3%	26.7%		15
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.6				37.5%	62.5%		16
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3			12.5%	50.0%	37.5%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.8	6.3%		31.3%	31.3%	31.3%		16
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	4.4		7.1%	7.1%	28.6%	57.1%		14
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	4.1	7.1%		7.1%	42.9%	42.9%		14



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Class Number: 20701

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering
CE-GY 6063: Bridge Engineering

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Khair-Eldin,Omar

Response Rate: 16/19

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	6.3%						93.8%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.							100.0%		16
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5			6.3%	37.5%	56.3%			16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3		12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	62.5%			16
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	1.8	50.0%	37.5%		12.5%				16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.8		12.5%	25.0%	31.3%	31.3%			16
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.6	6.3%	25.0%		37.5%	31.3%			16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.6	12.5%	18.8%		31.3%	37.5%			16
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.6	6.3%	12.5%	12.5%	50.0%	18.8%			16
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4		6.3%	6.3%	31.3%	56.3%			16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.8	6.3%	12.5%	18.8%	25.0%	37.5%			16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.1	6.3%		18.8%	31.3%	43.8%			16

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management
MG-GY 8203: PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 14975

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: De Lessio, Mark Philip

Response Rate: 22/22

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						95.5%	4.5%	22
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.7	4.5%	18.2%	13.6%	27.3%	36.4%		22
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.0		9.1%	13.6%	45.5%	31.8%		22
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6			9.1%	22.7%	68.2%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.0		13.6%	13.6%	27.3%	45.5%		22
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.9	9.1%	4.5%	13.6%	36.4%	36.4%		22
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1		4.5%	22.7%	27.3%	45.5%		22
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	3.8		13.6%	18.2%	45.5%	22.7%		22
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	3.7		18.2%	13.6%	45.5%	22.7%		22
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.1		4.5%	9.1%	54.5%	31.8%		22
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.1		9.1%	9.1%	45.5%	36.4%		22
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.2		4.5%	9.1%	45.5%	40.9%		22
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.7	9.1%	13.6%	4.5%	40.9%	31.8%		22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.7	4.5%	9.1%	27.3%	27.3%	31.8%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.1		9.1%	9.1%	40.9%	40.9%		22

Class Number: 15480

Dept. of Technology Management
MN-GY 6513: DESIGN STRATEGIES

Section: I

Primary Instructor: De Lessio,Mark Philip

Response Rate: 7/9

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		7	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	14.3%				85.7%		7	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6				42.9%	57.1%		7
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6				42.9%	57.1%		7
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4				57.1%	42.9%		7
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.0			28.6%	42.9%	28.6%		7
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.4			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	3.7			28.6%	71.4%			7
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	3.9		14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%		7
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.6		14.3%	14.3%	71.4%			7
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3				71.4%	28.6%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.4				57.1%	42.9%		7



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-GY 6233: Introduction to Operating Systems

Class Number: 15214

Section: INT2

Primary Instructor: Baliga,Arati

Response Rate: 34/38

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	2.9%						97.1%		34
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.9%						97.1%		34
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.2	2.9%	8.8%	2.9%	38.2%	47.1%			34
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.9	2.9%	11.8%	17.6%	26.5%	41.2%			34
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.1	8.8%		8.8%	35.3%	47.1%			34
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4	2.9%	5.9%	5.9%	23.5%	61.8%			34
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.2	2.9%	5.9%	8.8%	35.3%	47.1%			34
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0	2.9%	5.9%	14.7%	44.1%	32.4%			34
E1. Online Course Module		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.1	5.9%	5.9%	8.8%	35.3%	44.1%			34
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	4.1	8.8%	2.9%	5.9%	35.3%	47.1%			34
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.1	2.9%	11.8%	8.8%	26.5%	47.1%	2.9%		34
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	3.9	5.9%	8.8%	14.7%	29.4%	41.2%			34
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	4.1	5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	38.2%	44.1%			34
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.2	5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	26.5%	55.9%			34
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.2	2.9%	5.9%	8.8%	32.4%	50.0%			34
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	4.2	2.9%	2.9%	14.7%	29.4%	47.1%	2.9%		34
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.1	2.9%	2.9%	14.7%	35.3%	41.2%	2.9%		34
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.1	2.9%	2.9%	17.6%	32.4%	41.2%	2.9%		34
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	4.1	2.9%	8.8%	11.8%	26.5%	50.0%			34
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	3.8	2.9%	8.8%	20.6%	32.4%	32.4%	2.9%		34
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.2	2.9%	2.9%	5.9%	50.0%	38.2%			34
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.1	2.9%	2.9%	11.8%	47.1%	35.3%			34
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.2	2.9%	2.9%	8.8%	41.2%	44.1%			34
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.1	2.9%	2.9%	14.7%	38.2%	41.2%			34

Class Number: 15364

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Baliga,Arati

Response Rate: 16/22

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		16
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.4		13.3%		20.0%	66.7%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.0	6.7%		26.7%	20.0%	46.7%		15
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3	6.3%	12.5%		12.5%	68.8%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4	6.3%			37.5%	56.3%		16
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0		25.0%	6.3%	12.5%	56.3%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1		18.8%	12.5%	12.5%	56.3%		16
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.1	6.3%	6.3%	6.3%	37.5%	43.8%		16
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	4.5			6.3%	37.5%	56.3%		16
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.5	6.3%		6.3%	12.5%	75.0%		16
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	4.1	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	13.3%	60.0%		15
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	4.3		6.3%	6.3%	37.5%	50.0%		16
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.4		12.5%		25.0%	62.5%		16
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.1		18.8%	6.3%	25.0%	50.0%		16
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	4.1		6.3%	31.3%	6.3%	50.0%	6.3%	16
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.1		6.3%	31.3%	6.3%	50.0%	6.3%	16
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.1		6.3%	31.3%	6.3%	50.0%	6.3%	16
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	3.8	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		16
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	3.5	20.0%	13.3%		26.7%	40.0%		15
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1	6.3%	6.3%	6.3%	37.5%	43.8%		16
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.1		18.8%		37.5%	43.8%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.1	6.3%	12.5%	12.5%		68.8%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.8	6.3%	18.8%	12.5%	18.8%	43.8%		16



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS-UY 1114: INTRO TO PROGRAMMING & PROBLEM SOLVING

Class Number: 16044

Section: EXLC

Primary Instructor: Tal,Itay

Response Rate: 27/43

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			27
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		4.0%				96.0%		25
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7			3.7%	25.9%	70.4%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.8	3.7%		37.0%	33.3%	25.9%		27
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.4		22.2%	18.5%	55.6%	3.7%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.1		11.1%	7.4%	37.0%	44.4%		27
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.1		7.7%	11.5%	46.2%	34.6%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.7	3.8%	19.2%	15.4%	26.9%	34.6%		26
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	You were provided with a basic competency in computer programming.	4.6			4.0%	28.0%	68.0%		25
Q28	You now have the ability to solve problems by writing computer programs.	4.6			4.0%	32.0%	64.0%		25
Q29	You have an introductory knowledge of object-oriented programming.	4.3		8.0%		44.0%	48.0%		25
Q30	You were taught and encouraged good software development practices.	4.4		4.0%	4.0%	44.0%	48.0%		25
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.7	7.4%	11.1%	14.8%	40.7%	25.9%		27
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4		7.4%	3.7%	29.6%	55.6%	3.7%	27
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.1	7.4%	3.7%	11.1%	22.2%	55.6%		27
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3		3.7%	14.8%	33.3%	48.1%		27
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.1	4.0%		8.0%	60.0%	28.0%		25
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	3.9	4.0%	8.0%	12.0%	44.0%	32.0%		25
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	3.6	4.0%	8.0%	28.0%	40.0%	20.0%		25
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.0	4.0%	8.0%	4.0%	52.0%	32.0%		25
Y. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5			8.0%	36.0%	56.0%		25
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.6			8.0%	24.0%	68.0%		25
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.4		8.0%		36.0%	56.0%		25



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Class Number: 16044

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-UY 1114: INTRO TO PROGRAMMING & PROBLEM SOLVING

Section: EXLC

Primary Instructor: Tal,Itay

Response Rate: 27/43

Y. Regarding The Laboratory		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.4		4.0%	8.0%	32.0%	56.0%		25
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.2			20.8%	29.2%	41.7%	8.3%	24
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.5			8.0%	36.0%	56.0%		25



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS-UY 1114: INTRO TO PROGRAMMING & PROBLEM SOLVING

Class Number: 16045

Section: I1L2

Primary Instructor: Tal,Itay

Response Rate: 24/46

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						91.7%	8.3%	24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						95.8%	4.2%	24
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7		4.2%	4.2%	12.5%	79.2%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.0		8.3%	25.0%	20.8%	41.7%	4.2%	24
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.3	8.3%	29.2%	16.7%	20.8%	25.0%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.0		16.7%	16.7%	20.8%	45.8%		24
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3		4.2%	12.5%	33.3%	50.0%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.7	4.2%	16.7%	16.7%	25.0%	33.3%	4.2%	24
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	You were provided with a basic competency in computer programming.	4.5			8.7%	34.8%	56.5%		23
Q28	You now have the ability to solve problems by writing computer programs.	4.3		8.7%	4.3%	30.4%	56.5%		23
Q29	You have an introductory knowledge of object-oriented programming.	4.3			13.0%	47.8%	39.1%		23
Q30	You were taught and encouraged good software development practices.	4.5			13.0%	26.1%	56.5%	4.3%	23
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3		8.3%	8.3%	29.2%	54.2%		24
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5			4.2%	41.7%	54.2%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.4		4.2%	4.2%	37.5%	54.2%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.4			12.5%	29.2%	54.2%	4.2%	24
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	3.8	4.3%	8.7%	13.0%	47.8%	26.1%		23
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	3.8	4.3%	8.7%	17.4%	43.5%	26.1%		23
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	3.7	4.3%	8.7%	26.1%	30.4%	30.4%		23
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	3.6	9.1%	13.6%	9.1%	45.5%	22.7%		22
Y. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3		4.3%	13.0%	34.8%	47.8%		23
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.4	4.3%		4.3%	30.4%	60.9%		23
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.2		13.0%	4.3%	30.4%	52.2%		23



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS-UY 1114: INTRO TO PROGRAMMING & PROBLEM SOLVING

Class Number: 16045

Section: I1L2

Primary Instructor: Tal,Itay

Response Rate: 24/46

Y. Regarding The Laboratory		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.4			8.7%	39.1%	47.8%	4.3%	23
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	3.9	4.3%	4.3%	17.4%	26.1%	30.4%	17.4%	23
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.4	4.3%		4.3%	30.4%	56.5%	4.3%	23



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS-UY 1114: INTRO TO PROGRAMMING & PROBLEM SOLVING

Class Number: 16046

Section: I1L1

Primary Instructor: Tal,Itay

Response Rate: 31/59

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.7%				88.9%	7.4%	27
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.7%				92.6%	3.7%	27
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6			3.7%	33.3%	59.3%	3.7%
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.0			25.9%	40.7%	29.6%	3.7%
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.1	14.8%	22.2%	14.8%	29.6%	14.8%	3.7%
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.4	7.7%	11.5%	23.1%	38.5%	15.4%	3.8%
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.2		3.7%	14.8%	33.3%	44.4%	3.7%
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.1	18.5%	11.1%	29.6%	18.5%	18.5%	3.7%
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q27	You were provided with a basic competency in computer programming.	4.6				35.5%	64.5%	
Q28	You now have the ability to solve problems by writing computer programs.	4.5			3.2%	41.9%	54.8%	
Q29	You have an introductory knowledge of object-oriented programming.	4.0	3.2%	3.2%	9.7%	48.4%	29.0%	6.5%
Q30	You were taught and encouraged good software development practices.	3.9	3.2%	9.7%	12.9%	41.9%	32.3%	
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.7		18.5%	18.5%	33.3%	25.9%	3.7%
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5			11.1%	29.6%	55.6%	3.7%
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.3			14.8%	40.7%	40.7%	3.7%
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.4			11.5%	34.6%	50.0%	3.8%
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	3.9	3.3%	10.0%	10.0%	40.0%	33.3%	3.3%
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	4.0		6.7%	16.7%	40.0%	33.3%	3.3%
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	3.8	3.3%	6.7%	20.0%	46.7%	20.0%	3.3%
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	3.8	3.3%	6.7%	13.3%	56.7%	16.7%	3.3%
Y. Regarding The Laboratory		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3		6.5%	3.2%	45.2%	45.2%	
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.5			12.9%	25.8%	61.3%	
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.1		6.5%	12.9%	41.9%	35.5%	3.2%



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Class Number: 16046

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS-UY 1114: INTRO TO PROGRAMMING & PROBLEM SOLVING

Section: I1L1

Primary Instructor: Tal,Itay

Response Rate: 31/59

Y. Regarding The Laboratory		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	3.9		9.7%	29.0%	22.6%	38.7%		31
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.0		3.2%	32.3%	25.8%	38.7%		31
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.5			6.5%	35.5%	58.1%		31

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management
MG-UY 2104: Organizational Behavior

Class Number: 15828

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Chazin,Ethan

Response Rate: 22/27

		<i>No</i>			<i>Yes</i>			<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				90.9%			9.1%	22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.0%			80.0%			15.0%	20
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				9.1%	86.4%	4.5%	22
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8			4.5%	9.1%	81.8%	4.5%	22
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.9				9.1%	86.4%	4.5%	22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8				18.2%	77.3%	4.5%	22
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8				22.7%	72.7%	4.5%	22
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.2	4.5%		9.1%	36.4%	40.9%	9.1%	22
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.8			4.5%	13.6%	77.3%	4.5%	22
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.6				36.4%	59.1%	4.5%	22
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.8				22.7%	72.7%	4.5%	22
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.8			4.5%	13.6%	77.3%	4.5%	22
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5			9.1%	27.3%	59.1%	4.5%	22
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.9				13.6%	81.8%	4.5%	22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				9.1%	86.4%	4.5%	22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9				9.1%	86.4%	4.5%	22

Class Number: 14837

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-GY 6213: Elements of Real Analysis I

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Zhang, Gaoyong

Response Rate: 1/10

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		1
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0				100.0%		1
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0				100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0				100.0%		1
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0				100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0				100.0%		1
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	5.0				100.0%		1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	5.0				100.0%		1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	3.0			100.0%			1
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	5.0				100.0%		1
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0				100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0				100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0				100.0%		1

Class Number: 15710

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Zhang, Gaoyong

Response Rate: 9/25

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	11.1%						88.9%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.							100.0%		9
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				22.2%	77.8%			9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.4			22.2%	11.1%	66.7%			9
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.1		11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	44.4%			9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3			11.1%	44.4%	33.3%	11.1%		9
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%			9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4				55.6%	44.4%			9
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	3.4		11.1%	44.4%		22.2%	22.2%		9
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	3.1	22.2%	11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	22.2%	11.1%		9
Q29	I need more HW problems.	2.9		55.6%	11.1%		22.2%	11.1%		9
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1		11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	44.4%			9
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.1		22.2%		22.2%	55.6%			9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%			9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0		11.1%	22.2%	22.2%	44.4%			9
M. The Recitation Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	3.6		22.2%	22.2%	33.3%	22.2%			9
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.3			22.2%	22.2%	55.6%			9

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM-GY 9103: SPECIAL TOPICS IN DIGITAL MEDIA

Class Number: 14971

Section: D

Primary Instructor: Ducao, Arlene B

Response Rate: 7/12

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		7
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.1		14.3%		42.9%	42.9%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.4			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.1		14.3%		42.9%	42.9%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3			28.6%		57.1%	14.3%	7
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.8		16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		6
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3			28.6%	14.3%	57.1%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		7

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

URB-UY 3834: SPECIAL TOPICS IN SUSTAINABLE URBAN ENVIRONMENTS

Class Number: 16219

Section: D

Primary Instructor: Gladstone,Susan

Response Rate: 25/29

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			25
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				4.0%	96.0%		25
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8				16.0%	84.0%		25
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7			12.0%	8.0%	80.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.9			4.0%	4.0%	92.0%		25
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8			4.0%	8.0%	88.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5	4.2%		8.3%	16.7%	70.8%		24
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to understand Urban History and Policy.	4.8			4.3%	13.0%	82.6%		23
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6		4.0%	8.0%	12.0%	76.0%		25
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6		4.0%	12.0%	8.0%	76.0%		25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9			4.0%	4.0%	92.0%		25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8			4.0%	12.0%	84.0%		25

Class Number: 14985

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Garg,Siddharth

Response Rate: 32/72

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			32	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%				90.3%			31	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				16.1%	83.9%		31	
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6				6.3%	31.3%	62.5%	32	
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.9			12.9%	6.5%	61.3%	19.4%	31	
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3			3.1%	15.6%	31.3%	50.0%	32	
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6				6.3%	28.1%	65.6%	32	
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6			3.3%	6.7%	16.7%	73.3%	30	
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.8	6.3%	12.5%	12.5%	28.1%	40.6%		32	
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7				31.3%	68.8%		32	
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.4			6.3%	6.3%	28.1%	56.3%	3.1%	32
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7				3.1%	25.0%	71.9%		32
H3. TA Evaluation		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	4.4	3.2%	3.2%	6.5%	29.0%	58.1%		31	
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	4.4	3.2%			9.7%	25.8%	61.3%		31
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	4.6				3.2%	29.0%	67.7%		31

Class Number: 21070

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Garg,Siddharth

Response Rate: 4/8

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	25.0%						75.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.							100.0%		4
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3		25.0%			75.0%			4
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.3		25.0%	25.0%	50.0%				4
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	2.3	50.0%	25.0%			25.0%			4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.5		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%			4
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.3	25.0%			75.0%				4
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.0		25.0%	50.0%	25.0%				4
E1. Online Course Module		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.0			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%			4
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	4.0			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%			4
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	2.3	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%					4
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	2.0	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%					4
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	4.3			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%			4
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.0				100.0%				4
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	3.3	25.0%			75.0%				4
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	2.5	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%				4
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	2.8	25.0%		50.0%	25.0%				4
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.0	25.0%		25.0%	50.0%				4
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	3.0	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%			4
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	2.3	50.0%	25.0%			25.0%			4
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	2.8	25.0%	25.0%		50.0%				4
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.8	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%			4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.3			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%			4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.3	25.0%			75.0%				4
H3. TA Evaluation		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL-GY 6463: ADVANCED HARDWARE DESIGN

Class Number: 21070

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Garg,Siddharth

Response Rate: 4/8

H3. TA Evaluation		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	4.0		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	3.3		25.0%	25.0%	50.0%			4
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	2.8	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%		4



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-GY 6233: Introduction to Operating Systems

Class Number: 14834

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Terrill, John

Response Rate: 48/67

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	14.9%						83.0%	2.1%	47
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.1%						95.7%	2.1%	47
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.4	2.1%		10.4%	31.3%	56.3%			48
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.0	6.3%	6.3%	12.5%	31.3%	43.8%			48
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.4	16.7%	16.7%	8.3%	18.8%	37.5%		2.1%	48
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.2	2.1%	2.1%	16.7%	29.2%	50.0%			48
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.1		10.4%	8.3%	41.7%	39.6%			48
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3		4.2%	10.4%	35.4%	50.0%			48
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3		6.3%	12.5%	27.1%	52.1%		2.1%	48
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.2		10.4%	8.3%	33.3%	47.9%			48
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.0		20.8%	2.1%	27.1%	47.9%		2.1%	48
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.1	2.1%	8.3%	10.4%	35.4%	41.7%		2.1%	48

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

TR-GY 6113: Forecasting Urban Travel Demand

Class Number: 15292

Section: BKLN

Primary Instructor: Ozbay,Kaan

Response Rate: 21/22

		<i>No</i>			<i>Yes</i>			<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				95.2%			4.8%	21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				85.0%			15.0%	20
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7		4.8%		14.3%	81.0%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7			9.5%	14.3%	76.2%		21
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.2	4.8%	14.3%		19.0%	61.9%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7			4.8%	19.0%	76.2%		21
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0	9.5%	9.5%	4.8%	28.6%	47.6%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.8	15.0%	5.0%	10.0%	25.0%	45.0%		20
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.2		4.8%	19.0%	28.6%	47.6%		21
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5		4.8%		33.3%	61.9%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.2	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%	33.3%	52.4%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.4		4.8%	9.5%	28.6%	57.1%		21

Class Number: 15743

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Das,Nirod K

Response Rate: 52/84

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	21.6%				70.6%	7.8%	51
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	27.1%				62.5%	10.4%	48
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.1		11.8%	13.7%	23.5%	47.1%	3.9%
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.1	11.5%	19.2%	21.2%	28.8%	13.5%	5.8%
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.6	5.8%	15.4%	13.5%	34.6%	26.9%	3.8%
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.5	13.5%	11.5%	13.5%	32.7%	25.0%	3.8%
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	2.9	25.0%	15.4%	15.4%	25.0%	15.4%	3.8%
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.6	9.8%	11.8%	11.8%	35.3%	27.5%	3.9%
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.4	9.6%	11.5%	25.0%	34.6%	15.4%	3.8%
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.4	15.4%	7.7%	15.4%	34.6%	23.1%	3.8%
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	2.7	23.1%	23.1%	19.2%	21.2%	9.6%	3.8%
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.3	19.2%	5.8%	23.1%	25.0%	23.1%	3.8%
H3. TA Evaluation		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	4.4		2.0%	16.0%	20.0%	58.0%	4.0%
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	4.2	2.0%	6.0%	16.0%	22.0%	50.0%	4.0%
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	4.0		10.0%	20.0%	26.0%	40.0%	4.0%

Class Number: 15744

Section: LLB

Primary Instructor: Das,Nirod K

Response Rate: 61/84

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	8.5%				84.7%		6.8%	59
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.3%				82.5%		5.3%	57
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5			6.8%	32.2%	59.3%	1.7%	59
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.2		6.9%	15.5%	27.6%	46.6%	3.4%	58
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.1	1.7%	5.1%	15.3%	33.9%	42.4%	1.7%	59
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.1	1.7%	5.1%	18.6%	25.4%	45.8%	3.4%	59
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.7	3.4%	15.3%	20.3%	27.1%	32.2%	1.7%	59
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.8	1.7%	11.9%	23.7%	30.5%	28.8%	3.4%	59
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.9	1.7%	10.2%	15.3%	35.6%	33.9%	3.4%	59
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.1	3.4%	5.1%	13.6%	37.3%	39.0%	1.7%	59
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.1	5.1%	3.4%	13.6%	32.2%	42.4%	3.4%	59
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.9	3.4%	3.4%	27.1%	27.1%	35.6%	3.4%	59
H3. TA Evaluation		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	4.6		3.4%	1.7%	20.7%	70.7%	3.4%	58
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	4.4	3.4%	1.7%	10.3%	22.4%	58.6%	3.4%	58
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	4.4	1.7%	5.2%	6.9%	25.9%	56.9%	3.4%	58

Class Number: 15745

Section: REC

Primary Instructor: Das,Nirod K

Response Rate: 61/84

A. Procedures and Policies			No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		14.8%				67.2%	18.0%	61
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		16.4%				65.6%	18.0%	61
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.4	1.7%	3.3%	6.7%	30.0%	55.0%	3.3%	60
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.8		13.3%	16.7%	38.3%	28.3%	3.3%	60
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.8	1.7%	11.7%	20.0%	36.7%	26.7%	3.3%	60
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.7	6.7%	13.3%	15.0%	28.3%	31.7%	5.0%	60
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.6	8.2%	11.5%	16.4%	39.3%	21.3%	3.3%	61
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.8	5.2%	8.6%	20.7%	31.0%	31.0%	3.4%	58
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.8	3.4%	13.6%	13.6%	39.0%	27.1%	3.4%	59
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.7	6.8%	8.5%	18.6%	37.3%	25.4%	3.4%	59
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.5	11.9%	8.5%	18.6%	35.6%	22.0%	3.4%	59
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.6	3.4%	11.9%	27.1%	28.8%	23.7%	5.1%	59
H3. TA Evaluation		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	4.6		1.6%	1.6%	32.8%	60.7%	3.3%	61
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	4.4		1.6%	9.8%	31.1%	54.1%	3.3%	61
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	4.1	1.6%	4.9%	11.5%	44.3%	34.4%	3.3%	61

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL-GY 5733: RF and Microwave Systems Engineering

Class Number: 14819

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Das,Nirod K

Response Rate: 16/18

		<i>No</i>			<i>Yes</i>			<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				93.8%			6.3%	16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				93.8%			6.3%	16
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				6.3%	93.8%		16
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		16
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7		6.3%		12.5%	81.3%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6		6.3%		25.0%	68.8%		16
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8				18.8%	81.3%		16
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7				31.3%	68.8%		16
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4		12.5%		25.0%	62.5%		16
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7				31.3%	68.8%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				12.5%	87.5%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		16

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-GY 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Class Number: 20880

Section: H

Primary Instructor: Nealen, Andrew

Response Rate: 9/9

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning							100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	11.1%						88.9%		9
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%			9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5			22.2%		66.7%		11.1%	9
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.4	22.2%	11.1%		33.3%	33.3%			9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3			33.3%		55.6%		11.1%	9
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6		11.1%		11.1%	77.8%			9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%			9
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%			9
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%			9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%			9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3		11.1%		33.3%	55.6%			9

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

HI-UY 3034: History of New York's Urban Infrastructure

Class Number: 16203

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Soffer, Jonathan M

Response Rate: 15/20

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	13.3%				86.7%			15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					93.3%	6.7%		15
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.2			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		15
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7				26.7%	73.3%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7				26.7%	73.3%		15
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.5	13.3%	13.3%	13.3%	26.7%	33.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.7	7.1%		28.6%	42.9%	21.4%		14
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.4		20.0%	20.0%	60.0%			15
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0		6.7%	13.3%	53.3%	26.7%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.3	13.3%	26.7%	13.3%	13.3%	33.3%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.7	6.7%	13.3%	6.7%	53.3%	20.0%		15

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

PS-UY 3754W: PSYCH OF LIVING IN EXTREME ENVIRONMENTS

Class Number: 20784

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Wener,Richard

Response Rate: 15/18

		<i>No</i>			<i>Yes</i>			<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				100.0%				15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				100.0%				15
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.1	6.7%		13.3%	33.3%	46.7%		15
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.7		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5		6.7%		33.3%	60.0%		15
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5				46.7%	53.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5				46.7%	53.3%		15
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4				60.0%	40.0%		15
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		15

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Class Number: 19684

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society
CAM-UY 2014W: STEM & THEATER

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Goldstein, Allan B

Response Rate: 17/18

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		17
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		17
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.9				11.8%	88.2%		17
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.9				5.9%	94.1%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.9			5.9%		94.1%		17
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	5.0					100.0%		17
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.9				11.8%	88.2%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0					100.0%		17

Class Number: 16197

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

CAM-UY 2204: Disability Studies

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Goldstein, Allan B

Response Rate: 22/23

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		22
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				4.5%	95.5%	22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%	22
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%	22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0				4.5%	95.5%	22
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.9			4.5%	4.5%	90.9%	22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6			18.2%	4.5%	77.3%	22
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to effectively communicate including being able to give oral presentations that increase knowledge, foster understanding, or encourage change.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%	21
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	4.5			9.5%	28.6%	61.9%	21
Q29	I developed perspectives of the humanities and social sciences to bear within technical discourse.	4.8				23.8%	71.4%	4.8% 21
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6		4.5%	4.5%	18.2%	72.7%	22
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8		4.5%		9.1%	86.4%	22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%	22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0				4.5%	95.5%	22

Class Number: 19682

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

CAM-UY 2204: Disability Studies

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Goldstein,Allan B

Response Rate: 28/30

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		28	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		27	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		28
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.9			3.6%		96.4%		28
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0				3.6%	96.4%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.9			3.6%		96.4%		28
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to effectively communicate including being able to give oral presentations that increase knowledge, foster understanding, or encourage change.	4.9			3.6%	3.6%	89.3%	3.6%	28
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	4.9				10.7%	85.7%	3.6%	28
Q29	I developed perspectives of the humanities and social sciences to bear within technical discourse.	5.0				3.6%	92.9%	3.6%	28
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.8		3.6%		7.1%	89.3%		28
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0				3.6%	96.4%		28
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0				3.6%	96.4%		28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9				7.1%	92.9%		28



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 3513: Measurement Systems

Class Number: 15806

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Lee,Sang-Hoon

Response Rate: 25/39

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.0%				92.0%	4.0%	25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					96.0%	4.0%	25
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				4.0%	96.0%	25
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8				16.0%	84.0%	25
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5		4.0%	8.0%	20.0%	68.0%	25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8				24.0%	76.0%	25
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%	25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3	4.0%	4.0%	8.0%	28.0%	56.0%	25
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q27	Acquired and applied instrumentation fundamentals to analyze and design measurement instrumentation.	4.6				36.0%	64.0%	25
Q28	Learned fundamental operational aspects of measurement instruments, fundamentals of data acquisition hardware, and became able to appropriately calibrate any given measurement device.	4.6				44.0%	56.0%	25
Q29	Utilized modern computer-aided analysis and design tools to perform measurement system analysis and design.	4.6			4.0%	36.0%	60.0%	25
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.8				16.0%	84.0%	25
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.9			4.0%	4.0%	92.0%	25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0				4.0%	96.0%	25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8			4.0%	16.0%	80.0%	25
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.8				20.0%	80.0%	25
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as to analyze and interpret data	4.6			4.0%	32.0%	64.0%	25
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.6			4.0%	36.0%	60.0%	25
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.6			4.0%	32.0%	64.0%	25



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 3513: Measurement Systems

Class Number: 16037

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Lee,Sang-Hoon

Response Rate: 30/44

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.3%						96.7%		30
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.3%						96.7%		30
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7		3.3%		16.7%	80.0%			30
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.1		6.9%	24.1%	13.8%	51.7%	3.4%		29
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.1	3.3%	3.3%	16.7%	33.3%	43.3%			30
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6			10.0%	23.3%	66.7%			30
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4		3.3%	10.0%	33.3%	53.3%			30
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0		6.7%	20.0%	33.3%	36.7%	3.3%		30
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q27	Acquired and applied instrumentation fundamentals to analyze and design measurement instrumentation.	4.4		3.3%	6.7%	40.0%	50.0%			30
Q28	Learned fundamental operational aspects of measurement instruments, fundamentals of data acquisition hardware, and became able to appropriately calibrate any given measurement device.	4.3		3.3%	10.0%	36.7%	50.0%			30
Q29	Utilized modern computer-aided analysis and design tools to perform measurement system analysis and design.	4.1		6.7%	10.0%	46.7%	36.7%			30
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.2		6.7%	10.0%	43.3%	40.0%			30
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3		6.7%	13.3%	20.0%	60.0%			30
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6		3.3%	6.7%	20.0%	70.0%			30
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.2		6.7%	16.7%	23.3%	53.3%			30
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.4		6.7%	3.3%	33.3%	56.7%			30
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as to analyze and interpret data	4.3		6.7%	10.0%	26.7%	56.7%			30
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.2		6.7%	10.0%	36.7%	46.7%			30
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.3		6.7%	6.7%	36.7%	50.0%			30

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering
EL-GY 7373: High Performance Switches and Routers

Class Number: 15626

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Chao,Jonathan

Response Rate: 62/67

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	6.5%						93.5%		62
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	17.7%						82.3%		62
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7		3.2%	4.8%	14.5%	77.4%			62
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.4		8.1%	8.1%	19.4%	62.9%	1.6%		62
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.4	19.4%	14.5%	9.7%	16.1%	40.3%			62
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.1	4.8%	6.5%	14.5%	22.6%	51.6%			62
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5		3.2%	4.8%	35.5%	56.5%			62
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3	4.9%	4.9%	4.9%	24.6%	60.7%			61
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.8	12.9%	11.3%	6.5%	24.2%	45.2%			62
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5	1.6%	4.8%	8.1%	14.5%	71.0%			62
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5	3.2%	3.2%	1.6%	25.8%	66.1%			62
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.2	6.5%	6.5%	3.2%	32.3%	51.6%			62
H3. TA Evaluation		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	4.4	4.9%		4.9%	29.5%	60.7%			61
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	4.3	4.9%	4.9%	1.6%	31.1%	57.4%			61
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	4.4	3.3%	1.6%	4.9%	32.8%	57.4%			61



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL-GY 9333: SEL TPCS IN TELECOMM & NTWK FROM INFO SYS

Class Number: 21060

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Chao,Jonathan

Response Rate: 24/26

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			23
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6	4.2%			25.0%	70.8%		24
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.6	8.3%	4.2%	29.2%	33.3%	25.0%		24
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	2.8	29.2%	25.0%	4.2%	25.0%	16.7%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.6	12.5%	8.3%	8.3%	41.7%	25.0%	4.2%	24
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.2	4.2%	4.2%	4.2%	41.7%	45.8%		24
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3	4.2%	4.2%		45.8%	45.8%		24
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.3	4.2%	8.3%		29.2%	58.3%		24
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	3.4	12.5%	16.7%	20.8%	16.7%	33.3%		24
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	3.7	8.3%	12.5%	16.7%	29.2%	33.3%		24
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	3.8	8.3%	8.3%	20.8%	25.0%	37.5%		24
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	4.2	4.2%			58.3%	33.3%	4.2%	24
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.3	4.2%		8.3%	33.3%	54.2%		24
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.4	4.2%		4.2%	37.5%	54.2%		24
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	4.1	4.2%		25.0%	25.0%	45.8%		24
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.2	4.2%		20.8%	25.0%	50.0%		24
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.8	8.3%		29.2%	25.0%	37.5%		24
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	3.2	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	20.8%	29.2%		24
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	3.3	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	20.8%	29.2%		24
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.9	8.7%	4.3%	4.3%	52.2%	30.4%		23
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4	4.2%			45.8%	50.0%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5	4.2%		4.2%	29.2%	62.5%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.4	4.3%			39.1%	56.5%		23



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS-UY 1114: INTRO TO PROGRAMMING & PROBLEM SOLVING

Class Number: 16347

Section: I2L1

Primary Instructor: Frankl,Phyllis

Response Rate: 36/61

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			34
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			33
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3		8.8%	2.9%	38.2%	50.0%		34
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3			12.1%	42.4%	45.5%		33
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.0	23.5%	20.6%	2.9%	38.2%	14.7%		34
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.7	5.9%	14.7%	8.8%	41.2%	29.4%		34
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0		3.0%	12.1%	63.6%	21.2%		33
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.8	6.1%	9.1%	12.1%	48.5%	24.2%		33
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	You were provided with a basic competency in computer programming.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		36
Q28	You now have the ability to solve problems by writing computer programs.	4.4			5.6%	50.0%	44.4%		36
Q29	You have an introductory knowledge of object-oriented programming.	4.0	2.8%	2.8%	22.2%	33.3%	38.9%		36
Q30	You were taught and encouraged good software development practices.	4.1		2.8%	19.4%	41.7%	36.1%		36
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0		8.8%	17.6%	38.2%	35.3%		34
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4		2.9%	8.8%	32.4%	55.9%		34
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5				44.1%	52.9%	2.9%	34
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.4		2.9%	2.9%	50.0%	44.1%		34
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.2		5.6%	8.3%	50.0%	36.1%		36
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	4.1		5.6%	8.3%	50.0%	33.3%	2.8%	36
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	3.8			41.7%	38.9%	19.4%		36
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.1		5.6%	11.1%	55.6%	27.8%		36
Y. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.2	5.6%	5.6%	2.8%	38.9%	47.2%		36
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.3	2.9%	5.7%	5.7%	31.4%	54.3%		35
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.2	2.8%	5.6%	2.8%	50.0%	38.9%		36



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS-UY 1114: INTRO TO PROGRAMMING & PROBLEM SOLVING

Class Number: 16347

Section: I2L1

Primary Instructor: Frankl,Phyllis

Response Rate: 36/61

Y. Regarding The Laboratory		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.0	5.6%	5.6%	13.9%	36.1%	38.9%		36
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	3.8	5.6%	8.3%	27.8%	16.7%	38.9%	2.8%	36
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.0	5.6%	5.6%	11.1%	33.3%	41.7%	2.8%	36



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS-UY 1114: INTRO TO PROGRAMMING & PROBLEM SOLVING

Class Number: 16348

Section: I2L2

Primary Instructor: Frankl,Phyllis

Response Rate: 40/60

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	7.7%						92.3%		39
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.9%						92.1%		38
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8			2.6%	15.4%	82.1%			39
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6		2.6%	7.7%	12.8%	74.4%		2.6%	39
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.8	5.1%	15.4%	15.4%	17.9%	46.2%			39
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.2	2.6%	7.7%	7.7%	28.2%	53.8%			39
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5			5.1%	35.9%	59.0%			39
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1	5.1%	5.1%	15.4%	25.6%	48.7%			39
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q27	You were provided with a basic competency in computer programming.	4.7			2.5%	30.0%	67.5%			40
Q28	You now have the ability to solve problems by writing computer programs.	4.6			7.5%	22.5%	70.0%			40
Q29	You have an introductory knowledge of object-oriented programming.	4.1	2.6%	10.3%	7.7%	30.8%	48.7%			39
Q30	You were taught and encouraged good software development practices.	4.3	5.3%		7.9%	28.9%	57.9%			38
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.2	2.6%	5.1%	7.7%	41.0%	43.6%			39
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7			2.6%	25.6%	71.8%			39
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7		2.6%	7.7%	10.3%	79.5%			39
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6	2.6%	2.6%	2.6%	12.8%	79.5%			39
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.4	2.5%		12.5%	22.5%	62.5%			40
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	4.5	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	30.0%	62.5%			40
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	4.1	5.0%	7.5%	12.5%	27.5%	47.5%			40
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.5	2.5%		5.0%	30.0%	62.5%			40
Y. Regarding The Laboratory		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7			5.1%	17.9%	76.9%			39
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.7		2.5%	2.5%	17.5%	75.0%		2.5%	40
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.5		5.0%	2.5%	27.5%	65.0%			40



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS-UY 1114: INTRO TO PROGRAMMING & PROBLEM SOLVING

Class Number: 16348

Section: I2L2

Primary Instructor: Frankl,Phyllis

Response Rate: 40/60

Y. Regarding The Laboratory		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.4			17.9%	23.1%	59.0%		39
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.2		2.5%	25.0%	27.5%	45.0%		40
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.6		2.5%	5.0%	20.0%	72.5%		40

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-UY 3083: Introduction to Databases

Class Number: 15691

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Frankl,Phyllis

Response Rate: 34/40

		<i>No</i>				<i>Yes</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		34
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					97.0%	3.0%	33
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				20.6%	79.4%	34
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7			5.9%	14.7%	79.4%	34
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.8		23.5%	8.8%	35.3%	32.4%	34
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8				17.6%	82.4%	34
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7			2.9%	20.6%	76.5%	34
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0	2.9%	2.9%	23.5%	32.4%	38.2%	34
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6			5.9%	26.5%	67.6%	34
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.9				14.7%	85.3%	34
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				8.8%	91.2%	34
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8				23.5%	73.5%	2.9% 34



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering
ME-UY 4983: SPECIAL TOPICS IN MECH ENGR

Class Number: 16039

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Sutton,Paul J.

Response Rate: 28/35

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			28
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				7.1%	92.9%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.9				7.1%	92.9%		28
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0				3.6%	96.4%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0				3.7%	96.3%		27
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.9				10.7%	89.3%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7			14.3%		85.7%		28
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned the ethical considerations encountered by engineers involving intellectual property	5.0				3.6%	96.4%		28
Q28	Learned about contracts and agreements involving intellectual property rights which are routinely encountered by engineers.	4.9				7.1%	92.9%		28
Q29	Learned about effective communication of intellectual property ideas and concepts.	4.9				7.1%	92.9%		28
Q30	Understood the nature and value of intellectual property legal opinions.	5.0				3.6%	96.4%		28
Q31	Understood the value and benefits to be derived from past failures, and the use of failure in achieving success.	4.9				10.7%	89.3%		28
Q32	Learned about risk management and strategies for avoiding liability and illegality	5.0				3.6%	96.4%		28
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.8			10.7%	3.6%	85.7%		28
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.9				10.7%	89.3%		28
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				7.1%	92.9%		28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9				7.1%	92.9%		28

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Class Number: 16124

General Studies
GS-UY 105: MATH SEMINAR

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Kendall,Stephen

Response Rate: 5/49

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	60.0%				20.0%	20.0%	5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	40.0%				40.0%	20.0%	5
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.5	20.0%		20.0%		40.0%	20.0%
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.5	20.0%		20.0%		40.0%	20.0%
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.5	20.0%		20.0%		40.0%	20.0%
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.5	20.0%		20.0%		40.0%	20.0%
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.5	20.0%		20.0%		40.0%	20.0%
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.3	20.0%		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.3	20.0%		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.5	20.0%		20.0%		40.0%	20.0%
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.5	20.0%		20.0%		40.0%	20.0%
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.5	20.0%		20.0%		40.0%	20.0%

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-GY 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Class Number: 15418

Section: D

Primary Instructor: Sahu,Sambit

Response Rate: 28/41

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	19.2%						76.9%	3.8%	26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.							92.9%	7.1%	28
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6			3.6%	32.1%	64.3%			28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3		7.1%	7.1%	32.1%	53.6%			28
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.1	3.6%	17.9%	3.6%	17.9%	57.1%			28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6		7.1%		21.4%	71.4%			28
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3	7.1%	7.1%		25.0%	60.7%			28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0	7.1%	7.1%	10.7%	28.6%	46.4%			28
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4		10.7%	3.6%	17.9%	67.9%			28
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6			10.7%	17.9%	71.4%			28
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.2	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	14.3%	64.3%			28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5		3.6%	10.7%	17.9%	67.9%			28

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6343: HUMAN CAPITAL ENGINEERING & ANALYTICS

Class Number: 15355

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Conte,Vincent

Response Rate: 5/6

		<i>No</i>				<i>Yes</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		5
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6				40.0%	60.0%	5
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%	5
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0				100.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.8			60.0%		40.0%	5
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0		20.0%		40.0%	40.0%	5
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4				60.0%	40.0%	5
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	3.0	25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.0				100.0%		4
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.3			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%	4
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	5.0					100.0%	4
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.6	20.0%			60.0%	20.0%	5
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4				60.0%	40.0%	5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.8		20.0%		60.0%	20.0%	5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.6		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	5



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6343: HUMAN CAPITAL ENGINEERING & ANALYTICS

Class Number: 15380

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Conte,Vincent

Response Rate: 4/9

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			4
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.8		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.0			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.5		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3				75.0%	25.0%		4
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.0		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.3				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.0		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	3.3		50.0%		25.0%	25.0%		4
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	3.5		50.0%			50.0%		4
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.3			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	4.0		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	3.5	25.0%			50.0%	25.0%		4
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	3.5	25.0%			50.0%	25.0%		4
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	3.8			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.3			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.8		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3				75.0%	25.0%		4



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6343: HUMAN CAPITAL ENGINEERING & ANALYTICS

Class Number: 15380

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Conte,Vincent

Response Rate: 4/9

H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.8		25.0%	25.0%		50.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.8		25.0%	25.0%		50.0%		4



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
BMS-UY 1004: Introduction to Cell and Molecular Biology

Class Number: 16288

Section: B3LB

Primary Instructor: Parato, Julie Ann

Response Rate: 12/14

		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	5.0					100.0%		12
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.1	8.3%	16.7%		8.3%	66.7%		12
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				8.3%	91.7%		12
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.8			8.3%		91.7%		12
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.7		8.3%		8.3%	83.3%		12
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6	8.3%			8.3%	83.3%		12
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6	8.3%			8.3%	83.3%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3		8.3%		41.7%	50.0%		12
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0		16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		12
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.8		8.3%			91.7%		12
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5	8.3%			16.7%	75.0%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3	8.3%		8.3%	25.0%	58.3%		12
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.5		9.1%		18.2%	72.7%		11
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	4.5		9.1%		18.2%	72.7%		11
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	4.7			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
BMS-UY 2004: Introduction to Physiology

Class Number: 15795

Section: A1LB

Primary Instructor: Parato, Julie Ann

Response Rate: 5/6

		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	5.0					100.0%		5
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	5.0					100.0%		5
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		5
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	5.0					100.0%		5
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.6				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6				40.0%	60.0%		5
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0					100.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		5
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4				60.0%	40.0%		5
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		5
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.4		20.0%			80.0%		5
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.3				66.7%	33.3%		3
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS-UY 3114: Genetics

Class Number: 16011

Section: A2LB

Primary Instructor: Parato, Julie Ann

Response Rate: 9/10

		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		9
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%		9
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				22.2%	77.8%		9
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%		9
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.8				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		9
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%		9
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6				44.4%	55.6%		9
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%		9
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		9
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		9
A21	I have enhanced my ability to learn independently.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		9

Class Number: 14842

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-GY 6843: Computer Networking

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Zhao,Zhengxue

Response Rate: 45/64

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		45	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		45	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7		2.2%	2.2%	17.8%	77.8%		45
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6		4.4%	4.4%	17.8%	71.1%	2.2%	45
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4	2.2%	4.4%	6.7%	22.2%	64.4%		45
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7		2.2%	2.2%	15.6%	80.0%		45
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6		2.2%	4.4%	28.9%	64.4%		45
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6			4.4%	26.7%	68.9%		45
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4		2.2%	6.7%	42.2%	48.9%		45
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6		2.2%		37.8%	60.0%		45
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6		4.4%	2.2%	17.8%	75.6%		45
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6		2.2%		31.1%	66.7%		45

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-UY 4793: Computer Networking

Class Number: 20877

Section: C

Primary Instructor: Zhao,Zhengxue

Response Rate: 45/64

		No			Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		45	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		45	
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7		2.2%	2.2%	17.8%	77.8%		45
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6		4.4%	4.4%	17.8%	71.1%	2.2%	45
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4	2.2%	4.4%	6.7%	22.2%	64.4%		45
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7		2.2%	2.2%	15.6%	80.0%		45
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6		2.2%	4.4%	28.9%	64.4%		45
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6			4.4%	26.7%	68.9%		45
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4		2.2%	6.7%	42.2%	48.9%		45
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6		2.2%		37.8%	60.0%		45
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6		4.4%	2.2%	17.8%	75.6%		45
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6		2.2%		31.1%	66.7%		45



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL-GY 5373: INTERNET ARCHITECTURE & PROTOCOLS

Class Number: 14980

Section: JLEC

Primary Instructor: Zhao,Zhengxue

Response Rate: 33/62

		No			Yes			Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			33
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			33
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6		3.1%	6.3%	18.8%	71.9%		32
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5		3.0%	9.1%	21.2%	66.7%		33
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5	3.0%	3.0%	6.1%	15.2%	72.7%		33
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6	3.0%			24.2%	72.7%		33
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5		3.0%	3.0%	33.3%	60.6%		33
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5	3.0%		9.1%	21.2%	66.7%		33
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.2	3.0%	12.1%	9.1%	18.2%	57.6%		33
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6		3.0%	6.1%	21.2%	69.7%		33
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6		3.0%	6.1%	21.2%	69.7%		33
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6		3.0%	9.1%	12.1%	75.8%		33
H3. TA Evaluation		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	4.5	3.1%		3.1%	28.1%	65.6%		32
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	4.6	3.1%			28.1%	68.8%		32
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	4.5	3.1%	3.1%		28.1%	65.6%		32

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering
EL-GY 5373: INTERNET ARCHITECTURE & PROTOCOLS

Class Number: 25392

Section: I2LC

Primary Instructor: Zhao,Zhengxue

Response Rate: 15/46

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	13.3%						86.7%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.							93.3%	6.7%	15
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%			15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.2			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%			15
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7				26.7%	73.3%			15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7				26.7%	73.3%			15
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.5	13.3%	13.3%	13.3%	26.7%	33.3%			15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.7	7.1%		28.6%	42.9%	21.4%			14
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.4		20.0%	20.0%	60.0%				15
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0		6.7%	13.3%	53.3%	26.7%			15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.3	13.3%	26.7%	13.3%	13.3%	33.3%			15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.7	6.7%	13.3%	6.7%	53.3%	20.0%			15

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering
EL-GY 5373: INTERNET ARCHITECTURE & PROTOCOLS

Class Number: 25393

Section: J2LC

Primary Instructor: Zhao,Zhengxue

Response Rate: 39/46

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			39
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.1%				94.9%			39
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6			2.6%	30.8%	64.1%	2.6%	39
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.4	7.7%		5.1%	23.1%	64.1%		39
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6	5.3%		7.9%	7.9%	71.1%	7.9%	38
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7			7.7%	17.9%	71.8%	2.6%	39
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6				41.0%	59.0%		39
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5	2.6%		2.6%	35.9%	59.0%		39
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3	5.1%		15.4%	25.6%	53.8%		39
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6			2.6%	38.5%	59.0%		39
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.4	7.7%		7.7%	20.5%	64.1%		39
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7			7.7%	17.9%	74.4%		39
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H3. TA Evaluation									
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	4.5	2.9%		8.6%	22.9%	62.9%	2.9%	35
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	4.3	2.9%	5.7%	14.3%	11.4%	62.9%	2.9%	35
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	4.5	2.9%		11.4%	20.0%	62.9%	2.9%	35
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp

Class Number: 16233

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-UY 3303: Traffic Engineering

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Roess,Roger P.

Response Rate: 5/21

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			5	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			5	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		5	
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6	20.0%				80.0%		5	
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8					20.0%		80.0%	5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8					20.0%		80.0%	5
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4	20.0%				20.0%		60.0%	5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8					20.0%		80.0%	5
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q27	I understand how driver, vehicle, highway, and control device characteristics affect traffic streams and traffic stream operations.	4.8					20.0%		80.0%	5
Q28	I know how traffic streams are quantified, and how to use traffic stream data to understand underlying traffic problems.	4.8					20.0%		80.0%	5
Q29	I am able to develop signal timing plans for intersections for both pre-timed and actuated signals.	4.8					20.0%		80.0%	5
Q30	I am able to develop coordination plans for signals along an arterial.	4.8					20.0%		80.0%	5
Q31	I understand the importance of highway traffic safety as an over-riding professional obligation.	4.8					20.0%		80.0%	5
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4	20.0%				20.0%		60.0%	5
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4					60.0%		40.0%	5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%			5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.4					60.0%		40.0%	5
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.4					60.0%		40.0%	5
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.4					60.0%		40.0%	5
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	4.4					60.0%		40.0%	5

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-UY 3353: HISTORY OF NEW YORK CITY TRANSIT SYSTEM

Class Number: 15993

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Roess, Roger P.

Response Rate: 1/33

		<i>No</i>					<i>Yes</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
A. Procedures and Policies								<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		1
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.0				100.0%			1
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.0				100.0%			1
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0					100.0%		1
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.0			100.0%				1
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.0				100.0%			1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0				100.0%			1

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

HI-UY 2353: HISTORY OF NYC TRANSIT SYSTEM

Class Number: 16148

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Roess, Roger P.

Response Rate: 1/33

		<i>No</i>					<i>Yes</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		1
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.0				100.0%			1
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.0				100.0%			1
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0					100.0%		1
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.0			100.0%				1
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.0				100.0%			1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0				100.0%			1



A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		13	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		13	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		13	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		13	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		13
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		13
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7				30.8%	69.2%		13
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7				30.8%	69.2%		13
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.8		23.1%	15.4%	15.4%	46.2%		13
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.8		23.1%	15.4%	15.4%	46.2%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3		7.7%	15.4%	15.4%	53.8%	7.7%	13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3		7.7%	15.4%	15.4%	53.8%	7.7%	13
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.1		16.7%		41.7%	41.7%		12
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.1		16.7%		41.7%	41.7%		12
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6		7.7%		15.4%	76.9%		13
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6		7.7%		15.4%	76.9%		13
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.7			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.7			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.4		9.1%		36.4%	54.5%		11
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.4		9.1%		36.4%	54.5%		11
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.5		9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	72.7%		11
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.5		9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	72.7%		11
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.5			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.5			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3			7.7%	53.8%	38.5%		13
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3			7.7%	53.8%	38.5%		13
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Class Number: 15672

Dept. of Technology Management

G-GY 8783: CLOUD COMPUTING: PRINCIPLES, ECONOMICS, TECHNOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT PRACTI

Section: MOT

Primary Instructor: LITTLE,ERIC

Response Rate: 13/14

H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3		7.7%		46.2%	46.2%		13
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3		7.7%		46.2%	46.2%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5		7.7%		30.8%	61.5%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5		7.7%		30.8%	61.5%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.2	7.7%	7.7%		23.1%	61.5%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.2	7.7%	7.7%		23.1%	61.5%		13

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

EN-UY 2114W: MACHINES MADE OF WORDS I: POETRY AS DESIGN

Class Number: 16198

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Nadler, Alan

Response Rate: 9/17

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		9	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		9	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.4			22.2%	11.1%	66.7%		9
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3		11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	66.7%		9
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.1		11.1%		55.6%	33.3%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.5		11.1%	33.3%	33.3%	11.1%	11.1%	9
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to effectively communicate including being able to give oral presentations that increase knowledge, foster understanding, or encourage change.	3.2		33.3%	22.2%	33.3%	11.1%		9
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	4.1			11.1%	66.7%	22.2%		9
Q29	I developed perspectives of the humanities and social sciences to bear within technical discourse.	4.3			11.1%	44.4%	44.4%		9
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.8		22.2%	11.1%	33.3%	33.3%		9
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.8		22.2%		55.6%	22.2%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.1			22.2%	44.4%	33.3%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.7		11.1%	44.4%	11.1%	33.3%		9



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

EN-UY 2334W: THE INVENTION OF THE WORD

Class Number: 16271

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Nadler, Alan

Response Rate: 17/18

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.9%				82.4%	11.8%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	18.8%				68.8%	12.5%		16
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6			5.9%	23.5%	70.6%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.1		5.9%	23.5%	23.5%	47.1%		17
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6			5.9%	29.4%	64.7%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.2		11.8%	5.9%	35.3%	47.1%		17
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.9		17.6%	5.9%	41.2%	29.4%	5.9%	17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.9			35.3%	29.4%	29.4%	5.9%	17
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to effectively communicate including being able to give oral presentations that increase knowledge, foster understanding, or encourage change.	3.4		38.5%	15.4%	15.4%	30.8%		13
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	4.2		7.7%		53.8%	38.5%		13
Q29	I developed perspectives of the humanities and social sciences to bear within technical discourse.	3.9	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	38.5%	38.5%		13
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.8		11.8%	23.5%	35.3%	29.4%		17
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3		5.9%	5.9%	41.2%	47.1%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.1	5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	29.4%	47.1%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.8	5.9%	5.9%	17.6%	41.2%	29.4%		17

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

SEG-UY 2194W: WRITING ABOUT NATURE & THE ENVIRONMENT

Class Number: 19745

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Nadler, Alan

Response Rate: 18/18

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.6%				88.9%	5.6%	18	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	11.1%				66.7%	22.2%	18	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6			5.6%	27.8%	66.7%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7			5.6%	22.2%	72.2%		18
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7				27.8%	72.2%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8				22.2%	77.8%		18
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.2	5.9%		11.8%	17.6%	47.1%	17.6%	17
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to effectively communicate including being able to give oral presentations that increase knowledge, foster understanding, or encourage change.	4.5			5.9%	29.4%	52.9%	11.8%	17
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	4.7			5.9%	17.6%	76.5%		17
Q29	I developed perspectives of the humanities and social sciences to bear within technical discourse.	4.5			17.6%	17.6%	64.7%		17
Q30	I have enhanced my ability to commuicate effectively (oral & writing).	4.6			5.9%	23.5%	64.7%	5.9%	17
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5			11.1%	22.2%	61.1%	5.6%	18
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8			5.6%	11.1%	72.2%	11.1%	18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8			5.6%	11.1%	83.3%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6	5.6%		5.6%	5.6%	77.8%	5.6%	18



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-GY 6813: Information, Security and Privacy

Class Number: 15001

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Yan,Lok K

Response Rate: 4/6

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		4
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.5		50.0%			50.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.5		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	5.0					100.0%		4
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.0		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	4.3			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	4.0		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	3.0	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%		4
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	3.0		25.0%	50.0%	25.0%			4
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.3			75.0%	25.0%			4
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.0			75.0%			25.0%	4
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	4.3		25.0%			75.0%		4
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	4.3		25.0%			75.0%		4
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	2.8		75.0%			25.0%		4
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
BTE-GY 6023: Biotechnology and Health Care

Class Number: 14874

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Vulfson, Evgeny

Response Rate: 28/36

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		28
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				21.4%	78.6%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6		3.7%		25.9%	70.4%		27
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4			25.9%	7.4%	63.0%	3.7%	27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.2		14.3%	3.6%	32.1%	50.0%		28
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6		3.6%	10.7%	10.7%	75.0%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6		3.6%	3.6%	17.9%	75.0%		28
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1		17.9%	7.1%	25.0%	50.0%		28
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7		3.6%	7.1%	7.1%	78.6%	3.6%	28
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5		10.7%	3.6%	10.7%	71.4%	3.6%	28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6		10.7%		10.7%	75.0%	3.6%	28

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BTE-GY 6033: Biosensors and Biochips

Class Number: 14875

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Vulfson, Evgeny

Response Rate: 31/39

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		31
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		31
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				12.9%	87.1%		31
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7		3.2%		19.4%	77.4%		31
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8				19.4%	80.6%		31
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5		9.7%		16.1%	74.2%		31
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5		3.2%	3.2%	29.0%	64.5%		31
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5		3.2%	3.2%	29.0%	64.5%		31
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.2		9.7%		51.6%	38.7%		31
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6	3.2%			22.6%	74.2%		31
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7		3.2%	3.2%	12.9%	80.6%		31
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6		6.5%	3.2%	16.1%	74.2%		31

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
BT-GY 6023: Biotechnology and Health Care

Class Number: 14876

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Vulfson, Evgeny

Response Rate: 28/36

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		28
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				21.4%	78.6%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6		3.7%		25.9%	70.4%		27
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4			25.9%	7.4%	63.0%	3.7%	27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.2		14.3%	3.6%	32.1%	50.0%		28
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6		3.6%	10.7%	10.7%	75.0%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6		3.6%	3.6%	17.9%	75.0%		28
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1		17.9%	7.1%	25.0%	50.0%		28
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7		3.6%	7.1%	7.1%	78.6%	3.6%	28
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5		10.7%	3.6%	10.7%	71.4%	3.6%	28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6		10.7%		10.7%	75.0%	3.6%	28



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BT-GY 6033: Biosensors and Biochips

Class Number: 14877

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Vulfson, Evgeny

Response Rate: 31/39

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		31
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		31
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				12.9%	87.1%	31
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7		3.2%		19.4%	77.4%	31
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8				19.4%	80.6%	31
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5		9.7%		16.1%	74.2%	31
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5		3.2%	3.2%	29.0%	64.5%	31
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5		3.2%	3.2%	29.0%	64.5%	31
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.2		9.7%		51.6%	38.7%	31
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6	3.2%			22.6%	74.2%	31
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7		3.2%	3.2%	12.9%	80.6%	31
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6		6.5%	3.2%	16.1%	74.2%	31



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering
ME-UY 4983: SPECIAL TOPICS IN MECH ENGR

Class Number: 16109

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Clarke,Anthony

Response Rate: 26/29

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			23
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		25
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.9				12.5%	87.5%		24
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.9				11.5%	88.5%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8			3.8%	7.7%	88.5%		26
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.9				11.5%	88.5%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8				23.1%	76.9%		26
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned the ethical considerations encountered by engineers involving intellectual property	4.8				16.7%	50.0%	33.3%	6
Q28	Learned about contracts and agreements involving intellectual property rights which are routinely encountered by engineers.	4.5			16.7%		50.0%	33.3%	6
Q29	Learned about effective communication of intellectual property ideas and concepts.	4.8				16.7%	50.0%	33.3%	6
Q30	Understood the nature and value of intellectual property legal opinions.	4.8				16.7%	50.0%	33.3%	6
Q31	Understood the value and benefits to be derived from past failures, and the use of failure in achieving success.	4.8				16.7%	50.0%	33.3%	6
Q32	Learned about risk management and strategies for avoiding liability and illegality	5.0					60.0%	40.0%	5
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4		15.4%		11.5%	73.1%		26
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.9				11.5%	88.5%		26
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0				3.8%	96.2%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9				7.7%	92.3%		26

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM-UY 3113: Contemporary Techniques in Sound Art

Class Number: 15991

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Schumacher, Michael J

Response Rate: 7/7

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					85.7%	14.3%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		14.3%			71.4%	14.3%		7
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3		14.3%		28.6%	57.1%		7
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6				42.9%	57.1%		7
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		7
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3				71.4%	28.6%		7
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4				57.1%	42.9%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.4				57.1%	42.9%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6				42.9%	57.1%		7

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering
FRE-GY 6103: Corporate Finance

Class Number: 15277

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Xu,Zhaoxia

Response Rate: 9/29

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		9	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					88.9%	11.1%	9	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8				22.2%	77.8%		9
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%		9
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0					100.0%		9
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.8				22.2%	77.8%		9
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6		11.1%		11.1%	77.8%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%		9

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 6273: VALUATIONS & CORPORATE FINANCE

Class Number: 15278

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Xu,Zhaoxia

Response Rate: 5/19

		<i>No</i>			<i>Yes</i>			<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				100.0%				5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				100.0%				5
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.0	20.0%			20.0%	60.0%		5
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0		20.0%	20.0%		60.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.8		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.8	20.0%			40.0%	40.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6				40.0%	60.0%		5
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.4	20.0%		40.0%		40.0%		5
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0			20.0%	60.0%	20.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.8	20.0%			40.0%	40.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.8		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BE-GY 9443: Tissue Engineering

Class Number: 21191

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Levon, Kalle

Response Rate: 32/35

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	34.4%				59.4%	6.3%	32	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%				71.9%	3.1%	32	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6			9.4%	21.9%	68.8%		32
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.4		6.3%	3.1%	31.3%	59.4%		32
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.6	12.5%	12.5%	9.4%	31.3%	34.4%		32
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.9	3.1%	15.6%	9.4%	34.4%	37.5%		32
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.4		28.1%	18.8%	37.5%	15.6%		32
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.4	3.1%	25.0%	12.5%	40.6%	15.6%	3.1%	32
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.8		18.8%	12.5%	43.8%	25.0%		32
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.1		12.5%		46.9%	37.5%	3.1%	32
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.1	3.1%	9.4%	12.5%	28.1%	46.9%		32
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.8	9.4%	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	40.6%		32



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CBE-UY 2124: Analysis of Chemical and Biomolecular Processes

Class Number: 23932

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Levicky,Rastislav

Response Rate: 26/45

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		26	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		26	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0				3.8%	96.2%		26
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8			3.8%	7.7%	84.6%	3.8%	26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0				3.8%	96.2%		26
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.9				7.7%	92.3%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.9				11.5%	88.5%		26
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.7				26.9%	73.1%		26
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8				15.4%	84.6%		26
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0				3.8%	96.2%		26
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.8				23.1%	76.9%		26
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	4.4			11.5%	38.5%	46.2%	3.8%	26
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	4.5			11.5%	23.1%	65.4%		26

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-UY 3113: INTRO TO GAME PROGRAMMING

Class Number: 16070

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Safrin, Ivan

Response Rate: 16/32

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	6.3%						93.8%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.							100.0%		16
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%			16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6		6.3%		18.8%	68.8%		6.3%	16
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.8		31.3%	6.3%	18.8%	43.8%			16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6				37.5%	56.3%		6.3%	16
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7				25.0%	68.8%		6.3%	16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3			25.0%	12.5%	50.0%		12.5%	16
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3		6.3%	6.3%	37.5%	50.0%			16
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8				18.8%	81.3%			16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				12.5%	87.5%			16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9				12.5%	87.5%			16



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 1324: Integrated Calculus I for Engineers

Class Number: 15687

Section: C

Primary Instructor: Lo,Tsz Chung

Response Rate: 2/45

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		2
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%		2
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	5.0					50.0%	50.0%	2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	3.5		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	3.5		50.0%			50.0%		2
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0					100.0%		2



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 6871: R in Finance

Class Number: 15488

Section: I1

Primary Instructor: Pawlowski, Jerzy

Response Rate: 6/20

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.5			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.5			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.5			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	3.3	33.3%		16.7%		50.0%		6
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4			16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%	6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	3.3	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%		6
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0	16.7%		16.7%		66.7%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0	16.7%		16.7%		66.7%		6

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 7241: ALGORITHMIC PORTFOLIO MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 15373

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Pawlowski, Jerzy

Response Rate: 7/22

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning							100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	14.3%						85.7%		7
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%			7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%			7
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3	14.3%			14.3%	71.4%			7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.1		14.3%		42.9%	42.9%			7
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%			7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%			7
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.9	14.3%		14.3%	28.6%	42.9%			7
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6				42.9%	57.1%			7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.4		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%			7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%			7



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 6103: Corporate Finance

Class Number: 15633

Section: I3

Primary Instructor: Pepenella, Antonio

Response Rate: 2/6

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			2
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		2
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%		2
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0					100.0%		2
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%		2
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2

Class Number: 20079

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Montclare,Brandon

Response Rate: 15/18

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		15	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		15	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					93.3%	6.7%	15	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					93.3%	6.7%	15	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8				14.3%	78.6%	7.1%	14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8				14.3%	78.6%	7.1%	14
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.5		33.3%		53.3%	13.3%		15
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.5		33.3%		53.3%	13.3%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5		6.7%		26.7%	66.7%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5		6.7%		26.7%	66.7%		15
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		14
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7			6.7%	20.0%	73.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7			6.7%	20.0%	73.3%		15
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5				46.7%	53.3%		15
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5				46.7%	53.3%		15
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				13.3%	86.7%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				13.3%	86.7%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8			6.7%	6.7%	86.7%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8			6.7%	6.7%	86.7%		15

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.2%				93.5%		3.2%	31
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.7%				90.0%		3.3%	30
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6		3.2%	3.2%	25.8%	64.5%	3.2%	31
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.4		6.5%	6.5%	22.6%	61.3%	3.2%	31
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5		3.3%	3.3%	30.0%	60.0%	3.3%	30
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7			3.2%	25.8%	71.0%		31
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7			6.7%	16.7%	76.7%		30
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4		9.7%	6.5%	19.4%	64.5%		31
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.4		3.3%	10.0%	30.0%	53.3%	3.3%	30
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.5		3.3%	3.3%	33.3%	56.7%	3.3%	30
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.5			6.7%	36.7%	53.3%	3.3%	30
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.5			13.3%	20.0%	66.7%		30
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3	3.2%	3.2%	12.9%	25.8%	54.8%		31
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7				25.8%	71.0%	3.2%	31
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5		3.2%	9.7%	22.6%	61.3%	3.2%	31
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5		3.2%	9.7%	22.6%	64.5%		31



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

IE-GY 6113: Quality Control and Improvement

Class Number: 15512

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Ikhmeis,Sufian

Response Rate: 8/11

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		7
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.0	14.3%		14.3%	14.3%	57.1%		7
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.9	14.3%	14.3%		14.3%	57.1%		7
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.7	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%		57.1%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.9	14.3%	14.3%		14.3%	57.1%		7
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.1	14.3%			28.6%	57.1%		7
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1	14.3%			28.6%	57.1%		7
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	3.3	16.7%		50.0%		33.3%		6
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	3.7	16.7%	16.7%		16.7%	50.0%		6
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.0	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	3.8	16.7%		16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		6
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	3.8	16.7%	16.7%			66.7%		6
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	4.0	16.7%		16.7%		66.7%		6
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	3.8	16.7%	16.7%			66.7%		6
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	3.8	16.7%	16.7%			66.7%		6
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	4.0	16.7%		16.7%		66.7%		6
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	3.8	16.7%	16.7%			66.7%		6
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.2		16.7%	16.7%		66.7%		6
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	4.0	16.7%		16.7%		66.7%		6
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.0	16.7%		16.7%		66.7%		6
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.0	16.7%		16.7%		66.7%		6
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	3.7	16.7%	16.7%		16.7%	50.0%		6
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	3.5	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%		50.0%		6
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

IE-GY 6113: Quality Control and Improvement

Class Number: 15512

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Ikhmeis,Sufian

Response Rate: 8/11

H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.2	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.8	16.7%	16.7%			66.7%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.2	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6

Class Number: 14953

Dept. of Technology Management

IE-GY 6823: Factory Simulation

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Ikhmeis,Sufian

Response Rate: 24/27

A. Procedures and Policies		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						95.8%	4.2%	24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						95.8%	4.2%	24
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6		4.2%	4.2%	20.8%	70.8%		24
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6				41.7%	58.3%		24
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4	4.2%		8.3%	25.0%	58.3%	4.2%	24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5	4.2%		8.3%	20.8%	66.7%		24
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7		4.2%		16.7%	75.0%	4.2%	24
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6			8.3%	25.0%	62.5%	4.2%	24
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.4		4.2%	12.5%	20.8%	58.3%	4.2%	24
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.5			13.0%	21.7%	56.5%	8.7%	23
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.7			4.2%	16.7%	70.8%	8.3%	24
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.7				29.2%	62.5%	8.3%	24
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3			25.0%	16.7%	58.3%		24
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7			4.2%	25.0%	66.7%	4.2%	24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7			8.3%	12.5%	75.0%	4.2%	24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.4		4.2%	12.5%	16.7%	62.5%	4.2%	24

Class Number: 15513

Dept. of Technology Management

IE-GY 6823: Factory Simulation

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Ikhmeis,Sufian

Response Rate: 7/11

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		6
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.7	16.7%	16.7%		16.7%	50.0%		6
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.0	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%		33.3%		6
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	2.7	33.3%	16.7%		50.0%			6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.2	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%		6
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.7	16.7%			66.7%	16.7%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.8	16.7%			50.0%	33.3%		6
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	3.3	16.7%	16.7%		50.0%	16.7%		6
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	3.2	33.3%			50.0%	16.7%		6
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	3.2	33.3%			50.0%	16.7%		6
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	2.5	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%			6
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	2.7	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	33.3%			6
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	3.7	16.7%		16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		6
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	2.5	16.7%	50.0%		33.3%			6
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	2.8	16.7%	33.3%		50.0%			6
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	2.5	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%			6
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	3.0	33.3%			66.7%			6
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	3.2	33.3%			50.0%	16.7%		6
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	3.0	16.7%		33.3%	33.3%		16.7%	6
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.0	16.7%		33.3%	33.3%		16.7%	6
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.5	16.7%		16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		6
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	3.2	33.3%		16.7%	16.7%	33.3%		6
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	3.3	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		6
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	2.5	50.0%		16.7%	16.7%	16.7%		6



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Class Number: 15513

Dept. of Technology Management
IE-GY 6823: Factory Simulation

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Ikhmeis,Sufian

Response Rate: 7/11

H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.0	33.3%		16.7%	33.3%	16.7%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.0	33.3%			66.7%			6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.0	16.7%	33.3%		33.3%	16.7%		6



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-GY 8303: Information Systems in Project Management

Class Number: 14988

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Ergan,Semiha

Response Rate: 27/30

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.7%				92.6%	3.7%		27
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.7%				92.6%	3.7%		27
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.7%				92.6%	3.7%		27
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.7%				92.6%	3.7%		27
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3		3.7%	11.1%	33.3%	51.9%		27
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3		3.7%	11.1%	33.3%	51.9%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5			7.4%	33.3%	59.3%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5			7.4%	33.3%	59.3%		27
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6				37.0%	63.0%		27
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6				37.0%	63.0%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6			3.7%	37.0%	59.3%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6			3.7%	37.0%	59.3%		27
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0		14.8%	14.8%	25.9%	44.4%		27
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0		14.8%	14.8%	25.9%	44.4%		27
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1	3.7%	3.7%	11.1%	37.0%	44.4%		27
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1	3.7%	3.7%	11.1%	37.0%	44.4%		27
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.6	11.1%	14.8%	14.8%	25.9%	33.3%		27
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.6	11.1%	14.8%	14.8%	25.9%	33.3%		27
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.1		11.1%	11.1%	29.6%	40.7%	7.4%	27
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.1		11.1%	11.1%	29.6%	40.7%	7.4%	27
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.3		3.7%	14.8%	22.2%	55.6%	3.7%	27
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.3		3.7%	14.8%	22.2%	55.6%	3.7%	27
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.9	3.7%	7.4%	22.2%	25.9%	37.0%	3.7%	27
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.9	3.7%	7.4%	22.2%	25.9%	37.0%	3.7%	27



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-UY 4092: Leadership, Business Principles, Policy and Ethics in Civil Engineering

Class Number: 15727

Section: REC

Primary Instructor: Griffis, Fletcher

Response Rate: 22/26

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			21
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8		4.5%		9.1%	86.4%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3		4.5%	18.2%	18.2%	59.1%		22
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6		4.5%		22.7%	72.7%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5		9.1%		22.7%	68.2%		22
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6		4.5%		27.3%	68.2%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1		4.5%	31.8%	13.6%	50.0%		22
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I can apply the ASCE Code of Ethics to the solution of ethical problems confronting a practicing engineer.	4.8				21.1%	78.9%		19
Q28	I can explain the elements of project management in the public service and private sectors.	4.6		5.3%		26.3%	68.4%		19
Q29	I can demonstrate an appreciation of the challenges facing civil engineers in current professional practice.	4.8				21.1%	78.9%		19
Q30	I can develop short-term and long-term professional goals, to include consideration of continuing education and professional registration.	4.7				26.3%	73.7%		19
Q31	I can communicate effectively in writing.	4.6		5.3%		26.3%	68.4%		19
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4		4.5%		45.5%	50.0%		22
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4		4.5%	9.1%	27.3%	59.1%		22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				13.6%	86.4%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7				31.8%	68.2%		22
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	4.7				26.3%	73.7%		19
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	4.6				36.8%	63.2%		19
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	4.5			5.3%	36.8%	57.9%		19

Class Number: 14913

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6083: ECONOMICS

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Dreifus,Kenneth

Response Rate: 24/28

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		24
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9			4.2%	4.2%	87.5%	4.2%	24
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8			4.2%	16.7%	79.2%		24
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8			4.2%	12.5%	83.3%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8		4.2%		4.2%	91.7%		24
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5	4.2%	8.3%		8.3%	79.2%		24
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5	8.3%			12.5%	79.2%		24
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.3		12.5%		33.3%	54.2%		24
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.4		8.3%	12.5%	12.5%	66.7%		24
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.3		12.5%	8.3%	12.5%	66.7%		24
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.5		8.3%	8.3%	12.5%	70.8%		24
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.7				30.4%	69.6%		23
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5		8.3%	4.2%	12.5%	75.0%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6	4.2%	4.2%	4.2%		87.5%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7		4.2%	8.3%	4.2%	83.3%		24

Class Number: 15420

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6083: ECONOMICS

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Dreifus,Kenneth

Response Rate: 23/26

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		21
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				8.7%	91.3%		23
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8			8.7%	4.3%	87.0%		23
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7			4.3%	17.4%	78.3%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7			8.7%	8.7%	82.6%		23
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.9			4.3%	4.3%	91.3%		23
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8				17.4%	82.6%		23
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		21
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		21
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.6			4.8%	33.3%	61.9%		21
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.8				19.0%	81.0%		21
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.8				21.7%	78.3%		23
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8				17.4%	82.6%		23
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				8.7%	91.3%		23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9				13.0%	87.0%		23



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CBE-UY 3313: Transport I

Class Number: 15894

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Gallaway, Joshua

Response Rate: 45/56

		No			Yes			Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				100.0%				45
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.5%			93.2%			2.3%	44
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				100.0%			45
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0			2.3%	97.7%			44
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8				24.4%	75.6%		45
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6		2.2%	2.2%	26.7%	68.9%		45
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%		45
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4		6.7%	11.1%	22.2%	60.0%		45
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4	2.3%	4.5%	4.5%	25.0%	63.6%		44
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8			2.2%	11.1%	86.7%		45
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				8.9%	91.1%		45
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7		2.2%	4.4%	11.1%	82.2%		45
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.8			4.8%	9.5%	85.7%		42

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-UY 1002: FOUNDATIONS OF MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 15826

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Deak,Anthony M.

Response Rate: 11/15

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	9.1%				90.9%			11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.1%				90.9%			11
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3		9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	54.5%		11
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.0		9.1%	18.2%	36.4%	36.4%		11
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.4	9.1%	18.2%	9.1%	54.5%	9.1%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.7	9.1%		18.2%	54.5%	18.2%		11
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3		9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	54.5%		11
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5		9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	72.7%		11
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	3.5		20.0%	20.0%	50.0%	10.0%		10
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	3.9		20.0%		50.0%	30.0%		10
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	3.8	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	40.0%		10
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	3.9	10.0%		20.0%	30.0%	40.0%		10
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.8		18.2%	9.1%	45.5%	27.3%		11
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.2		18.2%		27.3%	54.5%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.7		36.4%		18.2%	45.5%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0		18.2%	9.1%	27.3%	45.5%		11



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-UY 1002: FOUNDATIONS OF MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 16161

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Deak,Anthony M.

Response Rate: 19/28

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.3%				84.2%	10.5%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			18
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.4		10.5%	5.3%	15.8%	68.4%		19
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.3	5.3%	21.1%	36.8%	15.8%	21.1%		19
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.6		15.8%	26.3%	36.8%	21.1%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.4	15.8%	10.5%	21.1%	26.3%			19
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.9	5.3%	10.5%	10.5%	36.8%	36.8%		19
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.6	5.3%	15.8%	26.3%	21.1%	31.6%		19
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	3.3	15.8%	15.8%	10.5%	36.8%	21.1%		19
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	3.6	5.3%	10.5%	21.1%	47.4%	15.8%		19
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	3.3	10.5%	10.5%	21.1%	52.6%	5.3%		19
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	3.7	5.3%	10.5%	21.1%	36.8%	26.3%		19
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.6		15.8%	26.3%	36.8%	21.1%		19
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.8	5.3%	10.5%	15.8%	36.8%	31.6%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.7	10.5%	10.5%	15.8%	15.8%	42.1%	5.3%	19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.6	5.3%	10.5%	21.1%	47.4%	15.8%		19



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6503: MANAGEMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND INFORMATION SYSTEMS

Class Number: 14918

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Bentahar, Abdellatif

Response Rate: 33/39

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		33
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		32
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5			3.0%	39.4%	57.6%		33
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3		6.1%	12.1%	27.3%	51.5%	3.0%	33
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3		12.1%		33.3%	51.5%	3.0%	33
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3		3.0%	21.2%	12.1%	60.6%	3.0%	33
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4		6.1%	3.0%	36.4%	54.5%		33
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5			15.2%	24.2%	60.6%		33
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.2	3.0%	6.1%	12.1%	30.3%	48.5%		33
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.3		3.0%	12.1%	33.3%	51.5%		33
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.2		12.1%	6.1%	30.3%	51.5%		33
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.4		6.1%	9.1%	21.2%	63.6%		33
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.2		9.1%	18.2%	15.2%	57.6%		33
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5		3.0%	12.1%	15.2%	66.7%	3.0%	33
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.4		6.1%	6.1%	27.3%	60.6%		33
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5	3.0%	6.1%	6.1%	12.1%	72.7%		33



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6503: MANAGEMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND INFORMATION SYSTEMS

Class Number: 15494

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Bentahar, Abdellatif

Response Rate: 7/8

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			7
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7			14.3%		85.7%		7
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		7
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4				57.1%	42.9%		7
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.6			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.4			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.6				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.7			14.3%		85.7%		7
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.7			14.3%		85.7%		7
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		7
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	4.7			14.3%		85.7%		7
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.6			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.4				57.1%	42.9%		7
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	4.4			28.6%		71.4%		7
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.6			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		7
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	5.0					100.0%		7
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	4.6			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Class Number: 15494

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6503: MANAGEMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND INFORMATION SYSTEMS

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Bentahar, Abdellatif

Response Rate: 7/8

H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		7

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			14
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8				21.4%	78.6%		14
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6			7.1%	28.6%	64.3%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5			14.3%	21.4%	64.3%		14
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6				35.7%	64.3%		14
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		14
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.1		7.1%	7.1%	57.1%	28.6%		14
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	3.9		7.1%	14.3%	57.1%	21.4%		14
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.0			21.4%	57.1%	21.4%		14
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.6				35.7%	64.3%		14
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5			7.1%	35.7%	57.1%		14
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4		7.1%		35.7%	57.1%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8				21.4%	78.6%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.4			7.1%	42.9%	50.0%		14

Class Number: 14984

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Jiang,Zhong-ping

Response Rate: 33/47

A. Procedures and Policies		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.0%					97.0%		33
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		31
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.2		12.1%	12.1%	24.2%	51.5%		33
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.1		12.1%	15.2%	21.2%	51.5%		33
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3	3.0%	6.1%	3.0%	30.3%	57.6%		33
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.2	3.0%	6.1%	9.1%	27.3%	54.5%		33
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.8	9.1%	15.2%	6.1%	30.3%	39.4%		33
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.6	3.0%	24.2%	9.1%	33.3%	30.3%		33
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.9	6.1%	9.1%	6.1%	42.4%	36.4%		33
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.9	3.0%	12.1%	6.1%	45.5%	33.3%		33
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.7	15.2%	9.1%	9.1%	24.2%	39.4%	3.0%	33
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.9		21.2%	3.0%	39.4%	33.3%	3.0%	33

Class Number: 21069

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering
EL-GY 6233: System Optimization Method

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Jiang,Zhong-ping

Response Rate: 4/6

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		4	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		4	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.8		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.5		25.0%		75.0%			4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.8			25.0%	75.0%			4
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	3.8		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.3				75.0%	25.0%		4
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	4.0			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	4.0			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.3				75.0%	25.0%		4
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	3.8			25.0%	75.0%			4
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.0				100.0%			4
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.0				100.0%			4
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	4.3				75.0%	25.0%		4
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	3.8			25.0%	75.0%			4
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.8			25.0%	75.0%			4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0				100.0%			4

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-GY 6543: Human Computer Interaction

Class Number: 20033

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Lutzky,Raymond Allen

Response Rate: 25/31

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		25
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				4.0%	96.0%		25
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		25
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0				4.0%	96.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7			8.0%	16.0%	76.0%		25
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8			4.0%	16.0%	80.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6		4.0%	4.0%	16.0%	76.0%		25
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3	4.0%	4.0%	8.0%	28.0%	56.0%		25
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5		4.0%		36.0%	60.0%		25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9			4.0%		96.0%		25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6			12.0%	20.0%	68.0%		25

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-UY 4543: Human Computer Interaction

Class Number: 20055

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Lutzky,Raymond Allen

Response Rate: 25/31

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		25
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				4.0%	96.0%		25
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		25
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0				4.0%	96.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7			8.0%	16.0%	76.0%		25
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8			4.0%	16.0%	80.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6		4.0%	4.0%	16.0%	76.0%		25
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3	4.0%	4.0%	8.0%	28.0%	56.0%		25
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5		4.0%		36.0%	60.0%		25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9			4.0%		96.0%		25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6			12.0%	20.0%	68.0%		25

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society
DM-UY 1123: Visual Foundation Studio

Class Number: 15876

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Duff,Deangela L

Response Rate: 19/20

		<i>No</i>			<i>Yes</i>			<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				100.0%				19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				100.0%				18
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8			5.3%	5.3%	89.5%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.9				10.5%	89.5%		19
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%		19
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.9				5.3%	94.7%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6		5.3%		26.3%	68.4%		19
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4			10.5%	36.8%	52.6%		19
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8				21.1%	78.9%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				5.3%	94.7%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9				10.5%	89.5%		19

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 9753: SELECTED TOPICS IN MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 15250

Section: 55BA

Primary Instructor: O'Reilly,Kieran T

Response Rate: 37/42

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		37
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					97.2%	2.8%	36
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				2.7%	97.3%	37
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.9				8.1%	91.9%	37
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.9				13.5%	86.5%	37
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6		8.1%	2.7%	8.1%	81.1%	37
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.9				10.8%	89.2%	37
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7				29.7%	70.3%	37
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.8			6.1%	12.1%	81.8%	33
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.7		6.1%		9.1%	84.8%	33
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.8			6.1%	12.1%	81.8%	33
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.9				12.1%	87.9%	33
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.7		5.4%		13.5%	81.1%	37
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8			2.7%	10.8%	86.5%	37
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				8.1%	91.9%	37
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9			2.7%	5.4%	91.9%	37



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
BMS-UY 1004: Introduction to Cell and Molecular Biology

Class Number: 15777

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Choy, Brenda Gee

Response Rate: 28/43

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	10.7%				85.7%	3.6%	28	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	10.7%				85.7%	3.6%	28	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3		3.6%		53.6%	39.3%	3.6%	28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6			10.7%	17.9%	67.9%	3.6%	28
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.2			21.4%	32.1%	39.3%	7.1%	28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.0	14.3%	28.6%	10.7%	32.1%	10.7%	3.6%	28
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.8	10.7%	10.7%	7.1%	28.6%	39.3%	3.6%	28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0	3.6%	10.7%	3.6%	42.9%	35.7%	3.6%	28
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.8		14.3%	14.3%	46.4%	21.4%	3.6%	28
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0	3.6%	3.6%	14.3%	42.9%	32.1%	3.6%	28
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6				39.3%	57.1%	3.6%	28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.4	14.3%	7.1%	17.9%	35.7%	21.4%	3.6%	28
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	3.8	3.6%	3.6%	28.6%	35.7%	25.0%	3.6%	28
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	4.0	3.6%	3.6%	14.3%	42.9%	32.1%	3.6%	28
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	3.8		7.1%	28.6%	35.7%	25.0%	3.6%	28
X. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.1	7.1%		3.6%	53.6%	32.1%	3.6%	28
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	4.1	10.7%		7.1%	28.6%	50.0%	3.6%	28
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	3.2	14.3%	21.4%	7.1%	35.7%	17.9%	3.6%	28
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	4.0	10.7%	7.1%	3.6%	25.0%	50.0%	3.6%	28

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
BMS-UY 1004: Introduction to Cell and Molecular Biology

Class Number: 16101

Section: B1LB

Primary Instructor: Choy, Brenda Gee

Response Rate: 5/5

		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	5.0					100.0%		5
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		5
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		5
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	5.0					100.0%		5
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.6				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		5
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0					100.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.2		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.8		20.0%		60.0%	20.0%		5
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		5
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.4			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.4			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	4.6				40.0%	60.0%		5
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	4.2			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS-UY 2004: Introduction to Physiology

Class Number: 16289

Section: A2LB

Primary Instructor: Choy, Brenda Gee

Response Rate: 9/10

		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	5.0					100.0%		9
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.8				22.2%	77.8%		9
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		9
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	5.0					100.0%		9
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.2		22.2%		11.1%	66.7%		9
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		9
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0					100.0%		9
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6		11.1%		11.1%	77.8%		9
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		9
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0					100.0%		9
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.4				55.6%	44.4%		9
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	4.6				44.4%	55.6%		9
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	4.4				55.6%	44.4%		9

Class Number: 15725

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-UY 3513: Construction Scheduling

Section: LCLB

Primary Instructor: Otruba,Robert

Response Rate: 20/31

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		20
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.9			5.0%		95.0%		20
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.2		5.0%	5.0%	55.0%	35.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.9				10.0%	90.0%		20
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.9				10.0%	90.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.2		10.0%	20.0%	15.0%	55.0%		20
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I can apply the Critical Path Method (CPM) to construction projects using both arrow and precedence diagram networks.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		18
Q28	I can estimate project activity durations.	4.7				27.8%	72.2%		18
Q29	I can apply resources to a schedule and level those resources.	4.7			5.6%	22.2%	72.2%		18
Q30	I can apply activity constraints to a schedule.	4.6				44.4%	55.6%		18
Q31	I can function in a construction environment with regards to schedule related issues.	4.6			5.6%	33.3%	61.1%		18
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6				45.0%	55.0%		20
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0				5.0%	95.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0				5.0%	95.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9				10.0%	90.0%		20
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.8			5.6%	11.1%	83.3%		18
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	4.7			5.6%	16.7%	77.8%		18
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		18



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-UY 2213: FLUID MECHANICS AND HYDRAULICS

Class Number: 15957

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Altayyar, Yaser

Response Rate: 9/9

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	33.3%				66.7%		9	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					77.8%	22.2%	9	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.7		11.1%	33.3%	33.3%	22.2%		9
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3			22.2%	22.2%	55.6%		9
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6				44.4%	55.6%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8				22.2%	77.8%		9
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.2		11.1%		44.4%	44.4%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.4	11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	33.3%	22.2%		9
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an understanding of the nomenclature and standard units associated with fluid mechanics as it relates to civil engineering and become adept in their use in problem solving.	3.8	11.1%		22.2%	33.3%	33.3%		9
Q28	I learned how to quantify fluid pressure and forces on submerged surfaces under static conditions.	4.1		11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	44.4%		9
Q29	I learned how to quantify flow rate, pressure and forces in flowing fluids by using conservation of mass, energy and momentum.	4.0	11.1%		11.1%	33.3%	44.4%		9
Q30	I Use laboratory exercises to develop better understanding of fluid properties, and static and dynamic behavior of real fluids.	4.0	11.1%		11.1%	33.3%	44.4%		9
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.2			22.2%	33.3%	44.4%		9
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.6				44.4%	55.6%		9
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		8
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	3.8	12.5%		12.5%	50.0%	25.0%		8
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	3.8	12.5%		25.0%	25.0%	37.5%		8
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	3.4	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		8

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp				
A. Procedures and Policies													
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			4				
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			4				
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp				
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.					4.0			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp				
B1. The Laboratory Instructor													
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.					4.0			50.0%		50.0%		4
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp				
C. Graded Assignments and Exams													
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.					4.3			75.0%	25.0%		4	
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.					4.5			50.0%	50.0%		4	
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp				
D. Instruction													
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.					3.5		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.					4.0		50.0%		50.0%		4	
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp				
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:													
Q27	I developed an understanding of the nomenclature and standard units associated with fluid mechanics as it relates to civil engineering and become adept in their use in problem solving.					4.0		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3	
Q28	I learned how to quantify fluid pressure and forces on submerged surfaces under static conditions.					4.0		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3	
Q29	I learned how to quantify flow rate, pressure and forces in flowing fluids by using conservation of mass, energy and momentum.					4.0		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3	
Q30	I Use laboratory exercises to develop better understanding of fluid properties, and static and dynamic behavior of real fluids.					4.0		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3	
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp				
G1. Course Difficulty													
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.					4.0		25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4	
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp				
H. Overall Evaluations													
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.					4.5			50.0%	50.0%		4	
Q40	Value was added to my education.					4.3		25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4	
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.					4.0		25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4	
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp				
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:													
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering					4.0		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3	
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data					4.0		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3	
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs					3.5		50.0%	50.0%			2	

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-GY 8343: Construction Site Safety

Class Number: 15648

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Amato, Peter

Response Rate: 26/28

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.8%						96.2%		26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.7%						84.6%	7.7%	26
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				11.5%	88.5%			26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5			11.5%	23.1%	65.4%			26
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6			3.8%	30.8%	65.4%			26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7			3.8%	23.1%	73.1%			26
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7			3.8%	26.9%	69.2%			26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4			20.0%	16.0%	60.0%	4.0%		25
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6			3.8%	34.6%	61.5%			26
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7				30.8%	69.2%			26
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6		3.8%	3.8%	19.2%	73.1%			26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7			3.8%	19.2%	76.9%			26

Class Number: 16225

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering
CE-UY 2123: MECHANICS OF MATERIALS

Section: LCLB

Primary Instructor: Sidelev,Oleksiy V

Response Rate: 18/22

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.6%				94.4%		18	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		17	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8			5.6%	11.1%	83.3%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.2		5.6%	22.2%	22.2%	50.0%		18
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	2.8	27.8%	11.1%	27.8%	22.2%	11.1%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.8		16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		18
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3		5.6%	5.6%	44.4%	44.4%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4			11.1%	38.9%	50.0%		18
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I understand basic principles of stress and strain in axial loading, shear, torsion and bending.	4.5				47.1%	52.9%		17
Q28	I understand the principles of transformation of stress for design.	4.4			5.9%	52.9%	41.2%		17
Q29	I can evaluate deformation in typical solid elements subject to external forces.	4.5				52.9%	47.1%		17
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.6	5.6%		38.9%	38.9%	16.7%		18
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.2		5.6%	5.6%	44.4%	33.3%	11.1%	18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.4			16.7%	22.2%	61.1%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.2			27.8%	22.2%	44.4%	5.6%	18
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.6			5.9%	29.4%	64.7%		17
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	4.4		5.9%	5.9%	29.4%	58.8%		17
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.4		5.9%	5.9%	35.3%	52.9%		17



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM-UY 2193: Intro to Web Development

Class Number: 21195

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Adee,Kathryn A

Response Rate: 15/18

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	14.3%				85.7%			14
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.9				13.3%	86.7%		15
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5			20.0%	13.3%	66.7%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7			6.7%	13.3%	80.0%		15
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3			26.7%	13.3%	60.0%		15
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4			13.3%	33.3%	53.3%		15
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.9				13.3%	86.7%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9				6.7%	93.3%		15



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS-GY 6033: Design and Analysis of Algorithms I

Class Number: 14827

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Aronov,Boris

Response Rate: 51/65

		<i>No</i>			<i>Yes</i>			<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				100.0%				50
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				100.0%				51
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8			2.0%	11.8%	86.3%		51
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7		2.0%	2.0%	17.6%	78.4%		51
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6		5.9%		23.5%	70.6%		51
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5	4.0%		4.0%	26.0%	66.0%		50
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6		2.0%	5.9%	19.6%	72.5%		51
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6	2.0%		7.8%	19.6%	70.6%		51
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5		3.9%	7.8%	25.5%	60.8%	2.0%	51
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8			3.9%	13.7%	82.4%		51
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5	2.0%	3.9%	7.8%	11.8%	74.5%		51
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8			2.0%	18.0%	80.0%		50



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 3113: Advanced Linear Algebra and Complex Variables

Class Number: 20787

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Yang,Yisong

Response Rate: 10/43

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	10.0%						80.0%	10.0%	10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	10.0%						80.0%	10.0%	10
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.1		10.0%	20.0%		50.0%		20.0%	10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.0	10.0%	20.0%	40.0%		20.0%		10.0%	10
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.9		10.0%	10.0%	50.0%	20.0%		10.0%	10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.6		20.0%	20.0%	30.0%	20.0%		10.0%	10
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.0	10.0%	30.0%	10.0%	30.0%	10.0%		10.0%	10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	2.7	10.0%	50.0%		20.0%	10.0%		10.0%	10
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	2.8	20.0%		50.0%		10.0%		20.0%	10
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	2.3	30.0%	20.0%	20.0%		10.0%		20.0%	10
Q29	I need more HW problems.	2.3	50.0%	10.0%		10.0%	20.0%		10.0%	10
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.4	10.0%		30.0%	40.0%	10.0%		10.0%	10
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.7	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	30.0%		10.0%	10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	2.7	40.0%	10.0%		20.0%	20.0%		10.0%	10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	2.7	30.0%		40.0%	10.0%	10.0%		10.0%	10



		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		1
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		1
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%		1
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0					100.0%		1
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	3.0			100.0%				1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	3.0			100.0%				1
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	5.0					100.0%		1
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0					100.0%		1
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	5.0					100.0%		1
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	5.0					100.0%		1
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	5.0					100.0%		1
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	5.0					100.0%		1
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	5.0					100.0%		1
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	5.0					100.0%		1
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	5.0					100.0%		1
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	5.0					100.0%		1
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	5.0					100.0%		1



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 4413: APPLIED PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Class Number: 25058

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Yang, Yisong

Response Rate: 1/6

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	5.0					100.0%		1
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	5.0					100.0%		1

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FIN-UY 2003: Economic Foundations of Finance

Class Number: 15822

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Biederman,Paul

Response Rate: 4/24

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			4
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.		3.8		25.0%	75.0%			4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.		3.8		50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.		4.3		25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.		4.0		50.0%		50.0%		4
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.		3.5		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.		4.8			25.0%	75.0%		4
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.		3.5		50.0%	50.0%			4
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.		3.8		50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.		3.5		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.		3.5		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering
FRE-GY 6391: MERGERS & ACQUISITIONS

Class Number: 15398

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Biederman,Paul

Response Rate: 1/12

		<i>No</i>				<i>Yes</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		1
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.0				100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%	1
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.0			100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.0			100.0%			1
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	2.0		100.0%				1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0					100.0%	1
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	2.0		100.0%				1
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	1.0	100.0%					1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	1.0	100.0%					1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	1.0	100.0%					1

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

PL-UY 2134W: Philosophy of Science, Technology and Society in China and India

Class Number: 19739

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Sjursen, Harold P

Response Rate: 17/20

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.9%				94.1%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.9%				94.1%		17
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8			5.9%	11.8%	82.4%	17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6				35.3%	64.7%	17
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5			5.9%	41.2%	52.9%	17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.2		5.9%	11.8%	41.2%	41.2%	17
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0		5.9%	23.5%	35.3%	35.3%	17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.6	5.9%	5.9%	35.3%	17.6%	29.4%	17
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.9			29.4%	52.9%	17.6%	17
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.9	5.9%	11.8%	5.9%	35.3%	41.2%	17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.2	5.9%		5.9%	41.2%	47.1%	17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.1	5.9%		17.6%	29.4%	47.1%	17

Class Number: 15002

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Budofsky, Marc R.

Response Rate: 5/7

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			5
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.4			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.4			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.2		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.2		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.2		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.6	20.0%		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.0		20.0%		40.0%	40.0%		5
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	3.4	20.0%	20.0%		20.0%	40.0%		5
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.2		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	3.4	20.0%		20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	3.8		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.6				40.0%	60.0%		5
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	3.8		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	3.4			80.0%		20.0%		5
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.8			60.0%		40.0%		5
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.4			80.0%		20.0%		5
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	4.6				40.0%	60.0%		5
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	4.4			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.8		20.0%		60.0%	20.0%		5
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.8		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0		20.0%		40.0%	40.0%		5



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Interdisciplinary Studies

BI-GY 7653: NEXT GENERATION SEQUENCE ANALYSIS

Class Number: 15356

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Flowers,Jonathan

Response Rate: 6/10

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			6
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		6
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.2		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5		16.7%			83.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		6
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	4.5		16.7%			83.3%		6
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.5		16.7%			83.3%		6
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.5			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	3.7			66.7%		33.3%		6
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.7			66.7%		33.3%		6
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.7			66.7%		33.3%		6
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		6
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	4.3			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.2		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 4311: Heat Transfer Laboratory

Class Number: 15808

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Tafuni, Angelantonio

Response Rate: 33/33

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	5.0				3.0%	97.0%		33
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.2	3.0%	12.1%		27.3%	57.6%		33
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				6.1%	90.9%	3.0%	33
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.9				6.1%	90.9%	3.0%	33
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.8			3.0%	18.2%	78.8%		33
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7		3.0%	3.0%	15.2%	78.8%		33
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7			6.1%	21.2%	72.7%		33
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8		3.0%		15.2%	81.8%		33
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q27	Understood the basic modes of heat transfer	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		33
Q28	Learned to design heat transfer experiments	4.5	3.0%			39.4%	57.6%		33
Q29	Was able to carry out heat transfer experiments and report the results in an effective manner	4.7				27.3%	72.7%		33
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.7			6.1%	18.2%	75.8%		33
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.9				12.1%	84.8%	3.0%	33
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7		3.0%	3.0%	12.1%	81.8%		33
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7	3.0%		3.0%	15.2%	78.8%		33
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.7			3.0%	24.2%	72.7%		33
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	4.7			3.0%	24.2%	72.7%		33
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	4.7		3.0%		21.2%	75.8%		33



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering
ME-UY 4311: Heat Transfer Laboratory

Class Number: 16038

Section: BLAB

Primary Instructor: Tafuni, Angelantonio

Response Rate: 19/20

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.9				5.3%	94.7%		19
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.2		15.8%		31.6%	52.6%		19
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				5.3%	94.7%		19
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.9				5.3%	94.7%		19
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.6			5.3%	26.3%	68.4%		19
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4		5.3%	15.8%	10.5%	68.4%		19
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8				21.1%	78.9%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6			10.5%	15.8%	73.7%		19
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Understood the basic modes of heat transfer	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		18
Q28	Learned to design heat transfer experiments	4.2		11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	55.6%		18
Q29	Was able to carry out heat transfer experiments and report the results in an effective manner	4.4		5.6%	5.6%	27.8%	61.1%		18
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6			5.3%	26.3%	68.4%		19
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.9				5.3%	94.7%		19
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7			5.3%	15.8%	78.9%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7				31.6%	68.4%		19
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.4			11.1%	38.9%	50.0%		18
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	4.3			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		18
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	4.3		5.6%	11.1%	33.3%	50.0%		18



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering
ME-UY 4311: Heat Transfer Laboratory

Class Number: 20104

Section: CLAB

Primary Instructor: Tafuni, Angelantonio

Response Rate: 31/31

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.9				6.5%	93.5%		31
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.2	6.5%	12.9%		19.4%	61.3%		31
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				3.2%	96.8%		31
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	5.0				3.2%	96.8%		31
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.8				23.3%	76.7%		30
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6			9.7%	16.1%	74.2%		31
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6			3.2%	29.0%	67.7%		31
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6			3.2%	32.3%	64.5%		31
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	Understood the basic modes of heat transfer	4.6			3.2%	29.0%	67.7%		31
Q28	Learned to design heat transfer experiments	4.5		3.2%	6.5%	32.3%	58.1%		31
Q29	Was able to carry out heat transfer experiments and report the results in an effective manner	4.6			3.2%	32.3%	64.5%		31
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.7				25.8%	74.2%		31
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.9				9.7%	90.3%		31
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7				29.0%	71.0%		31
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7			6.5%	19.4%	74.2%		31
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.6			3.2%	32.3%	64.5%		31
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	4.5			3.2%	41.9%	54.8%		31
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	4.5			6.5%	32.3%	61.3%		31



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS-GY 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Class Number: 21194

Section: J

Primary Instructor: Shanmugasundaram,Kulesh

Response Rate: 27/27

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.7%						96.3%		27
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	11.1%						88.9%		27
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%			27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8		3.7%		7.4%	88.9%			27
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.7	7.4%	18.5%	11.1%	18.5%	40.7%	3.7%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6		3.7%	7.4%	14.8%	74.1%			27
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5			7.4%	33.3%	59.3%			27
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3	3.7%	3.7%	11.1%	22.2%	55.6%	3.7%		27
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6		3.7%	3.7%	22.2%	70.4%			27
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7			3.7%	18.5%	77.8%			27
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7			3.7%	25.9%	70.4%			27
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%			27

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

TR-GY 8023: Selected Topics in Transportation II

Class Number: 23853

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Chow, Ying Jun Joseph

Response Rate: 12/16

		No			Yes			Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			12
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3		18.2%	9.1%		72.7%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3	8.3%	8.3%		16.7%	66.7%		12
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.1	8.3%	16.7%		8.3%	66.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.0	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	16.7%	50.0%	8.3%	12
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.8		8.3%	41.7%	8.3%	41.7%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.3	16.7%	16.7%	25.0%	8.3%	33.3%		12
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	2.3	58.3%	8.3%		16.7%	16.7%		12
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.8		16.7%	8.3%	50.0%	25.0%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.7	16.7%		25.0%	16.7%	41.7%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	2.9	25.0%	16.7%	25.0%	8.3%	25.0%		12



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 3223: Dynamics

Class Number: 15803

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Mansoor-Baghaei,Shahab

Response Rate: 32/48

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	9.4%				68.8%	21.9%	32	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	18.8%				75.0%	6.3%	32	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				9.4%	90.6%		32
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8			6.3%	6.3%	84.4%	3.1%	32
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8		3.1%		9.4%	87.5%		32
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.9				12.5%	87.5%		32
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		32
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7				31.3%	68.8%		32
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned kinematics of particles using rectilinear and curvilinear coordinates and dynamics of particles	4.8				16.1%	83.9%		31
Q28	Learned concepts such as: Newton's laws, work, energy, impulse, momentum, conservative force fields, and impact	4.8				16.1%	83.9%		31
Q29	Learned modeling of systems of particles	4.7			6.5%	12.9%	80.6%		31
Q30	Learned kinematics of translation and rotation and planar motion of rigid bodies	4.6			6.5%	22.6%	64.5%	6.5%	31
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3		3.1%	3.1%	56.3%	34.4%	3.1%	32
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8				21.9%	78.1%		32
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				6.3%	93.8%		32
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7			3.1%	21.9%	75.0%		32
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.8				22.6%	77.4%		31
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	4.8				22.6%	77.4%		31
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.7			3.2%	25.8%	71.0%		31

Class Number: 19748

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

STS-UY 2904: Special Topics in STS

Section: C

Primary Instructor: Restivo,Sal P.

Response Rate: 22/24

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						95.5%	4.5%	22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						95.5%	4.5%	22
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8		4.5%		9.1%	86.4%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5		4.5%	9.1%	18.2%	68.2%		22
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3	4.5%		13.6%	27.3%	54.5%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5			13.6%	18.2%	68.2%		22
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5	4.5%		9.1%	9.1%	77.3%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6		4.5%	4.5%	18.2%	72.7%		22
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate the relationship between content of scientific and technological knowledge, and the social and intellectual context in which it is created.	4.5	4.8%	4.8%		19.0%	71.4%		21
Q28	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how social processes frame scientific and technological enterprises, including theory construction, invention, and innovation.	4.4	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%	14.3%	71.4%		21
Q29	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how science and technology shape society (in historical, philosophical, sociological, cultural and technical ways).	4.5	4.8%	4.8%		14.3%	76.2%		21
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4		4.5%	9.1%	27.3%	59.1%		22
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5	4.5%	4.5%		18.2%	72.7%		22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8		4.5%		9.1%	86.4%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7		4.5%	4.5%	9.1%	81.8%		22

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society
STS-UY 3904: Special Topics in STS

Class Number: 19750

Section: C

Primary Instructor: Restivo, Sal P.

Response Rate: 14/23

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	14.3%				64.3%		21.4%	14
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6			14.3%	7.1%	78.6%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.9			42.9%	28.6%	28.6%		14
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3	7.1%			50.0%	42.9%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5			14.3%	21.4%	64.3%		14
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0	14.3%		21.4%	14.3%	50.0%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6			7.1%	28.6%	64.3%		14
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3	7.1%		21.4%	7.1%	64.3%		14
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5			14.3%	21.4%	64.3%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		14



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering
EE-UY 4163: SIGNAL AND IMAGE PROCESSING

Class Number: 20431

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Selesnick, Ivan W

Response Rate: 34/62

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			34
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			34
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8	2.9%			8.8%	88.2%		34
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8	2.9%			11.8%	85.3%		34
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3	2.9%	5.9%	5.9%	32.4%	52.9%		34
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6			5.9%	26.5%	67.6%		34
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6	2.9%			26.5%	70.6%		34
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6		2.9%	11.8%	11.8%	73.5%		34
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5			5.9%	35.3%	58.8%		34
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7	2.9%			14.7%	82.4%		34
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7	2.9%			17.6%	79.4%		34
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6	2.9%		2.9%	17.6%	76.5%		34
H3. TA Evaluation		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	4.2		3.4%	20.7%	27.6%	48.3%		29
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	4.3			17.2%	37.9%	44.8%		29
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	4.3		3.4%	6.9%	44.8%	44.8%		29

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering
EL-GY 6113: Digital Signal Processing I

Class Number: 23864

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Selesnick, Ivan W

Response Rate: 63/78

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		63	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		62	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				4.8%	95.2%		63
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.9			1.6%	11.1%	87.3%		63
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8		1.6%		11.1%	87.3%		63
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8		1.6%	1.6%	11.1%	84.1%	1.6%	63
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8		1.6%		17.5%	81.0%		63
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8		1.6%	3.2%	11.1%	84.1%		63
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4	3.2%	4.8%	3.2%	28.6%	60.3%		63
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%		63
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9		1.6%		9.5%	88.9%		63
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8		1.6%		17.5%	81.0%		63



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL-GY 6183: Digital Signal Processing Laboratory

Class Number: 22902

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Selesnick, Ivan W

Response Rate: 34/62

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			34
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			34
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8	2.9%			8.8%	88.2%		34
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8	2.9%			11.8%	85.3%		34
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3	2.9%	5.9%	5.9%	32.4%	52.9%		34
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6			5.9%	26.5%	67.6%		34
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6	2.9%			26.5%	70.6%		34
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6	2.9%		11.8%	11.8%	73.5%		34
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5			5.9%	35.3%	58.8%		34
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7	2.9%			14.7%	82.4%		34
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7	2.9%			17.6%	79.4%		34
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6	2.9%	2.9%		17.6%	76.5%		34
H3. TA Evaluation		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	4.2	3.4%		20.7%	27.6%	48.3%		29
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	4.3			17.2%	37.9%	44.8%		29
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	4.3	3.4%		6.9%	44.8%	44.8%		29

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society
STS-UY 2604: Ethics and Engineering

Class Number: 16213

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Silberman, Jared H

Response Rate: 22/25

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					95.5%	4.5%		22
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7				27.3%	72.7%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8				18.2%	81.8%		22
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4		4.5%		45.5%	50.0%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8				18.2%	81.8%		22
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1			22.7%	45.5%	31.8%		22
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3			13.6%	45.5%	40.9%		22
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7				27.3%	72.7%		22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8				18.2%	81.8%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7				27.3%	72.7%		22



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 2034: Linear Algebra and Differential Equations

Class Number: 16186

Section: C2

Primary Instructor: Jacobovits,Rachel

Response Rate: 4/33

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		4	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		4	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				100.0%			4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0				100.0%			4
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	2.8	25.0%		50.0%	25.0%			4
Q29	I need more HW problems.	2.3	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%				4
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0					100.0%		4



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 2034: Linear Algebra and Differential Equations

Class Number: 20010

Section: F2

Primary Instructor: Jacobovits,Rachel

Response Rate: 3/24

		No			Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp		
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	33.3%			66.7%		3		
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	33.3%			66.7%		3		
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3			33.3%		66.7%		3
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3			33.3%		66.7%		3
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	4.3			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	3.3		33.3%	33.3%		33.3%		3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	3.3		33.3%	33.3%		33.3%		3
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.7			33.3%	66.7%			3
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.3			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CBE-UY 4143: Process Dynamics and Control

Class Number: 15717

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Hartman,Ryan Lee

Response Rate: 31/49

		No			Yes			Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			31
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			31
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5	3.2%	3.2%	3.2%	19.4%	71.0%		31
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5		3.2%	6.5%	25.8%	64.5%		31
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8			6.5%	3.2%	87.1%	3.2%	31
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8			3.2%	12.9%	83.9%		31
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5	3.2%	3.2%		22.6%	71.0%		31
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8			3.2%	16.1%	80.6%		31
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3		3.2%	16.1%	25.8%	54.8%		31
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5	3.2%	6.5%	3.2%	16.1%	71.0%		31
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7	3.2%		3.2%	12.9%	80.6%		31
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8	3.2%			9.7%	87.1%		31
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.5	3.2%		9.7%	19.4%	67.7%		31

Class Number: 15959

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society
DM-UY 3193: Dynamic Web Applications

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Rushing, Conrad L.F.

Response Rate: 8/11

A. Procedures and Policies		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						87.5%	12.5%	8
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8			12.5%		87.5%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.4	12.5%			12.5%	75.0%		8
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3	12.5%			25.0%	62.5%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6		12.5%			87.5%		8
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.1	12.5%	37.5%	12.5%		37.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.0	25.0%		50.0%		25.0%		8
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.3	12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	12.5%	25.0%		8
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.6	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%		50.0%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.5	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	12.5%	37.5%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.5	12.5%	25.0%		25.0%	37.5%		8



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CBE-UY 4113: Engineering Laboratory I

Class Number: 15715

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Song,Qing

Response Rate: 13/13

		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	3.4	15.4%	23.1%		30.8%	30.8%		13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	1.6	53.8%	38.5%		7.7%			13
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.0	7.7%	30.8%	23.1%	30.8%	7.7%		13
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	3.2	15.4%	23.1%	7.7%	38.5%	15.4%		13
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	2.8	7.7%	38.5%	30.8%	7.7%	15.4%		13
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.2		7.7%	7.7%	46.2%	38.5%		13
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.3	7.7%	30.8%	7.7%	30.8%	23.1%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.1	23.1%	15.4%	15.4%	23.1%	23.1%		13
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.6		23.1%	15.4%	38.5%	23.1%		13
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	3.0	23.1%	23.1%	7.7%	23.1%	23.1%		13
Q40	Value was added to my education.	2.8	38.5%	7.7%	7.7%	23.1%	23.1%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	2.9	30.8%	7.7%	23.1%	15.4%	23.1%		13
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	3.6	15.4%	7.7%	7.7%	38.5%	30.8%		13
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	3.8	15.4%		7.7%	38.5%	38.5%		13



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CBE-UY 4113: Engineering Laboratory I

Class Number: 15716

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Song,Qing

Response Rate: 12/13

		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	3.8	8.3%	16.7%	8.3%	25.0%	41.7%		12
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	1.9	41.7%	41.7%		16.7%			12
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.0	8.3%	33.3%	25.0%	16.7%	16.7%		12
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	2.4	16.7%	50.0%	8.3%	25.0%			12
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	3.3	8.3%	16.7%	25.0%	41.7%	8.3%		12
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.7		16.7%	8.3%	66.7%	8.3%		12
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.3	16.7%	8.3%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1		8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	41.7%		12
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.6		8.3%	41.7%	33.3%	16.7%		12
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	3.5	8.3%	16.7%	8.3%	50.0%	16.7%		12
Q40	Value was added to my education.	2.9	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	8.3%	25.0%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.3	16.7%	8.3%	25.0%	33.3%	16.7%		12
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	3.7	9.1%	18.2%		36.4%	36.4%		11
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	4.4			9.1%	45.5%	45.5%		11



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CBE-UY 4113: Engineering Laboratory I

Class Number: 16105

Section: C

Primary Instructor: Song,Qing

Response Rate: 13/13

		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.1		15.4%		46.2%	38.5%		13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	2.4	30.8%	46.2%			23.1%		13
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.8	7.7%	15.4%	15.4%	15.4%	46.2%		13
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	3.5	7.7%	30.8%		23.1%	38.5%		13
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.0	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	30.8%	46.2%		13
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4		7.7%		38.5%	53.8%		13
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.8	7.7%	23.1%		23.1%	46.2%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.6	7.7%	30.8%	7.7%		53.8%		13
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3			15.4%	38.5%	38.5%	7.7%	13
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	3.6	7.7%	23.1%	15.4%	7.7%	46.2%		13
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.1	7.7%	7.7%		38.5%	46.2%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0	7.7%	15.4%	7.7%	7.7%	61.5%		13
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	4.3		15.4%		23.1%	61.5%		13
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	4.2	7.7%	7.7%		30.8%	53.8%		13



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS-GY 6033: Design and Analysis of Algorithms I

Class Number: 15195

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Rajagopalan,Siva Raj

Response Rate: 15/17

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.1%					92.9%		14
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.0	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	40.0%	40.0%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.6	13.3%	20.0%	6.7%	13.3%	46.7%		15
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.4	20.0%	13.3%		40.0%	26.7%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3	6.7%	6.7%		20.0%	66.7%		15
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.7	13.3%	6.7%		53.3%	26.7%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3	6.7%		6.7%	33.3%	53.3%		15
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E1. Online Course Module									
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	3.9	6.7%	13.3%	6.7%	33.3%	40.0%		15
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	4.5	6.7%			20.0%	73.3%		15
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	3.5	13.3%	26.7%	6.7%	6.7%	46.7%		15
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	3.7	20.0%	6.7%		26.7%	46.7%		15
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	3.6	7.1%	14.3%	14.3%	35.7%	28.6%		14
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	3.9	13.3%		20.0%	13.3%	53.3%		15
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	3.5	14.3%	21.4%		28.6%	35.7%		14
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	3.9	7.1%		35.7%	14.3%	42.9%		14
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.9	7.1%		35.7%	14.3%	42.9%		14
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.4	7.1%	7.1%	50.0%	7.1%	28.6%		14
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	3.1	21.4%	7.1%	28.6%	21.4%	21.4%		14
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	3.1	21.4%	7.1%	28.6%	21.4%	21.4%		14
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.5	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	21.4%	35.7%		14
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.8	14.3%	14.3%		21.4%	50.0%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.9	14.3%			57.1%	28.6%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.8	14.3%	7.1%	7.1%	28.6%	42.9%		14

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

CAM-UY 3004: Special Topics in Culture, Arts and Media

Class Number: 19683

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Toler, Herbert

Response Rate: 11/14

		<i>No</i>				<i>Yes</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
A. Procedures and Policies							<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.1%				90.9%		11
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>#</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6				36.4%	63.6%	11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3			27.3%	18.2%	54.5%	11
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>#</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5		9.1%		18.2%	72.7%	11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7				27.3%	72.7%	11
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>#</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.2		9.1%		54.5%	36.4%	11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%	10
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>#</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3		9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	54.5%	11
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>#</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3	9.1%		9.1%	9.1%	63.6%	11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.2	9.1%		18.2%	9.1%	63.6%	11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3		9.1%	18.2%	9.1%	63.6%	11



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-UY 3533: CONSTRUCTION SITE LAYOUT & SURVEYING

Class Number: 16165

Section: LCLB

Primary Instructor: Pranci, Patrick J

Response Rate: 17/30

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			16
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.4		5.9%	5.9%	23.5%	58.8%	5.9%	17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.0		5.9%	35.3%	5.9%	47.1%	5.9%	17
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3		11.8%		35.3%	47.1%	5.9%	17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.0	5.9%	11.8%		35.3%	41.2%	5.9%	17
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3	5.9%			41.2%	47.1%	5.9%	17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.8	5.9%		35.3%	17.6%	35.3%	5.9%	17
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3		5.9%	5.9%	35.3%	47.1%	5.9%	17
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4			5.9%	41.2%	47.1%	5.9%	17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.2		11.8%	11.8%	17.6%	52.9%	5.9%	17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3		5.9%	11.8%	29.4%	47.1%	5.9%	17

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM-GY 6133: Mobile Augmented Reality Studio

Class Number: 14950

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Skwarek, Mark L

Response Rate: 7/14

		<i>No</i>			<i>Yes</i>			<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				100.0%				7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				100.0%				7
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8				14.3%	71.4%	14.3%	7
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.1			28.6%	28.6%	42.9%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0			28.6%	42.9%	28.6%		7
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		7
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.4		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.9		28.6%	14.3%		57.1%		7



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM-UY 2133: 3D Modeling

Class Number: 15880

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Skwarek, Mark L

Response Rate: 20/21

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	10.0%					85.0%	5.0%	20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.0%					80.0%	15.0%	20
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					95.0%	5.0%	20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7		5.3%		10.5%	78.9%	5.3%	19
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7				25.0%	70.0%	5.0%	20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5		5.0%		30.0%	60.0%	5.0%	20
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4		10.0%		25.0%	60.0%	5.0%	20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.9	5.0%		25.0%	15.0%	35.0%	20.0%	20
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1		10.0%	10.0%	35.0%	40.0%	5.0%	20
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.9				10.0%	85.0%	5.0%	20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5	5.0%	5.0%		10.0%	75.0%	5.0%	20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6		5.0%	5.0%	15.0%	70.0%	5.0%	20

Class Number: 15680

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 914: Precalculus for Engineers

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Parekh,Ankit

Response Rate: 1/24

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		1
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0				100.0%		1
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0				100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.0				100.0%		1
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0				100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0				100.0%		1
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	5.0				100.0%		1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	1.0	100.0%					1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	1.0	100.0%					1
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0				100.0%		1
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0				100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0				100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0				100.0%		1

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1001: Engineering and Technology Forum

Class Number: 15819

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Lefer, David G

Response Rate: 282/297

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	15.7%						76.4%	7.9%	280
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	10.8%						85.6%	3.6%	277
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5	0.7%	0.7%	9.6%	29.1%	57.4%		2.5%	282
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.2	0.4%	3.2%	22.4%	25.3%	44.5%		4.3%	281
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4	1.1%	2.8%	8.5%	31.6%	53.9%		2.1%	282
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4	1.1%	4.6%	6.4%	25.9%	60.6%		1.4%	282
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3	1.1%	5.0%	9.2%	35.5%	47.5%		1.8%	282
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.7	6.4%	5.0%	30.7%	20.0%	31.1%		6.8%	280
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q27	After taking this course I have a better understanding of what invention, innovation, and entrepreneurship mean.	4.4	0.8%	2.3%	4.2%	37.9%	53.4%		1.5%	264
Q28	The guest lectures exposed me to new concepts and ideas I would not have encountered elsewhere.	4.4	1.5%	1.1%	5.3%	34.2%	56.3%		1.5%	263
Q29	After taking this course, I am more likely to tackle problems in an innovative way.	4.2	1.1%	3.0%	12.1%	38.3%	43.6%		1.9%	264
Q30	This course has influenced my long-term educational or career goals.	3.9	2.7%	8.0%	23.5%	27.7%	36.4%		1.9%	264
Q31	After taking this course, I am more interested in starting my own venture.	3.9	4.2%	8.7%	19.3%	26.5%	39.0%		2.3%	264
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3	1.1%	4.3%	13.1%	28.4%	50.7%		2.5%	282
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0	4.6%	3.5%	14.5%	36.2%	38.7%		2.5%	282
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.3	1.4%	2.8%	11.7%	29.4%	52.1%		2.5%	282
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0	7.4%	5.7%	14.5%	26.6%	44.0%		1.8%	282



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering
ME-UY 3213: Mechanics of Materials

Class Number: 15802

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Dressaire,Emilie

Response Rate: 39/40

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		39
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		38
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		39
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0				2.6%	97.4%		39
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8				15.4%	84.6%		39
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8				15.4%	84.6%		39
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.9				5.1%	94.9%		39
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7		2.6%	5.1%	10.3%	82.1%		39
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Understood the concept of Stress and Strain, Normal stress & strain, Shear stress & strain, General state of stress, Design considerations, design of simple corrections	4.9				13.5%	86.5%		37
Q28	Understood Stress analysis, Materials behavior, constitutive relationship, Hooke’s law, stress concentration, Transformation equations, Mohr’s circle	4.8		2.7%		8.1%	89.2%		37
Q29	Learned about axially loaded members, Torsion, Change of length, angle of twist, transmission of power by shafts statically indeterminate structure	4.8		2.7%		16.2%	81.1%		37
Q30	Understood bending, Shear and moment diagram, shear force, transverse loading relationship, flexure formula	4.9				13.5%	86.5%		37
Q31	Learned about Deflection of beams, Differential equation of deflection curve, method of superposition, Castigliano’s theorem	4.9				10.8%	89.2%		37
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6		10.3%	2.6%	7.7%	79.5%		39
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.9				7.7%	92.3%		39
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				7.7%	92.3%		39
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8			2.6%	15.4%	82.1%		39
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.9				5.4%	94.6%		37
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	4.9				10.8%	89.2%		37
A48	I have enhanced my ability to apply principles of engineering, basic science, and mathematics (including multivariate calculus and differential equations) to model, analyze, design, and realize physical systems, components or processes	4.9				5.4%	94.6%		37

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 6491: Credit Risk & Financial Risk Management

Class Number: 15400

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Des Etangs Roux, Frederic Pascal Lucien

Response Rate: 4/17

		<i>No</i>			<i>Yes</i>			<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				75.0%			25.0%	4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				100.0%				4
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		4
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.8		25.0%	25.0%		50.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5			25.0%		75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0					100.0%		4
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 7851: TOPICS IN FINANCIAL AND RISK ENGINEERING 2

Class Number: 20683

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Des Etangs Roux, Frederic Pascal Lucien

Response Rate: 1/8

		<i>No</i>					<i>Yes</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		1
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		1
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%		1
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0					100.0%		1
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	5.0					100.0%		1
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0					100.0%		1



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6333: Research Methods in Organizational Behavior & Human Capital Systems

Class Number: 19626

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Squires,Paul

Response Rate: 4/11

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			4
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		4
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		4
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%		4
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0					100.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	3.8		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	5.0					100.0%		4
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	5.0					100.0%		4
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	5.0					100.0%		4
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	5.0					100.0%		4
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	5.0					100.0%		4
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	5.0					100.0%		4
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.3		25.0%			75.0%		4
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	4.3			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%		4
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%		4
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	5.0					100.0%		4
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	5.0					100.0%		4
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	5.0					100.0%		4



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Class Number: 19626

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6333: Research Methods in Organizational Behavior & Human Capital Systems

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Squires,Paul

Response Rate: 4/11

H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering
CE-UY 3173: STRUCTURAL DESIGN

Class Number: 16232

Section: BLEC

Primary Instructor: Rodriguez, Patricia A

Response Rate: 15/15

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		14
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.4		6.7%	6.7%	26.7%	60.0%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.1		6.7%	26.7%	20.0%	46.7%		15
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3		6.7%	6.7%	33.3%	53.3%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6		6.7%		20.0%	73.3%		15
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4		6.7%		40.0%	53.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		15
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3		6.7%		53.3%	40.0%		15
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5				53.3%	46.7%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.3	6.7%			40.0%	53.3%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		15

		<i>No</i>					<i>Yes</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
A. Procedures and Policies								<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		1
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		1
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%		1
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0					100.0%		1
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	5.0					100.0%		1
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0					100.0%		1

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
BE-GY 6203: Biomedical Imaging I

Class Number: 15501

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Wang,Yao

Response Rate: 11/11

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			11
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5			18.2%	9.1%	72.7%		11
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5		9.1%		18.2%	72.7%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6				36.4%	63.6%		11
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6				36.4%	63.6%		11
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8				18.2%	81.8%		11
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0		18.2%		45.5%	36.4%		11
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6				36.4%	63.6%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6				36.4%	63.6%		11

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering
EL-GY 6813: Medical Imaging I

Class Number: 15500

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Wang,Yao

Response Rate: 11/11

		<i>No</i>			<i>Yes</i>			<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				100.0%				11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				100.0%				11
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5			18.2%	9.1%	72.7%		11
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5		9.1%		18.2%	72.7%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6				36.4%	63.6%		11
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6				36.4%	63.6%		11
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8				18.2%	81.8%		11
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0		18.2%		45.5%	36.4%		11
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6				36.4%	63.6%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6				36.4%	63.6%		11

Class Number: 20706

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-GY 8673: Excavation Support Systems

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Konstantakos,Dimitrios C

Response Rate: 15/15

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		6.7%				93.3%		15
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8			6.7%	6.7%	86.7%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8				14.3%	78.6%	7.1%	14
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.1	13.3%			33.3%	46.7%	6.7%	15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5		6.7%		26.7%	60.0%	6.7%	15
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.4	13.3%	20.0%	6.7%	33.3%	26.7%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.3	20.0%	13.3%	13.3%	26.7%	26.7%		15
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.3		6.7%		53.3%	40.0%		15
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	4.5		6.7%	6.7%	20.0%	66.7%		15
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.7			6.7%	20.0%	73.3%		15
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	3.9		13.3%	20.0%	26.7%	40.0%		15
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	3.5	20.0%	13.3%		33.3%	33.3%		15
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	3.7	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	42.9%	28.6%		14
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	2.9	26.7%	20.0%	6.7%	33.3%	13.3%		15
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	3.1	6.7%		66.7%	20.0%		6.7%	15
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.1	6.7%		73.3%	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	15
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.1	6.7%		66.7%	20.0%		6.7%	15
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	2.9	26.7%	13.3%	20.0%	26.7%	13.3%		15
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	2.8	20.0%	13.3%	33.3%	20.0%	6.7%	6.7%	15
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.1	26.7%	6.7%	13.3%	40.0%	13.3%		15
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5			13.3%	20.0%	66.7%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.4	6.7%		6.7%	20.0%	66.7%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.9	6.7%	13.3%	13.3%	20.0%	46.7%		15



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Interdisciplinary Studies

BI-GY 7543: Bioinformatics II: Protein Structure

Class Number: 20684

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Katari, Manpreet Singh

Response Rate: 5/11

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			5
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.4			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.2			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.2		20.0%	40.0%	40.0%			5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.8		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.6		40.0%		20.0%	40.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.2	20.0%				80.0%		5
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	3.6		40.0%		20.0%	40.0%		5
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	4.6			20.0%		80.0%		5
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.0			40.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	3.4		40.0%	20.0%		40.0%		5
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	3.4		40.0%		40.0%	20.0%		5
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	3.4	20.0%		20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	3.0	40.0%		20.0%		40.0%		5
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	3.4			80.0%		20.0%		5
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.6			60.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.4			80.0%		20.0%		5
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	4.8				20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	5
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	4.3			20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	5
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	2.8	40.0%		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.6	20.0%			60.0%	20.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.8		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.0	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering
ME-UY 3211: Mechanics of Materials Laboratory

Class Number: 15813

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Thorsen, Richard S.

Response Rate: 22/27

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.8			4.5%	9.1%	86.4%		22
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.4			4.5%	50.0%	45.5%		22
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				13.6%	86.4%		22
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	5.0				4.8%	95.2%		21
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.9				13.6%	86.4%		22
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7		4.8%		14.3%	81.0%		21
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6			4.5%	27.3%	68.2%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5		4.5%	4.5%	27.3%	63.6%		22
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Ability to use experimental methods and techniques for mechanics of materials problems	4.6				40.9%	59.1%		22
Q28	Ability to write technical reports	4.7				31.8%	68.2%		22
Q29	Ability to analyze and interpret data in mechanics of materials problems	4.8				22.7%	77.3%		22
Q30	Ability to work effectively in teams	4.7				27.3%	72.7%		22
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4	4.5%			40.9%	54.5%		22
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.8				18.2%	81.8%		22
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6				36.4%	63.6%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6			9.1%	22.7%	68.2%		22
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.5			4.5%	36.4%	59.1%		22
A36	I have enhanced my ability to function on multi-disciplinary teams	4.5		4.5%	4.5%	27.3%	63.6%		22
A39	I have enhanced my ability to communicate effectively	4.7				31.8%	68.2%		22
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.6				36.4%	63.6%		22



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering
ME-UY 3211: Mechanics of Materials Laboratory

Class Number: 16034

Section: BLAB

Primary Instructor: Thorsen, Richard S.

Response Rate: 12/12

		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.9				8.3%	91.7%		12
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.5		8.3%		25.0%	66.7%		12
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				8.3%	91.7%		12
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	5.0					100.0%		12
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%		12
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8			8.3%		91.7%		12
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6		8.3%		16.7%	75.0%		12
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	Ability to use experimental methods and techniques for mechanics of materials problems	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q28	Ability to write technical reports	4.8			8.3%		91.7%		12
Q29	Ability to analyze and interpret data in mechanics of materials problems	4.8			8.3%	8.3%	83.3%		12
Q30	Ability to work effectively in teams	4.9				8.3%	91.7%		12
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5			8.3%	33.3%	58.3%		12
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		12
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0					100.0%		12
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		12
A36	I have enhanced my ability to function on multi-disciplinary teams	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		12
A39	I have enhanced my ability to communicate effectively	5.0					100.0%		12
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		12



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering
ME-UY 3211: Mechanics of Materials Laboratory

Class Number: 20102

Section: CLAB

Primary Instructor: Thorsen, Richard S.

Response Rate: 33/36

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.6		6.1%	6.1%	12.1%	75.8%		33
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	3.9		24.2%		33.3%	42.4%		33
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	18.2%	72.7%		33
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.6		3.0%	3.0%	21.2%	72.7%		33
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.5	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	18.2%	69.7%	3.0%	33
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6		3.0%	3.0%	24.2%	69.7%		33
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.2	3.0%	9.1%	12.1%	15.2%	60.6%		33
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.2	6.1%	3.0%	12.1%	24.2%	54.5%		33
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Ability to use experimental methods and techniques for mechanics of materials problems	4.3	3.0%	9.1%	3.0%	27.3%	57.6%		33
Q28	Ability to write technical reports	4.3	3.0%	6.1%	6.1%	30.3%	51.5%	3.0%	33
Q29	Ability to analyze and interpret data in mechanics of materials problems	4.5	3.0%		6.1%	27.3%	63.6%		33
Q30	Ability to work effectively in teams	4.3		6.3%	12.5%	21.9%	59.4%		32
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3	3.0%	6.1%	3.0%	30.3%	57.6%		33
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.4	3.0%	9.1%	3.0%	12.1%	72.7%		33
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4	3.0%	6.1%	6.1%	21.2%	63.6%		33
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3	6.1%	3.0%	12.1%	9.1%	69.7%		33
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.2	3.0%	6.1%	9.1%	27.3%	54.5%		33
A36	I have enhanced my ability to function on multi-disciplinary teams	4.0	6.1%	9.1%	18.2%	15.2%	51.5%		33
A39	I have enhanced my ability to communicate effectively	4.4	3.0%	3.0%	9.1%	24.2%	60.6%		33
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.5	3.0%	3.0%	6.1%	21.2%	66.7%		33



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering
ME-UY 3333: THERMODYNAMICS

Class Number: 15976

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Benbelkacem,Ghania

Response Rate: 16/37

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					93.8%	6.3%	16	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					93.8%	6.3%	16	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%				68.8%	6.3%	16	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%				68.8%	6.3%	16	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	2.9	18.8%	31.3%	6.3%	31.3%	12.5%		16
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	2.9	18.8%	31.3%	6.3%	31.3%	12.5%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.2	12.5%	18.8%	18.8%	37.5%	12.5%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.2	12.5%	18.8%	18.8%	37.5%	12.5%		16
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	2.9	25.0%	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%		16
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	2.9	25.0%	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	2.5	18.8%	43.8%	18.8%	6.3%	12.5%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	2.5	18.8%	43.8%	18.8%	6.3%	12.5%		16
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	2.4	31.3%	37.5%		25.0%	6.3%		16
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	2.4	31.3%	37.5%		25.0%	6.3%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.9		6.3%	12.5%	62.5%	18.8%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.9		6.3%	12.5%	62.5%	18.8%		16
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned the basic principles of thermodynamics, first and second law, mass conservation, and energy equations.	3.7	6.3%	18.8%		50.0%	25.0%		16
Q27	Learned the basic principles of thermodynamics, first and second law, mass conservation, and energy equations.	3.7	6.3%	18.8%		50.0%	25.0%		16
Q28	Learned the properties of pure substances, ideal gas law, phase diagrams, closed and open systems.	3.8	6.3%	12.5%	6.3%	50.0%	25.0%		16
Q28	Learned the properties of pure substances, ideal gas law, phase diagrams, closed and open systems.	3.8	6.3%	12.5%	6.3%	50.0%	25.0%		16
Q29	Learned the principles of entropy, reversible and irreversible processes, heat engines and refrigerators and isentropic efficiency	3.5	6.3%	18.8%	6.3%	56.3%	12.5%		16
Q29	Learned the principles of entropy, reversible and irreversible processes, heat engines and refrigerators and isentropic efficiency	3.5	6.3%	18.8%	6.3%	56.3%	12.5%		16
Q30	Learned the concepts of thermodynamic efficiency and coefficient of performance and their impact on energy policy.	3.3	6.3%	31.3%	6.3%	43.8%	12.5%		16
Q30	Learned the concepts of thermodynamic efficiency and coefficient of performance and their impact on energy policy.	3.3	6.3%	31.3%	6.3%	43.8%	12.5%		16
Q31	Analyzed and designed gas power cycles.	3.2		43.8%	6.3%	37.5%	12.5%		16
Q31	Analyzed and designed gas power cycles.	3.2		43.8%	6.3%	37.5%	12.5%		16
Q32	Learned about the need for continuous learning to remain professionally relevant in a fast changing field	2.6	25.0%	25.0%	18.8%	25.0%	6.3%		16
Q32	Learned about the need for continuous learning to remain professionally relevant in a fast changing field	2.6	25.0%	25.0%	18.8%	25.0%	6.3%		16



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering
ME-UY 3333: THERMODYNAMICS

Class Number: 15976

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Benbelkacem, Ghania

Response Rate: 16/37

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	2.8		62.5%	6.3%	25.0%	6.3%		16
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	2.8		62.5%	6.3%	25.0%	6.3%		16
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	2.9	18.8%	31.3%		31.3%	12.5%	6.3%	16
Q40	Value was added to my education.	2.9	18.8%	31.3%		31.3%	12.5%	6.3%	16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	2.2	37.5%	37.5%		18.8%	6.3%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	2.2	37.5%	37.5%		18.8%	6.3%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.3	25.0%	6.3%	12.5%	31.3%	25.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.3	25.0%	6.3%	12.5%	31.3%	25.0%		16
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	3.0	13.3%	33.3%		46.7%	6.7%		15
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	3.0	13.3%	33.3%		46.7%	6.7%		15
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	2.7	6.7%	53.3%		40.0%			15
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	2.7	6.7%	53.3%		40.0%			15
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	2.9	13.3%	26.7%	26.7%	26.7%	6.7%		15
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	2.9	13.3%	26.7%	26.7%	26.7%	6.7%		15
A41	I have enhanced my ability to recognize the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	2.9	20.0%	26.7%	13.3%	26.7%	13.3%		15
A41	I have enhanced my ability to recognize the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	2.9	20.0%	26.7%	13.3%	26.7%	13.3%		15
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	2.5	26.7%	20.0%	33.3%	20.0%			15
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	2.5	26.7%	20.0%	33.3%	20.0%			15
A48	I have enhanced my ability to apply principles of engineering, basic science, and mathematics (including multivariate calculus and differential equations) to model, analyze, design, and realize physical systems, components or processes	2.5	33.3%	20.0%	6.7%	40.0%			15
A48	I have enhanced my ability to apply principles of engineering, basic science, and mathematics (including multivariate calculus and differential equations) to model, analyze, design, and realize physical systems, components or processes	2.5	33.3%	20.0%	6.7%	40.0%			15



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering
ME-UY 3411: Automatic Control Laboratory

Class Number: 15804

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Thorsen, Richard S.

Response Rate: 23/23

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.7			13.0%	8.7%	78.3%		23
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.0		21.7%	8.7%	21.7%	47.8%		23
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8			8.7%	4.3%	87.0%		23
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.8			8.7%	4.3%	87.0%		23
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.7			8.7%	13.0%	78.3%		23
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7			8.7%	17.4%	73.9%		23
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4			13.0%	30.4%	56.5%		23
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6			13.0%	17.4%	69.6%		23
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Applied techniques of mathematical modeling and analysis of dynamic systems to experimental electro-mechanical systems.	4.7			4.3%	21.7%	73.9%		23
Q28	Designed controllers using computer simulations and validated their control designs on experimental test beds.	4.8			4.3%	13.0%	82.6%		23
Q29	Ability to write technical reports	4.6			4.3%	34.8%	60.9%		23
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5			13.0%	21.7%	65.2%		23
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.9			4.3%	4.3%	91.3%		23
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7			8.7%	17.4%	73.9%		23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7			8.7%	13.0%	78.3%		23
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as to analyze and interpret data	4.7			4.3%	17.4%	78.3%		23
A39	I have enhanced my ability to communicate effectively	4.7			4.3%	21.7%	73.9%		23
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.8			4.3%	13.0%	82.6%		23



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering
ME-UY 3511: Measurement Systems Laboratory

Class Number: 20103

Section: CLAB

Primary Instructor: Thorsen, Richard S.

Response Rate: 18/18

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.9				11.1%	88.9%		18
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	3.9		22.2%		38.9%	38.9%		18
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				22.2%	77.8%		18
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.8		5.6%		5.6%	88.9%		18
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.7			5.6%	22.2%	72.2%		18
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6		5.6%		16.7%	72.2%	5.6%	18
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4				55.6%	44.4%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1		5.6%	22.2%	27.8%	44.4%		18
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	Practiced instrumentation fundamentals to analyze and design measurement instrumentation.	4.5			5.9%	41.2%	52.9%		17
Q28	Exposed to fundamental operational aspects of measurement instruments and calibrated given measurement devices.	4.5				47.1%	52.9%		17
Q29	Utilized modern computer-aided analysis and design tools to perform measurement system analysis and design and used a computer-based data acquisition hardware and software to acquire, condition, and analyze experimental data.	4.6			5.9%	29.4%	64.7%		17
Q30	Ability to write technical reports	4.4			5.9%	47.1%	47.1%		17
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5			11.1%	27.8%	61.1%		18
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.7			5.6%	16.7%	77.8%		18
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3		5.6%	11.1%	27.8%	55.6%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.1		11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	44.4%		18
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as to analyze and interpret data	4.2			11.8%	52.9%	35.3%		17
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.1		5.9%	17.6%	41.2%	35.3%		17
A39	I have enhanced my ability to communicate effectively	4.2		5.9%	5.9%	47.1%	41.2%		17
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.2		5.9%	11.8%	41.2%	41.2%		17



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 4313: Heat Transfer

Class Number: 15809

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Thorsen, Richard S.

Response Rate: 27/45

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			27
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			26
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8		3.7%		7.4%	88.9%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6		3.7%	3.7%	18.5%	74.1%		27
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8			3.7%	11.1%	81.5%	3.7%	27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8				22.2%	77.8%		27
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6		7.4%		22.2%	70.4%		27
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8				22.2%	77.8%		27
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Understood the various mechanisms of heat transfer	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		27
Q28	Understood the fundamental laws governing transport, storage and conservation of thermal energy	4.6				40.7%	59.3%		27
Q29	Was able to analyze and solve well-posed heat transfer problems	4.6				40.7%	59.3%		27
Q30	Was able to design heat transfer systems such as fins and heat exchangers	4.3	3.7%		7.4%	44.4%	44.4%		27
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4		7.4%		33.3%	59.3%		27
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7			7.4%	18.5%	74.1%		27
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6		7.4%	3.7%	11.1%	77.8%		27
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6		3.7%	7.4%	14.8%	74.1%		27
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.6			3.7%	37.0%	59.3%		27
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.3		3.7%	3.7%	48.1%	44.4%		27
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	4.4		3.7%	3.7%	37.0%	55.6%		27
A48	I have enhanced my ability to apply principles of engineering, basic science, and mathematics (including multivariate calculus and differential equations) to model, analyze, design, and realize physical systems, components or processes	4.4			7.4%	40.7%	51.9%		27



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering
ME-UY 2112: COMPUTER AIDED DESIGN

Class Number: 15801

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: DiZinno, Nicholas A.

Response Rate: 18/25

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.3			16.7%	33.3%	44.4%	5.6%	18
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	2.4	33.3%	16.7%	22.2%	5.6%	11.1%	11.1%	18
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				22.2%	77.8%		18
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.1	5.9%	11.8%		29.4%	52.9%		17
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.6				44.4%	55.6%		18
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.5		35.3%		41.2%	23.5%		17
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.9	5.6%	11.1%	5.6%	38.9%	38.9%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.8	5.6%	5.6%	22.2%	38.9%	27.8%		18
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	Learned how to use a state of the art commercial CAD software.	4.6		5.6%		27.8%	66.7%		18
Q28	Understood multiview drawings and the concepts of sectioning and isometric drawing.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		18
Q29	Learned about dimensioning and tolerancing, and standards and specifications in drawing	4.6				44.4%	55.6%		18
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4			5.6%	44.4%	50.0%		18
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	3.9	5.6%	11.1%	5.6%	38.9%	38.9%		18
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6			5.6%	27.8%	61.1%	5.6%	18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.4		5.6%		38.9%	55.6%		18
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.4		5.6%	5.6%	33.3%	55.6%		18
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.5	5.6%			27.8%	66.7%		18
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.3	5.6%		5.6%	33.3%	55.6%		18



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering
ME-UY 2112: COMPUTER AIDED DESIGN

Class Number: 15812

Section: BLAB

Primary Instructor: DiZinno, Nicholas A.

Response Rate: 23/25

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.3		4.3%	17.4%	21.7%	52.2%	4.3%	23
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.2	4.3%	8.7%	4.3%	21.7%	56.5%	4.3%	23
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9			4.3%	4.3%	87.0%	4.3%	23
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.3		8.7%	4.3%	34.8%	47.8%	4.3%	23
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.6			4.3%	26.1%	65.2%	4.3%	23
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.1		8.7%	13.0%	34.8%	39.1%	4.3%	23
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5			8.7%	26.1%	60.9%	4.3%	23
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.2	4.3%	4.3%	17.4%	13.0%	56.5%	4.3%	23
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	Learned how to use a state of the art commercial CAD software.	4.9				13.0%	82.6%	4.3%	23
Q28	Understood multiview drawings and the concepts of sectioning and isometric drawing.	4.7				30.4%	65.2%	4.3%	23
Q29	Learned about dimensioning and tolerancing, and standards and specifications in drawing	4.6				34.8%	60.9%	4.3%	23
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.7				30.4%	65.2%	4.3%	23
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.4			17.4%	26.1%	52.2%	4.3%	23
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8			4.3%	13.0%	78.3%	4.3%	23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8				17.4%	78.3%	4.3%	23
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.5			8.7%	30.4%	56.5%	4.3%	23
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.6				34.8%	60.9%	4.3%	23
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.6				39.1%	56.5%	4.3%	23



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering
ME-UY 2112: COMPUTER AIDED DESIGN

Class Number: 16012

Section: CLAB

Primary Instructor: DiZinno, Nicholas A.

Response Rate: 24/26

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.8			4.2%	16.7%	79.2%		24
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.4		8.3%		33.3%	58.3%		24
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				8.3%	91.7%		24
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.4	4.2%	4.2%		29.2%	62.5%		24
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.6		8.3%		12.5%	79.2%		24
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.1	4.2%	8.3%	12.5%	20.8%	54.2%		24
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5	4.2%	4.2%	4.2%	8.3%	79.2%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5		4.2%	12.5%	12.5%	70.8%		24
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	Learned how to use a state of the art commercial CAD software.	4.8			4.3%	13.0%	82.6%		23
Q28	Understood multiview drawings and the concepts of sectioning and isometric drawing.	4.7			4.3%	21.7%	73.9%		23
Q29	Learned about dimensioning and tolerancing, and standards and specifications in drawing	4.6			8.7%	26.1%	65.2%		23
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5			8.3%	33.3%	58.3%		24
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.4	4.2%	8.3%	4.2%	12.5%	70.8%		24
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8			4.2%	16.7%	79.2%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6		4.2%	4.2%	16.7%	75.0%		24
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.4		4.3%	4.3%	34.8%	56.5%		23
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.7			4.3%	17.4%	78.3%		23
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.7			4.3%	26.1%	69.6%		23



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering
ME-UY 2112: COMPUTER AIDED DESIGN

Class Number: 16013

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: DiZinno, Nicholas A.

Response Rate: 30/45

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					96.7%	3.3%	30	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.9%				89.7%	3.4%	29	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6			3.3%	30.0%	66.7%		30
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.9	6.7%	10.0%	13.3%	23.3%	46.7%		30
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.4		33.3%	20.0%	16.7%	30.0%		30
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4		10.0%	3.3%	26.7%	60.0%		30
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4	3.3%		6.7%	33.3%	56.7%		30
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.2		3.3%	23.3%	26.7%	46.7%		30
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned how to use a state of the art commercial CAD software.	4.6		3.3%	6.7%	16.7%	73.3%		30
Q28	Understood multiview drawings and the concepts of sectioning and isometric drawing.	4.5			6.7%	40.0%	53.3%		30
Q29	Learned about dimensioning and tolerancing, and standards and specifications in drawing	4.6			3.3%	33.3%	63.3%		30
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.2	3.3%		16.7%	33.3%	46.7%		30
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6			6.7%	23.3%	70.0%		30
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.2	6.7%		20.0%	13.3%	56.7%	3.3%	30
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5		6.7%	3.3%	26.7%	63.3%		30
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.3		10.0%	3.3%	33.3%	53.3%		30
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.4	3.3%		6.7%	33.3%	56.7%		30
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.4	3.3%		10.0%	23.3%	63.3%		30

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering
ME-UY 2112: COMPUTER AIDED DESIGN

Class Number: 20100

Section: DLAB

Primary Instructor: DiZinno, Nicholas A.

Response Rate: 9/17

		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.6			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	3.9			55.6%		44.4%		9
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		9
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.2			22.2%	33.3%	44.4%		9
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.6				44.4%	55.6%		9
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.3		33.3%		66.7%			9
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0	11.1%			55.6%	33.3%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.2	11.1%			33.3%	55.6%		9
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	Learned how to use a state of the art commercial CAD software.	4.6				44.4%	55.6%		9
Q28	Understood multiview drawings and the concepts of sectioning and isometric drawing.	4.4				55.6%	44.4%		9
Q29	Learned about dimensioning and tolerancing, and standards and specifications in drawing	4.6				44.4%	55.6%		9
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0	11.1%		11.1%	33.3%	44.4%		9
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.1	11.1%		11.1%	22.2%	55.6%		9
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3		11.1%		33.3%	55.6%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3		11.1%		33.3%	55.6%		9
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.4				55.6%	44.4%		9
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.3				66.7%	33.3%		9
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		9



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering
ME-UY 2112: COMPUTER AIDED DESIGN

Class Number: 20101

Section: BLEC

Primary Instructor: DiZinno, Nicholas A.

Response Rate: 38/48

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	2.6%						92.1%	5.3%	38
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.3%						94.7%		38
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				10.5%	89.5%			38
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.0	7.9%	7.9%	7.9%	23.7%	50.0%		2.6%	38
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.7	5.3%	18.4%	2.6%	42.1%	28.9%		2.6%	38
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4		7.9%	5.3%	23.7%	63.2%			38
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4	2.6%	5.3%	5.3%	23.7%	60.5%		2.6%	38
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0	2.7%	10.8%	21.6%	8.1%	54.1%		2.7%	37
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q27	Learned how to use a state of the art commercial CAD software.	4.8				21.6%	78.4%			37
Q28	Understood multiview drawings and the concepts of sectioning and isometric drawing.	4.7				29.7%	70.3%			37
Q29	Learned about dimensioning and tolerancing, and standards and specifications in drawing	4.5		2.7%		37.8%	59.5%			37
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4	2.6%		7.9%	36.8%	52.6%			38
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7	2.6%		5.3%	7.9%	81.6%		2.6%	38
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.3	2.6%	5.3%	10.5%	21.1%	60.5%			38
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7	2.6%			18.4%	78.9%			38
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.3		5.4%	10.8%	29.7%	54.1%			37
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.7			2.7%	24.3%	73.0%			37
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.7				32.4%	67.6%			37

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

PL-UY 2254W: SCIENCE AND PSEUDOSCIENCE

Class Number: 19740

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Caomhanach,Nuala

Response Rate: 17/18

		<i>No</i>			<i>Yes</i>			<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				100.0%				17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				100.0%				17
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				5.9%	94.1%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.9				5.9%	94.1%		17
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6				35.3%	64.7%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.9				11.8%	88.2%		17
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7				29.4%	70.6%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6				35.3%	64.7%		17
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.2		5.9%		64.7%	29.4%		17
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.9				5.9%	94.1%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8			5.9%	5.9%	88.2%		17

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-UY 2004: Management of Information Technology and Systems

Class Number: 15827

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Hyman, Alan Samuel

Response Rate: 26/36

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					88.5%	11.5%		26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.8%				84.6%	11.5%		26
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6				30.8%	53.8%	15.4%	26
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3			19.2%	19.2%	46.2%	15.4%	26
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5		3.8%		26.9%	53.8%	15.4%	26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.0		19.2%	7.7%	15.4%	42.3%	15.4%	26
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3		3.8%	3.8%	38.5%	38.5%	15.4%	26
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.8		3.8%	34.6%	23.1%	23.1%	15.4%	26
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.1		3.8%	11.5%	42.3%	26.9%	15.4%	26
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.1	3.8%		3.8%	50.0%	26.9%	15.4%	26
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.2	3.8%		11.5%	26.9%	42.3%	15.4%	26
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.4		3.8%	11.5%	15.4%	53.8%	15.4%	26
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0		3.8%	19.2%	30.8%	30.8%	15.4%	26
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4			11.5%	30.8%	42.3%	15.4%	26
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.1		3.8%	26.9%	3.8%	46.2%	19.2%	26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.1			19.2%	34.6%	30.8%	15.4%	26

Class Number: 15702

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-UY 3224: INTRO TO OPERATING SYSTM

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Dolan-Gavitt,Brendan

Response Rate: 28/44

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			27
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8			7.1%	10.7%	82.1%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8		3.6%	3.6%	3.6%	89.3%		28
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3		7.1%	7.1%	35.7%	50.0%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6			7.1%	28.6%	64.3%		28
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5			10.7%	25.0%	64.3%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3		3.6%	14.3%	28.6%	53.6%		28
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.9		17.9%	7.1%	42.9%	32.1%		28
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7			3.6%	21.4%	75.0%		28
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8		3.6%	3.6%	7.1%	85.7%		28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6		3.6%	10.7%	10.7%	75.0%		28



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Class Number: 16043

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 1013: MECHANICS

Section: A3RC

Primary Instructor: Malikova, Lyudmila

Response Rate: 16/24

X. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		16
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	4.6			12.5%	18.8%	68.8%		16
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.6			6.3%	25.0%	68.8%		16
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	4.1	12.5%	6.3%		18.8%	62.5%		16

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

AH-UY 3214: CONTEMPORARY ART, ELECTRONIC ART, AND TECHNOLOGY

Class Number: 20782

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Tannenbaum,Sondra

Response Rate: 19/28

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			19
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				21.1%	78.9%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8			5.3%	10.5%	84.2%		19
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7				26.3%	73.7%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7				26.3%	73.7%		19
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8				15.8%	84.2%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4		5.3%	15.8%	15.8%	63.2%		19
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6			10.5%	15.8%	73.7%		19
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7		5.3%		15.8%	78.9%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8			5.3%	10.5%	84.2%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7			5.3%	15.8%	78.9%		19

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering
EL-GY 6383: High-Speed Networks

Class Number: 21055

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Xu,Yang

Response Rate: 31/62

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.2%						96.8%		31
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.3%						96.7%		30
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3	3.2%	3.2%	9.7%	29.0%	54.8%			31
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.4		3.2%	12.9%	29.0%	54.8%			31
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.8	6.5%	19.4%	12.9%	9.7%	51.6%			31
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5			6.5%	35.5%	54.8%	3.2%		31
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.2	3.2%	9.7%	9.7%	22.6%	54.8%			31
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.7	20.0%	6.7%	3.3%	23.3%	46.7%			30
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.8	9.7%	9.7%	9.7%	29.0%	41.9%			31
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5		6.5%		35.5%	58.1%			31
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.0	9.7%	12.9%	3.2%	19.4%	54.8%			31
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.4	3.2%	3.2%	6.5%	29.0%	58.1%			31
H3. TA Evaluation		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	4.2	6.9%	3.4%	3.4%	37.9%	48.3%			29
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	3.3	17.2%	20.7%	6.9%	24.1%	31.0%			29
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	3.3	10.3%	24.1%	17.2%	17.2%	31.0%			29



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-GY 5403: Data Structures and Algorithms

Class Number: 15476

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Katz-Braunschweig, Daniel

Response Rate: 19/24

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.3%						94.7%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	10.5%						89.5%		19
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.4		10.5%	5.3%	21.1%	63.2%			19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.6	10.5%	5.3%	26.3%	26.3%	31.6%			19
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	2.1	31.6%	36.8%	15.8%	5.3%	5.3%	5.3%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.2	5.3%	5.3%	15.8%	15.8%	57.9%			19
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.9		15.8%	5.3%	47.4%	31.6%			19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.9		15.8%	10.5%	42.1%	31.6%			19
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.9		15.8%	10.5%	36.8%	36.8%			19
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.2		5.3%	15.8%	31.6%	47.4%			19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.7		21.1%	21.1%	21.1%	36.8%			19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0	5.3%	15.8%	5.3%	21.1%	52.6%			19



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-GY 6233: Introduction to Operating Systems

Class Number: 15000

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Katz-Braunschweig, Daniel

Response Rate: 14/17

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	14.3%						78.6%	7.1%	14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.1%						92.9%		14
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>	
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.8		14.3%	21.4%	21.4%	35.7%	7.1%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.8	7.1%	7.1%	28.6%	14.3%	42.9%			14
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>	
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	2.9	7.1%	35.7%	21.4%	28.6%	7.1%			14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.5	7.1%		35.7%	35.7%	14.3%	7.1%		14
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>	
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.9		7.1%	28.6%	35.7%	28.6%			14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.8		14.3%	21.4%	28.6%	28.6%	7.1%		14
E1. Online Course Module		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>	
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.1			21.4%	42.9%	35.7%			14
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	4.2		14.3%	7.1%	21.4%	57.1%			14
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.2	7.7%		7.7%	38.5%	46.2%			13
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	3.9	7.1%	7.1%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%			14
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	3.6	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	57.1%	14.3%			14
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.0		7.1%	14.3%	50.0%	28.6%			14
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	3.8			35.7%	50.0%	14.3%			14
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	4.1			35.7%	21.4%	42.9%			14
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.9		7.1%	28.6%	28.6%	35.7%			14
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.1		7.1%	21.4%	28.6%	42.9%			14
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	4.1	7.1%	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	64.3%			14
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	4.2	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	14.3%	57.1%	7.1%		14
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>	
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.7		28.6%		42.9%	28.6%			14
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>	
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.1	7.1%		7.1%	42.9%	42.9%			14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.9	7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	21.4%	42.9%			14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.2	7.1%		21.4%	7.1%	64.3%			14

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-GY 6233: Introduction to Operating Systems

Class Number: 15234

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Katz-Braunschweig, Daniel

Response Rate: 46/54

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			45
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		2.2%			95.6%	2.2%		45
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6		2.2%	6.5%	21.7%	69.6%		46
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.2		8.7%	15.2%	17.4%	54.3%	4.3%	46
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.3	15.2%	13.0%	19.6%	28.3%	23.9%		46
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3		4.4%	13.3%	33.3%	46.7%	2.2%	45
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.2		6.5%	10.9%	41.3%	39.1%	2.2%	46
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.8	6.5%	8.7%	13.0%	34.8%	30.4%	6.5%	46
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.2		8.7%	8.7%	37.0%	45.7%		46
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.2		8.7%	4.3%	41.3%	41.3%	4.3%	46
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.2	2.2%	6.5%	8.7%	32.6%	47.8%	2.2%	46
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.2		8.7%	13.0%	32.6%	45.7%		46

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-GY 6233: Introduction to Operating Systems

Class Number: 15424

Section: C

Primary Instructor: Katz-Braunschweig, Daniel

Response Rate: 40/49

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			40
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.5%				97.5%			40
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				7.5%	92.5%		40
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3			15.0%	40.0%	45.0%		40
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.6	2.5%	22.5%	5.0%	50.0%	20.0%		40
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6			5.0%	27.5%	67.5%		40
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4		5.0%	5.0%	32.5%	57.5%		40
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.2	2.5%	5.0%	12.5%	32.5%	47.5%		40
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3		7.5%		47.5%	45.0%		40
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6			7.5%	25.0%	67.5%		40
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5		5.0%	5.0%	27.5%	62.5%		40
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5		2.5%	10.0%	27.5%	60.0%		40



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS-GY 6373: Programming Languages

Class Number: 15419

Section: I3

Primary Instructor: Katz-Braunschweig, Daniel

Response Rate: 42/59

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			42
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.8%				95.2%			42
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7		2.4%		19.0%	78.6%		42
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.4		2.4%	14.6%	22.0%	58.5%	2.4%	41
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.2	14.3%	19.0%	7.1%	50.0%	9.5%		42
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5	2.4%		9.5%	16.7%	71.4%		42
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4		9.5%	2.4%	28.6%	59.5%		42
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4		4.8%	9.5%	28.6%	57.1%		42
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3		2.4%	7.1%	45.2%	45.2%		42
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.2		14.3%		35.7%	50.0%		42
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5		4.8%	4.8%	23.8%	66.7%		42
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.1	2.4%	16.7%	2.4%	23.8%	54.8%		42



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 6731: Basel 3 & Banking Assets Management

Class Number: 15401

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Makarov, Victor

Response Rate: 8/25

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		8
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6				37.5%	62.5%		8
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6				37.5%	50.0%	12.5%	8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7				25.0%	62.5%	12.5%	8
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.9				12.5%	87.5%		8
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		8
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.4				62.5%	37.5%		8



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-GY 6843: Computer Networking

Class Number: 15232

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Portnoy,Rafail

Response Rate: 54/89

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		54
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	1.9%					98.1%		52
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		54
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6		1.9%	3.7%	27.8%	66.7%		54
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6		1.9%	3.7%	29.6%	64.8%		54
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6		1.9%	5.6%	24.1%	68.5%		54
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6		3.7%	3.7%	25.9%	66.7%		54
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6		1.9%	5.6%	18.5%	74.1%		54
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5		1.9%	5.6%	31.5%	59.3%	1.9%	54
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6		3.7%	1.9%	22.2%	72.2%		54
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8	1.9%		3.7%	9.3%	85.2%		54
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7		3.7%	3.7%	13.0%	79.6%		54

Class Number: 15358

Section: INT2

Primary Instructor: Portnoy,Rafail

Response Rate: 18/21

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		18	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		18	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.4	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%	11.1%	72.2%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3	5.6%		11.1%	22.2%	61.1%		18
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6	5.6%		5.6%	11.1%	77.8%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4	5.6%		5.6%	27.8%	61.1%		18
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5	5.6%		5.6%	16.7%	72.2%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4	5.6%		16.7%	5.6%	72.2%		18
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.4	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%	11.1%	72.2%		18
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	4.4	5.6%		5.6%	22.2%	66.7%		18
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.3	5.6%		11.1%	22.2%	61.1%		18
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	4.5	5.6%		5.6%	16.7%	72.2%		18
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	4.3	5.6%		11.1%	22.2%	61.1%		18
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.4	5.6%		11.1%	16.7%	66.7%		18
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.2	11.1%		5.6%	27.8%	55.6%		18
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	3.6	11.1%		38.9%	16.7%	33.3%		18
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.7	11.1%		38.9%	11.1%	38.9%		18
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.7	11.1%		38.9%	5.6%	44.4%		18
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	4.1	11.1%	5.6%	5.6%	16.7%	61.1%		18
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	3.9	11.1%	5.6%	22.2%	5.6%	55.6%		18
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4	5.6%		5.6%	27.8%	61.1%		18
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3	11.1%		5.6%	16.7%	66.7%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.2	11.1%		11.1%	16.7%	61.1%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3	11.1%		5.6%	11.1%	66.7%	5.6%	18

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-UY 4793: Computer Networking

Class Number: 16355

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Portnoy, Rafail

Response Rate: 54/89

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			54
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		1.9%			98.1%			52
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		54
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6		1.9%	3.7%	27.8%	66.7%		54
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6		1.9%	3.7%	29.6%	64.8%		54
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6		1.9%	5.6%	24.1%	68.5%		54
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6		3.7%	3.7%	25.9%	66.7%		54
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6		1.9%	5.6%	18.5%	74.1%		54
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5		1.9%	5.6%	31.5%	59.3%	1.9%	54
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6		3.7%	1.9%	22.2%	72.2%		54
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8	1.9%		3.7%	9.3%	85.2%		54
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7		3.7%	3.7%	13.0%	79.6%		54

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-UY 4153: STRUCTURAL DESIGN PROJECT

Class Number: 20695

Section: LLB2

Primary Instructor: Shleykov, Ilya Borisovich

Response Rate: 10/12

		<i>No</i>			<i>Yes</i>			<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				88.9%			11.1%	9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	22.2%			77.8%				9
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.9				10.0%	90.0%		10
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.3	20.0%	10.0%	10.0%	40.0%	20.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3		10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	60.0%		10
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3		10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	60.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.9		10.0%	30.0%	20.0%	40.0%		10
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.7		20.0%	10.0%	40.0%	20.0%	10.0%	10
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6			20.0%		80.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3		10.0%	20.0%		70.0%		10

Class Number: 15720

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering
CE-UY 1002: Introduction to Civil Engineering

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Ronan,Anne D

Response Rate: 21/21

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	14.3%				76.2%	9.5%	21	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	14.3%				76.2%	9.5%	21	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.0%				85.0%	10.0%	20	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.0%				85.0%	10.0%	20	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3			4.8%	57.1%	38.1%		21
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3			4.8%	57.1%	38.1%		21
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.2		4.8%	4.8%	57.1%	28.6%	4.8%	21
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.2		4.8%	4.8%	57.1%	28.6%	4.8%	21
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.8	9.5%	14.3%	4.8%	28.6%	42.9%		21
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.8	9.5%	14.3%	4.8%	28.6%	42.9%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.6	4.8%	19.0%	19.0%	23.8%	33.3%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.6	4.8%	19.0%	19.0%	23.8%	33.3%		21
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0	4.8%	9.5%	9.5%	28.6%	47.6%		21
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0	4.8%	9.5%	9.5%	28.6%	47.6%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.6	4.8%	9.5%	23.8%	38.1%	19.0%	4.8%	21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.6	4.8%	9.5%	23.8%	38.1%	19.0%	4.8%	21
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I now have a thorough understanding of the sub-disciplines of civil engineering and professional roles of civil engineers.	4.1		12.5%	6.3%	37.5%	43.8%		16
Q27	I now have a thorough understanding of the sub-disciplines of civil engineering and professional roles of civil engineers.	4.1		12.5%	6.3%	37.5%	43.8%		16
Q28	I now have an understanding of the importance of professional ethics, and the many ways it impacts the profession, its practice, and society in general.	4.1		6.3%	12.5%	43.8%	37.5%		16
Q28	I now have an understanding of the importance of professional ethics, and the many ways it impacts the profession, its practice, and society in general.	4.1		6.3%	12.5%	43.8%	37.5%		16
Q29	I have gained an understanding of the different types of roles and employers that civil engineers may be engaged in the profession.	4.4		6.3%	6.3%	31.3%	56.3%		16
Q29	I have gained an understanding of the different types of roles and employers that civil engineers may be engaged in the profession.	4.4		6.3%	6.3%	31.3%	56.3%		16
Q30	I developed computational and communication skills.	4.1		6.3%	18.8%	37.5%	37.5%		16
Q30	I developed computational and communication skills.	4.1		6.3%	18.8%	37.5%	37.5%		16
Q31	I now have a general understanding of the fundamental concepts of GIS and AutoCAD.	4.6		6.3%		18.8%	75.0%		16
Q31	I now have a general understanding of the fundamental concepts of GIS and AutoCAD.	4.6		6.3%		18.8%	75.0%		16

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-UY 1002: Introduction to Civil Engineering

Class Number: 15720

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Ronan, Anne D

Response Rate: 21/21

G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.9	9.5%	9.5%	4.8%	38.1%	38.1%		21
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.9	9.5%	9.5%	4.8%	38.1%	38.1%		21
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.3		4.8%	4.8%	42.9%	42.9%	4.8%	21
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.3		4.8%	4.8%	42.9%	42.9%	4.8%	21
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6			4.8%	28.6%	66.7%		21
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6			4.8%	28.6%	66.7%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.9	9.5%	4.8%	4.8%	47.6%	33.3%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.9	9.5%	4.8%	4.8%	47.6%	33.3%		21
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A32	I have enhanced my ability to understand the professional and ethical obligations of engineers/scientists	4.3		6.3%	12.5%	31.3%	50.0%		16
A32	I have enhanced my ability to understand the professional and ethical obligations of engineers/scientists	4.3		6.3%	12.5%	31.3%	50.0%		16
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	4.4			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		16
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	4.4			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		16
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	3.9		18.8%	12.5%	25.0%	43.8%		16
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	3.9		18.8%	12.5%	25.0%	43.8%		16

Class Number: 15967

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering
CE-UY 1002: Introduction to Civil Engineering

Section: BLAB

Primary Instructor: Ronan,Anne D

Response Rate: 21/21

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.8%				85.7%	9.5%	21	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.8%				85.7%	9.5%	21	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					90.5%	9.5%	21	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					90.5%	9.5%	21	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				23.8%	76.2%		21
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				23.8%	76.2%		21
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8				23.8%	76.2%		21
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8				23.8%	76.2%		21
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7			4.8%	23.8%	71.4%		21
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7			4.8%	23.8%	71.4%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7		4.8%		19.0%	76.2%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7		4.8%		19.0%	76.2%		21
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6			9.5%	19.0%	71.4%		21
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6			9.5%	19.0%	71.4%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4		4.8%	4.8%	23.8%	47.6%	19.0%	21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4		4.8%	4.8%	23.8%	47.6%	19.0%	21
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I now have a thorough understanding of the sub-disciplines of civil engineering and professional roles of civil engineers.	4.3		6.3%	6.3%	43.8%	43.8%		16
Q27	I now have a thorough understanding of the sub-disciplines of civil engineering and professional roles of civil engineers.	4.3		6.3%	6.3%	43.8%	43.8%		16
Q28	I now have an understanding of the importance of professional ethics, and the many ways it impacts the profession, its practice, and society in general.	4.1		6.3%	18.8%	31.3%	43.8%		16
Q28	I now have an understanding of the importance of professional ethics, and the many ways it impacts the profession, its practice, and society in general.	4.1		6.3%	18.8%	31.3%	43.8%		16
Q29	I have gained an understanding of the different types of roles and employers that civil engineers may be engaged in the profession.	4.3		6.3%	12.5%	25.0%	56.3%		16
Q29	I have gained an understanding of the different types of roles and employers that civil engineers may be engaged in the profession.	4.3		6.3%	12.5%	25.0%	56.3%		16
Q30	I developed computational and communication skills.	4.1		6.3%	12.5%	43.8%	37.5%		16
Q30	I developed computational and communication skills.	4.1		6.3%	12.5%	43.8%	37.5%		16
Q31	I now have a general understanding of the fundamental concepts of GIS and AutoCAD.	4.7		6.3%		12.5%	81.3%		16
Q31	I now have a general understanding of the fundamental concepts of GIS and AutoCAD.	4.7		6.3%		12.5%	81.3%		16

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-UY 1002: Introduction to Civil Engineering

Class Number: 15967

Section: BLAB

Primary Instructor: Ronan, Anne D

Response Rate: 21/21

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5		4.8%		38.1%	57.1%		21
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5		4.8%		38.1%	57.1%		21
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		21
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		21
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8			4.8%	9.5%	85.7%		21
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8			4.8%	9.5%	85.7%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7			4.8%	23.8%	71.4%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7			4.8%	23.8%	71.4%		21
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A32	I have enhanced my ability to understand the professional and ethical obligations of engineers/scientists	4.1		6.3%	12.5%	43.8%	37.5%		16
A32	I have enhanced my ability to understand the professional and ethical obligations of engineers/scientists	4.1		6.3%	12.5%	43.8%	37.5%		16
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	4.3			12.5%	43.8%	43.8%		16
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	4.3			12.5%	43.8%	43.8%		16
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	4.3		6.3%	6.3%	37.5%	50.0%		16
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	4.3		6.3%	6.3%	37.5%	50.0%		16

Class Number: 16227

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering
CE-UY 2343: Transportation Engineering

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Ulerio,Jose Miguel

Response Rate: 27/30

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	7.4%					92.6%		27
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		26
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7			3.7%	22.2%	74.1%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3		7.4%	7.4%	29.6%	55.6%		27
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6			3.7%	37.0%	59.3%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.1	7.4%	3.7%	11.1%	29.6%	48.1%		27
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.7	7.4%	14.8%	11.1%	29.6%	37.0%		27
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.7	3.7%	14.8%	11.1%	44.4%	25.9%		27
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have enhanced by ability to apply mathematics, science and engineering techniques to solve transportation related problems in transportation planning and highway design.	3.9		11.5%	19.2%	34.6%	34.6%		26
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to perform basic freeway segments capacity analysis.	4.1		7.7%	11.5%	46.2%	34.6%		26
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the transportation planning process.	4.3		3.8%	3.8%	50.0%	42.3%		26
Q30	I have enhanced by ability to perform basic engineering economic analysis.	4.2			15.4%	50.0%	34.6%		26
Q31	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues.	3.8		19.2%	19.2%	19.2%	42.3%		26
Q32	I learned to use Autodesk Civil 3D to perform parking layout design, as well as horizontal and vertical alignments	3.8	11.5%	7.7%	3.8%	46.2%	30.8%		26
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.3	7.4%	29.6%	14.8%	22.2%	25.9%		27
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.1	7.4%	7.4%	3.7%	33.3%	48.1%		27
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.7	3.7%	18.5%	11.1%	33.3%	33.3%		27
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.5	11.1%	22.2%	3.7%	29.6%	33.3%		27

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6303: OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 15163

Section: MOT

Primary Instructor: Veeraiah,Vivek

Response Rate: 17/18

		<i>No</i>				<i>Yes</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		16
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				5.9%	94.1%	17
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8				17.6%	82.4%	17
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8				23.5%	76.5%	17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.9				5.9%	94.1%	17
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8				17.6%	82.4%	17
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8				23.5%	76.5%	17
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.9				12.5%	87.5%	16
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%	16
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.8				18.8%	75.0%	16
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%	16
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.9				11.8%	88.2%	17
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8				23.5%	76.5%	17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				5.9%	94.1%	17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9				11.8%	88.2%	17

Class Number: 15213

Dept. of Technology Management
MG-GY 6303: OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Veeraiah,Vivek

Response Rate: 20/23

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		20	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		5.0%			95.0%		20	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3		5.0%	10.0%	35.0%	50.0%		20
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.8	5.3%		42.1%	10.5%	42.1%		19
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%		85.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%		20
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.2	5.3%	5.3%		42.1%	47.4%		19
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5		5.3%	5.3%	26.3%	63.2%		19
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.2	5.3%	5.3%	10.5%	26.3%	52.6%		19
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.6			5.3%	31.6%	63.2%		19
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.4			10.5%	36.8%	52.6%		19
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.6			5.3%	26.3%	68.4%		19
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.3	10.0%		5.0%	25.0%	60.0%		20
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	3.7	10.0%		40.0%	10.0%	40.0%		20
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.2	5.0%	5.0%	10.0%	30.0%	50.0%		20
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	4.0	5.0%	10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	45.0%		20
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	4.5	5.0%			30.0%	65.0%		20
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.7				30.0%	70.0%		20
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.6			5.3%	31.6%	63.2%		19
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	3.9		5.0%	35.0%	30.0%	30.0%		20
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.8		5.0%	40.0%	25.0%	30.0%		20
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.9		5.0%	35.0%	25.0%	35.0%		20
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	4.4			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		20
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	4.3			15.0%	40.0%	45.0%		20
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3			10.0%	45.0%	45.0%		20



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6303: OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 15213

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Veeraiah,Vivek

Response Rate: 20/23

H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3		5.0%	5.0%	40.0%	50.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5		5.0%	10.0%	15.0%	65.0%	5.0%	20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5		5.0%	10.0%	15.0%	70.0%		20



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

G-GY 8783: CLOUD COMPUTING: PRINCIPLES, ECONOMICS, TECHNOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT PRACTICE

Class Number: 15672

Section: MOT

Primary Instructor: LITTLE,ERIC

Response Rate: 13/14

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		13	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		13	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		13	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		13	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		13
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		13
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7				30.8%	69.2%		13
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7				30.8%	69.2%		13
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.8		23.1%	15.4%	15.4%	46.2%		13
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.8		23.1%	15.4%	15.4%	46.2%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3		7.7%	15.4%	15.4%	53.8%	7.7%	13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3		7.7%	15.4%	15.4%	53.8%	7.7%	13
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.1		16.7%		41.7%	41.7%		12
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.1		16.7%		41.7%	41.7%		12
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6		7.7%		15.4%	76.9%		13
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6		7.7%		15.4%	76.9%		13
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.7			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.7			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.4		9.1%		36.4%	54.5%		11
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.4		9.1%		36.4%	54.5%		11
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.5		9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	72.7%		11
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.5		9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	72.7%		11
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.5			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.5			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3			7.7%	53.8%	38.5%		13
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3			7.7%	53.8%	38.5%		13
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Class Number: 15672

Dept. of Technology Management

G-GY 8783: CLOUD COMPUTING: PRINCIPLES, ECONOMICS, TECHNOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT PRACTI

Section: MOT

Primary Instructor: LITTLE,ERIC

Response Rate: 13/14

H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3		7.7%		46.2%	46.2%		13
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3		7.7%		46.2%	46.2%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5		7.7%		30.8%	61.5%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5		7.7%		30.8%	61.5%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.2	7.7%	7.7%		23.1%	61.5%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.2	7.7%	7.7%		23.1%	61.5%		13

Class Number: 15979

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-UY 3122: STRUCTURAL DYNAMICS

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Ghandehari,Masoud

Response Rate: 15/26

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp				
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		15				
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		92.3%	7.7%	13				
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.4	6.7%	20.0%	13.3%	46.7%	13.3%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	2.8	20.0%	33.3%	6.7%	26.7%	13.3%		15
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0		6.7%	13.3%	53.3%	26.7%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.9	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	50.0%	28.6%		14
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	2.1	46.7%	13.3%	20.0%	20.0%			15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	2.5	26.7%	20.0%	33.3%	20.0%			15
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.2	6.7%	20.0%	40.0%	13.3%	20.0%		15
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	1.9	53.3%	13.3%	20.0%	13.3%			15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	2.5	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	13.3%	6.7%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	2.4	33.3%	20.0%	26.7%	13.3%	6.7%		15
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	3.2	14.3%	7.1%	28.6%	42.9%	7.1%		14
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	3.1	21.4%		35.7%	35.7%	7.1%		14
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	3.2	21.4%		28.6%	35.7%	14.3%		14

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 6711: Quantitative Portfolio Management

Class Number: 15384

Section: I1

Primary Instructor: Ndiaye,Papa Momar

Response Rate: 3/16

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			3
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.0			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.0			33.3%		33.3%	33.3%	3
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3				66.7%	33.3%		3
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0				100.0%			3
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.0			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.7			33.3%	66.7%			3

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		25
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7			4.0%	20.0%	76.0%		25
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7			4.0%	24.0%	72.0%		25
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8			4.0%	12.0%	84.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4		8.0%	8.0%	16.0%	68.0%		25
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7				32.0%	68.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7		4.0%		20.0%	76.0%		25
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.7	4.3%			13.0%	82.6%		23
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.6		4.3%		26.1%	69.6%		23
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.6		4.3%		30.4%	65.2%		23
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.6		4.3%		26.1%	69.6%		23
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6		4.0%		24.0%	72.0%		25
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6		4.0%	4.0%	24.0%	68.0%		25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7			8.0%	12.0%	80.0%		25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7		4.0%	4.0%	12.0%	80.0%		25

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering
EL-GY 6713: Electromagnetic Theory and Applications

Class Number: 14863

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Lu, I-Tai

Response Rate: 13/15

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.7%				92.3%			13
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3	7.7%	7.7%		15.4%	69.2%		13
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3		8.3%	8.3%	33.3%	50.0%		12
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3		7.7%		46.2%	46.2%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5		7.7%		30.8%	61.5%		13
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.5	8.3%	25.0%		41.7%	25.0%		12
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.8	7.7%	15.4%	7.7%	30.8%	38.5%		13
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.2	7.7%	23.1%	23.1%	30.8%	15.4%		13
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.1		15.4%		46.2%	38.5%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.7	15.4%	7.7%	7.7%	30.8%	38.5%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.9	7.7%		7.7%	61.5%	23.1%		13



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL-GY 6713: Electromagnetic Theory and Applications

Class Number: 21062

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Lu, I-Tai

Response Rate: 1/3

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			1
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.0				100.0%			1
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.0			100.0%				1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%		1
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.0			100.0%				1
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	5.0					100.0%		1
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	4.0				100.0%			1
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	3.0			100.0%				1
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	3.0			100.0%				1
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	2.0		100.0%					1
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.0				100.0%			1
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	2.0		100.0%					1
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	3.0			100.0%				1
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.0			100.0%				1
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.0			100.0%				1
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	5.0					100.0%		1
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	5.0					100.0%		1
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	2.0		100.0%					1
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.0				100.0%			1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0				100.0%			1



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 2114: Calculus III: Multi-Dimensional Calculus

Class Number: 16191

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Medina, Luciano Manuel

Response Rate: 7/28

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		7	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	14.3%				85.7%		7	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.9	14.3%		14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.3		42.9%	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%		7
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.6			42.9%	57.1%			7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.6		14.3%	14.3%	71.4%			7
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	2.9		42.9%	28.6%	28.6%			7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.0	14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	14.3%	14.3%		7
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	3.7		14.3%		71.4%		14.3%	7
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	2.3	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%	14.3%			7
Q29	I need more HW problems.	2.7	14.3%	42.9%	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%		7
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.6	14.3%	14.3%		42.9%	28.6%		7
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.6		14.3%	14.3%	71.4%			7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	2.7	42.9%	14.3%		14.3%	28.6%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.6		14.3%	14.3%	71.4%			7



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 2114: Calculus III: Multi-Dimensional Calculus

Class Number: 20013

Section: E2

Primary Instructor: Medina, Luciano Manuel

Response Rate: 6/36

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		6	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					66.7%	33.3%	6	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.8			33.3%	50.0%	16.7%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.3	16.7%	16.7%		50.0%	16.7%		6
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.3		33.3%		66.7%			6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.0	33.3%	16.7%		16.7%	33.3%		6
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.3		33.3%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	2.8	16.7%	33.3%		16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	6
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	3.7	16.7%			66.7%	16.7%		6
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	3.8		16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	6
Q29	I need more HW problems.	2.5	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%			6
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.0	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%		6
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.8		16.7%		50.0%	16.7%	16.7%	6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.7		16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.3	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%		6

Class Number: 21190

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering
TR-GY 7213: Transportation Management

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Malchow,Richard

Response Rate: 9/11

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		8
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				100.0%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0				100.0%		9
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0				100.0%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%	9
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0				100.0%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0				100.0%		9
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%	9
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0				100.0%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0				100.0%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0				100.0%		9



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Class Number: 15981

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 1013: MECHANICS

Section: B3RC

Primary Instructor: Hegde,Suryanarayan

Response Rate: 16/27

X. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8			6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		16
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	4.1		6.3%	18.8%	18.8%	43.8%	12.5%	16
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.3		6.3%	18.8%	12.5%	62.5%		16
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	4.3		6.3%	12.5%	25.0%	56.3%		16



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Class Number: 16027

Section: A4RC

Primary Instructor: Hegde,Suryanarayan

Response Rate: 18/25

X. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3		6.7%	6.7%	33.3%	46.7%	6.7%	15
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	4.2		6.7%	13.3%	20.0%	46.7%	13.3%	15
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.3		6.7%		46.7%	40.0%	6.7%	15
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	3.9	6.7%		26.7%	26.7%	33.3%	6.7%	15

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society
DM-UY 4913: Special Topics in Digital Media

Class Number: 20778

Section: R

Primary Instructor: Propati,Domenick G

Response Rate: 17/17

		<i>No</i>				<i>Yes</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
A. Procedures and Policies							<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.3%				93.8%		16
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>#</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				5.9%	94.1%	17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.9				11.8%	88.2%	17
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>#</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4	5.9%	5.9%		23.5%	64.7%	17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8			5.9%	11.8%	76.5%	17
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>#</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6	5.9%			11.8%	82.4%	17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0	6.3%		31.3%	6.3%	50.0%	16
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>#</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6			5.9%	23.5%	70.6%	17
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>#</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6	6.3%			12.5%	81.3%	16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8			5.9%	5.9%	88.2%	17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7	5.9%			5.9%	88.2%	17

		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.9				7.1%	92.9%		28
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.6		3.6%		32.1%	64.3%		28
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				10.7%	89.3%		28
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.9				10.7%	89.3%		28
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.4		3.6%	7.1%	35.7%	53.6%		28
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.9				14.3%	82.1%	3.6%	28
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3	7.1%	3.6%		28.6%	60.7%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4		3.6%	10.7%	25.0%	60.7%		28
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1		14.3%	3.6%	35.7%	39.3%	7.1%	28
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.8		3.6%	3.6%	7.1%	85.7%		28
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.1		7.1%	14.3%	35.7%	42.9%		28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.6	10.7%	7.1%	25.0%	25.0%	32.1%		28
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	4.5			7.4%	37.0%	55.6%		27
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	4.1		11.1%	14.8%	29.6%	44.4%		27

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 2131: General Physics Laboratory II

Class Number: 16262

Section: E1

Primary Instructor: Fried, Peter

Response Rate: 25/33

		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.9				12.0%	84.0%	4.0%	25
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.3		4.0%	4.0%	44.0%	44.0%	4.0%	25
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					96.0%	4.0%	25
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	5.0					96.0%	4.0%	25
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.4		4.0%	4.0%	44.0%	48.0%		25
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8				20.8%	75.0%	4.2%	24
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3	4.0%	4.0%	8.0%	24.0%	60.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0		8.0%	20.0%	40.0%	32.0%		25
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0		20.0%	8.0%	28.0%	44.0%		25
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.8			4.0%	16.0%	76.0%	4.0%	25
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0	8.0%	4.0%	8.0%	40.0%	40.0%		25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.2	24.0%	12.0%	12.0%	24.0%	28.0%		25
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	4.4			16.0%	32.0%	52.0%		25
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	4.2		4.0%	20.0%	28.0%	48.0%		25



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS-UY 2004: Introduction to Physiology

Class Number: 15794

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Lee, Tommy S

Response Rate: 14/16

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	28.6%				71.4%		14	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	30.8%				61.5%	7.7%	13	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.7	7.1%	21.4%	14.3%	7.1%	50.0%		14
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.6	7.1%	14.3%	14.3%	35.7%	28.6%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.1	7.1%			57.1%	35.7%		14
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1	7.1%	14.3%		21.4%	57.1%		14
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4		7.1%		42.9%	50.0%		14
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7			7.1%	14.3%	78.6%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		14
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.6		7.7%		15.4%	76.9%		13
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	4.2			15.4%	46.2%	38.5%		13
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	4.3			15.4%	38.5%	46.2%		13
X. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5		7.7%	7.7%	15.4%	69.2%		13
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	4.6		7.7%		15.4%	76.9%		13
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.0	7.7%	7.7%		46.2%	38.5%		13
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	4.4		7.7%	7.7%	23.1%	61.5%		13

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
BMS-UY 4844: TOPICS IN BIOLOGY

Class Number: 16156

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Lee, Tommy S

Response Rate: 10/11

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	40.0%						60.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	20.0%						80.0%		10
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%			10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5			20.0%	10.0%	70.0%			10
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%			10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%			10
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.9	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	50.0%			10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4			10.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10.0%	10
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5		10.0%		20.0%	70.0%			10
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%			10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%			10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%			10
Y. Regarding The Laboratory		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%			9
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.8				22.2%	77.8%			9
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%			9
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.8				22.2%	77.8%			9
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%			9
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.8				22.2%	77.8%			9



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering
ME-UY 4214: Finite Element Modeling, Design and Analysis

Class Number: 16113

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Flamini,Vittoria

Response Rate: 26/36

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			25
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8			3.8%	11.5%	84.6%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6			11.5%	15.4%	73.1%		26
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.2	7.7%	7.7%	3.8%	23.1%	57.7%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3		11.5%		30.8%	57.7%		26
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5		7.7%	3.8%	15.4%	73.1%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8			3.8%	15.4%	76.9%	3.8%	26
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned the principles of finite element analysis and the application of boundary conditions and symmetry conditions	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		25
Q28	Learned about setting up solution methods for beams and frames and the post-processing procedures to extract information such as stresses and strains.	4.8			4.0%	16.0%	80.0%		25
Q29	Learned modeling using Ansys.	4.9				12.0%	88.0%		25
Q30	Learned about contemporary issues in the field of FEA.	4.6		4.0%		24.0%	72.0%		25
Q31	Learned about impact of FEA on medicine, design, and aerospace industry.	4.6		4.0%		32.0%	64.0%		25
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5		3.8%	7.7%	23.1%	65.4%		26
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5		7.7%	3.8%	19.2%	69.2%		26
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7		3.8%		19.2%	73.1%	3.8%	26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5		7.7%		23.1%	69.2%		26
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.7		4.0%		16.0%	80.0%		25
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	4.7				28.0%	72.0%		25
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	4.5		4.0%	8.0%	24.0%	64.0%		25
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.7			4.0%	20.0%	76.0%		25



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 4214: Finite Element Modeling, Design and Analysis

Class Number: 16302

Section: BLEC

Primary Instructor: Flamini,Vittoria

Response Rate: 33/35

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.0%						93.9%	3.0%	33
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.0%						97.0%		33
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.4		3.0%	9.1%	33.3%	54.5%			33
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.1	3.1%	9.4%	12.5%	28.1%	46.9%			32
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.8	6.1%	12.1%	18.2%	27.3%	36.4%			33
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.1	3.0%	9.1%	6.1%	39.4%	42.4%			33
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.2	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	48.5%	42.4%			33
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4		3.0%	6.1%	39.4%	51.5%			33
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q27	Learned the principles of finite element analysis and the application of boundary conditions and symmetry conditions	4.6			3.1%	37.5%	59.4%			32
Q28	Learned about setting up solution methods for beams and frames and the post-processing procedures to extract information such as stresses and strains.	4.6			6.3%	31.3%	62.5%			32
Q29	Learned modeling using Ansys.	4.5		3.1%		40.6%	56.3%			32
Q30	Learned about contemporary issues in the field of FEA.	4.3		9.4%		40.6%	50.0%			32
Q31	Learned about impact of FEA on medicine, design, and aerospace industry.	4.3		6.3%	9.4%	37.5%	46.9%			32
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3		3.0%	9.1%	45.5%	42.4%			33
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3	3.0%	3.0%	9.1%	33.3%	51.5%			33
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.0	6.1%	3.0%	24.2%	21.2%	45.5%			33
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.2		6.1%	9.1%	45.5%	39.4%			33
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.5		3.1%	3.1%	37.5%	56.3%			32
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	4.4		3.1%	6.3%	37.5%	53.1%			32
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	4.3		6.3%	6.3%	37.5%	50.0%			32
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.5		3.1%	3.1%	37.5%	56.3%			32



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CM-UY 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Class Number: 15755

Section: BLEC

Primary Instructor: Pollack, Myron I.

Response Rate: 53/92

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	7.5%						86.8%	5.7%	53
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	1.9%						96.2%	1.9%	52
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5		3.8%	1.9%	34.0%	60.4%			53
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.5	5.7%	17.0%	24.5%	28.3%	24.5%			53
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.9	7.5%	9.4%	11.3%	28.3%	43.4%			53
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.0	3.8%	9.4%	7.5%	43.4%	35.8%			53
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0	1.9%	9.4%	9.4%	45.3%	34.0%			53
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0		7.5%	18.9%	35.8%	37.7%			53
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	4.5			5.8%	42.3%	51.9%			52
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	4.4			1.9%	51.9%	46.2%			52
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	4.4		1.9%	5.8%	40.4%	51.9%			52
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	3.7	13.5%	7.7%	11.5%	30.8%	36.5%			52
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.8	1.9%	15.1%	11.3%	43.4%	28.3%			53
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.6	9.4%	11.3%	18.9%	30.2%	28.3%	1.9%		53
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.1	3.8%	3.8%	13.2%	34.0%	45.3%			53
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.6	7.5%	9.4%	24.5%	28.3%	30.2%			53
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	3.8	5.8%	9.6%	17.3%	38.5%	28.8%			52
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	3.7	5.8%	9.6%	15.4%	46.2%	23.1%			52
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	3.3	13.5%	5.8%	40.4%	19.2%	19.2%	1.9%		52
X. The Recitation Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3	1.9%	5.8%	1.9%	42.3%	46.2%	1.9%		52
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	3.9	7.7%		21.2%	32.7%	36.5%	1.9%		52
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	3.9	2.0%	9.8%	11.8%	47.1%	27.5%	2.0%		51
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	3.9	9.6%	1.9%	13.5%	34.6%	38.5%	1.9%		52



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CM-UY 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Class Number: 15755

Section: BLEC

Primary Instructor: Pollack, Myron I.

Response Rate: 53/92



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CM-UY 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Class Number: 15759

Section: B1LB

Primary Instructor: Pollack, Myron I.

Response Rate: 13/13

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.8			7.7%	7.7%	84.6%		13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.5		7.7%		30.8%	61.5%		13
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		13
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.5			7.7%	30.8%	61.5%		13
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.4		7.7%		38.5%	53.8%		13
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8				23.1%	76.9%		13
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3		7.7%	7.7%	30.8%	53.8%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.2			15.4%	46.2%	38.5%		13
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	4.6			7.7%	23.1%	69.2%		13
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	4.5			15.4%	23.1%	61.5%		13
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	4.4			15.4%	30.8%	53.8%		13
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	3.8	7.7%	23.1%	7.7%	7.7%	53.8%		13
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3			7.7%	53.8%	38.5%		13
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.8			7.7%	7.7%	84.6%		13
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.1	7.7%		23.1%	15.4%	53.8%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.2		7.7%	15.4%	30.8%	46.2%		13
M. The Recitation Instructor									
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				23.1%	76.9%		13
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	3.8	7.7%		30.8%	15.4%	38.5%	7.7%	13
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	3.8	15.4%		15.4%	15.4%	46.2%	7.7%	13
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	3.8	15.4%		15.4%	15.4%	46.2%	7.7%	13



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

**Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CM-UY 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers**

Class Number: 15772

Section: B4LB

Primary Instructor: Pollack, Myron I.

Response Rate: 20/20

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		20
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.3		5.3%		57.9%	36.8%		19
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7			10.0%	15.0%	75.0%		20
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.1		5.0%	15.0%	50.0%	30.0%		20
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	3.9	5.3%	5.3%	10.5%	52.6%	26.3%		19
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.1	5.3%	5.3%	15.8%	26.3%	47.4%		19
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.7		25.0%	20.0%	20.0%	35.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.8		15.0%	25.0%	30.0%	30.0%		20
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	4.3		5.0%	5.0%	40.0%	50.0%		20
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	4.3		5.0%	5.0%	40.0%	50.0%		20
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	4.3			5.0%	55.0%	40.0%		20
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	3.6	15.0%	5.0%	20.0%	30.0%	30.0%		20
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.9		20.0%	5.0%	40.0%	35.0%		20
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	3.9		5.0%	30.0%	35.0%	30.0%		20
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.8	5.0%		25.0%	50.0%	20.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.4		30.0%	20.0%	35.0%	15.0%		20
M. The Recitation Instructor									
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6			10.0%	25.0%	65.0%		20
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	3.6		10.0%	40.0%	35.0%	15.0%		20
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	3.6		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		20
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	3.2	5.0%	10.0%	55.0%	20.0%	10.0%		20

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CM-UY 1014: GENERAL CHEMISTRY I

Class Number: 15774

Section: A2LB

Primary Instructor: Pollack, Myron I.

Response Rate: 19/20

		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.9				10.5%	89.5%		19
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.1		10.5%	5.3%	52.6%	31.6%		19
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7			10.5%	10.5%	78.9%		19
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.1		5.3%	21.1%	31.6%	36.8%	5.3%	19
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.4			5.3%	47.4%	47.4%		19
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4			10.5%	42.1%	47.4%		19
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3			15.8%	31.6%	47.4%	5.3%	19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.8	5.3%	15.8%	10.5%	21.1%	42.1%	5.3%	19
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3		5.3%	10.5%	26.3%	52.6%	5.3%	19
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	3.9		21.1%	15.8%	10.5%	52.6%		19
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.2		10.5%	5.3%	42.1%	42.1%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3	5.3%			47.4%	47.4%		19
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.3	5.3%		15.8%	21.1%	57.9%		19
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	4.1	5.3%	5.3%	10.5%	31.6%	47.4%		19
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	3.9	10.5%		15.8%	31.6%	42.1%		19



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM-UY 1014: GENERAL CHEMISTRY I

Class Number: 15964

Section: A3LB

Primary Instructor: Pollack, Myron I.

Response Rate: 7/8

		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.7			14.3%		85.7%		7
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.3			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.4			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.0			28.6%	42.9%	28.6%		7
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.4			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		7
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4			28.6%		71.4%		7
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.3			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.6		14.3%	14.3%	71.4%			7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0			14.3%	71.4%	14.3%		7
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	3.6		14.3%	28.6%	42.9%	14.3%		7
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	3.7		14.3%	14.3%	57.1%	14.3%		7
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	3.4	14.3%		28.6%	42.9%	14.3%		7

		<i>No</i>			<i>Yes</i>			<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				100.0%				1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				100.0%				1
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.0			100.0%				1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		1
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.0			100.0%				1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%		1
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.0			100.0%				1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.0			100.0%				1
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	5.0					100.0%		1
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	1.0	100.0%						1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	1.0	100.0%						1

		<i>No</i>					<i>Yes</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
A. Procedures and Policies								<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		1
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		1
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%		1
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0					100.0%		1
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	5.0					100.0%		1
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0					100.0%		1

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		2	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		2	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		2
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%		2
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0					100.0%		2
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	5.0					100.0%		2
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	60.0%				20.0%	20.0%	5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	40.0%				40.0%	20.0%	5
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.5	20.0%		20.0%		40.0%	20.0%
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.5	20.0%		20.0%		40.0%	20.0%
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.5	20.0%		20.0%		40.0%	20.0%
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.5	20.0%		20.0%		40.0%	20.0%
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.5	20.0%		20.0%		40.0%	20.0%
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.3	20.0%		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.3	20.0%		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.5	20.0%		20.0%		40.0%	20.0%
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.5	20.0%		20.0%		40.0%	20.0%
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.5	20.0%		20.0%		40.0%	20.0%



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
BE-GY 6103: ANATOMY, PHYSIOLOGY, & BIOPHYSICS I

Class Number: 14951

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Di Resta, Gene Robert

Response Rate: 20/23

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			19
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.2	5.0%	5.0%		45.0%	45.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.8	5.0%	15.0%	10.0%	35.0%	35.0%		20
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4	5.0%	5.0%		25.0%	65.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.0	10.0%	5.0%		50.0%	35.0%		20
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.8	10.0%	10.0%		50.0%	30.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0		15.0%	10.0%	35.0%	40.0%		20
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0		21.1%		36.8%	42.1%		19
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.7	10.0%	15.0%	5.0%	35.0%	35.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.5	20.0%	5.0%	25.0%	10.0%	40.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.4	15.8%	10.5%	21.1%	26.3%	26.3%		19

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BE-GY 6603: Drug Delivery

Class Number: 15462

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Di Resta, Gene Robert

Response Rate: 7/7

		<i>No</i>			<i>Yes</i>			<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				100.0%				7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				100.0%				7
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.8			33.3%	50.0%	16.7%		6
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.6		42.9%		14.3%	42.9%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3				71.4%	28.6%		7
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.1		14.3%		42.9%	42.9%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1		14.3%		42.9%	42.9%		7
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.7		28.6%		42.9%	28.6%		7
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4				57.1%	42.9%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.0		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.7		14.3%	14.3%	57.1%	14.3%		7



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-GY 8283: Risk Analysis

Class Number: 14941

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Bates, Andrew J.

Response Rate: 20/28

		<i>No</i>			<i>Yes</i>			<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				94.7%			5.3%	19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				94.7%			5.3%	19
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6				40.0%	60.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.4			5.0%	50.0%	45.0%		20
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.1		5.0%	10.0%	55.0%	30.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		20
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6			5.0%	35.0%	60.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3		5.3%	10.5%	36.8%	47.4%		19
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.2	5.0%			60.0%	35.0%		20
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5			5.0%	40.0%	55.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6			5.0%	30.0%	65.0%		20

Class Number: 25009

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-GY 8283: Risk Analysis

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Bates,Andrew J.

Response Rate: 7/7

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	14.3%				85.7%			7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			7
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7			14.3%		85.7%		7
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.7		28.6%		28.6%	28.6%	14.3%	7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3			28.6%	14.3%	57.1%		7
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.9		28.6%		28.6%	42.9%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.6	14.3%		28.6%	28.6%	28.6%		7
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	4.4			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		7
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	4.7			14.3%		85.7%		7
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.4		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		7
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	3.3			71.4%		14.3%	14.3%	7
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.3			71.4%		14.3%	14.3%	7
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.8			42.9%	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	7
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	4.4			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	4.3			28.6%		57.1%	14.3%	7
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1		14.3%		42.9%	42.9%		7
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4				57.1%	42.9%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7			14.3%		85.7%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8				16.7%	66.7%	16.7%	6

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 6411: Fixed Income Securities and Interest Rate Derivatives

Class Number: 15399

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Alizadeh, Sassan

Response Rate: 5/11

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	20.0%						80.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	20.0%						80.0%		5
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%			5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.8	20.0%		20.0%		60.0%			5
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.6	20.0%	20.0%			60.0%			5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.2			40.0%		60.0%			5
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0	20.0%			20.0%	60.0%			5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0	20.0%			20.0%	60.0%			5
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%			5
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.2	20.0%				80.0%			5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.8	20.0%			40.0%	40.0%			5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.2	20.0%				80.0%			5

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM-GY 6063: Creative Coding

Class Number: 15446

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Siwoff, Kevin Jacob

Response Rate: 10/14

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		10	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		10	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				100.0%		10	
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0				100.0%		10	
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.9				10.0%	90.0%		10
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0				100.0%			10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0				100.0%			10
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4	10.0%			20.0%	70.0%		10
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.9				10.0%	90.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				10.0%	90.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%		10

Class Number: 15106

Dept. of Technology Management
MG-GY 9503: MOT Capstone Project Course

Section: MOT

Primary Instructor: Nadan,Joseph Stanley

Response Rate: 11/12

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					90.9%	9.1%	11	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					90.9%	9.1%	11	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	18.2%				81.8%		11	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	18.2%				81.8%		11	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.1		9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	36.4%	9.1%	11
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.1		9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	36.4%	9.1%	11
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.8	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	36.4%	9.1%	11
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.8	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	36.4%	9.1%	11
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	2.7	18.2%	27.3%	27.3%	18.2%	9.1%		11
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	2.7	18.2%	27.3%	27.3%	18.2%	9.1%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.4		18.2%	36.4%	36.4%	9.1%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.4		18.2%	36.4%	36.4%	9.1%		11
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.1	27.3%		27.3%	27.3%	18.2%		11
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.1	27.3%		27.3%	27.3%	18.2%		11
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	2.8	18.2%	27.3%	18.2%	27.3%	9.1%		11
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	2.8	18.2%	27.3%	18.2%	27.3%	9.1%		11
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	3.2	27.3%	9.1%		45.5%	18.2%		11
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	3.2	27.3%	9.1%		45.5%	18.2%		11
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	3.9	9.1%		9.1%	54.5%	27.3%		11
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	3.9	9.1%		9.1%	54.5%	27.3%		11
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	3.1	18.2%	9.1%	27.3%	18.2%	18.2%	9.1%	11
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	3.1	18.2%	9.1%	27.3%	18.2%	18.2%	9.1%	11
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.1			18.2%	54.5%	27.3%		11
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.1			18.2%	54.5%	27.3%		11
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.6		9.1%	27.3%	54.5%	9.1%		11
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.6		9.1%	27.3%	54.5%	9.1%		11
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 9503: MOT Capstone Project Course

Class Number: 15106

Section: MOT

Primary Instructor: Nadan, Joseph Stanley

Response Rate: 11/12

H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.8		9.1%	27.3%	36.4%	27.3%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.8		9.1%	27.3%	36.4%	27.3%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.2	18.2%		27.3%	36.4%	9.1%	9.1%	11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.2	18.2%		27.3%	36.4%	9.1%	9.1%	11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.3	18.2%	9.1%	18.2%	36.4%	18.2%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.3	18.2%	9.1%	18.2%	36.4%	18.2%		11



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE-UY 4173: DESIGN PROJECT I-TELECOMMUNICATIONS NETWORK

Class Number: 20432

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Panwar,Shivendra

Response Rate: 64/64

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.1%						95.3%	1.6%	64
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.							98.4%	1.6%	63
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.4	3.1%	4.7%	4.7%	28.1%	59.4%			64
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3	4.7%	3.1%	9.4%	18.8%	64.1%			64
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.2	4.8%	3.2%	12.7%	20.6%	57.1%		1.6%	63
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5	3.1%	1.6%	4.7%	20.3%	70.3%			64
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4	3.1%	4.7%	1.6%	26.6%	64.1%			64
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4	6.3%	3.1%	1.6%	23.4%	65.6%			64
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3	4.7%	1.6%	9.4%	28.1%	56.3%			64
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4	3.1%	3.1%	4.7%	29.7%	59.4%			64
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.3	4.7%	7.8%	4.7%	17.2%	64.1%		1.6%	64
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5	4.7%	3.1%	1.6%	17.2%	73.4%			64
H3. TA Evaluation		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	4.3	5.5%	3.6%	7.3%	25.5%	58.2%			55
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	4.3	7.3%	3.6%	7.3%	18.2%	63.6%			55
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	4.2	9.1%	1.8%	9.1%	18.2%	61.8%			55



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL-GY 5373: INTERNET ARCHITECTURE & PROTOCOLS

Class Number: 14900

Section: ILEC

Primary Instructor: Panwar,Shivendra

Response Rate: 64/64

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.1%						95.3%	1.6%	64
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.							98.4%	1.6%	63
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.4	3.1%	4.7%	4.7%	28.1%	59.4%			64
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3	4.7%	3.1%	9.4%	18.8%	64.1%			64
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.2	4.8%	3.2%	12.7%	20.6%	57.1%		1.6%	63
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5	3.1%	1.6%	4.7%	20.3%	70.3%			64
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4	3.1%	4.7%	1.6%	26.6%	64.1%			64
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4	6.3%	3.1%	1.6%	23.4%	65.6%			64
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3	4.7%	1.6%	9.4%	28.1%	56.3%			64
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4	3.1%	3.1%	4.7%	29.7%	59.4%			64
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.3	4.7%	7.8%	4.7%	17.2%	64.1%		1.6%	64
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5	4.7%	3.1%	1.6%	17.2%	73.4%			64
H3. TA Evaluation		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	4.3	5.5%	3.6%	7.3%	25.5%	58.2%			55
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	4.3	7.3%	3.6%	7.3%	18.2%	63.6%			55
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	4.2	9.1%	1.8%	9.1%	18.2%	61.8%			55



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL-GY 5373: INTERNET ARCHITECTURE & PROTOCOLS

Class Number: 21049

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Panwar,Shivendra

Response Rate: 4/8

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		4	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%				75.0%		4	
A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	3.8			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	3.5		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.5		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.0			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7				25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	4
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.0				100.0%			4
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.5		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.0				100.0%			4
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	2.8		50.0%	25.0%	25.0%			4
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.3		25.0%	25.0%	50.0%			4
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.3			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	3.5			50.0%	50.0%			4
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	3.5			50.0%	50.0%			4
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	3.3			75.0%	25.0%			4
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	3.5			50.0%	50.0%			4
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	3.5			50.0%	50.0%			4
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	3.5			50.0%	50.0%			4
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	3.5			50.0%	50.0%			4
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.8			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.8			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	2.8		50.0%	25.0%	25.0%			4
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	3.3		25.0%	25.0%	50.0%			4



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL-GY 5373: INTERNET ARCHITECTURE & PROTOCOLS

Class Number: 21049

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Panwar,Shivendra

Response Rate: 4/8

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.5		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.0			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.8			25.0%	75.0%			4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	2.8		25.0%	75.0%				4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.5			50.0%	50.0%			4
H3. TA Evaluation		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	4.3				75.0%	25.0%		4
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	4.0		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	4.0			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL-GY 5373: INTERNET ARCHITECTURE & PROTOCOLS

Class Number: 21050

Section: 01LB

Primary Instructor: Panwar,Shivendra

Response Rate: 2/3

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			2
A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	3.0			100.0%				2
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	3.0			100.0%				2
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		2
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.0				100.0%			2
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0				100.0%			2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.0				100.0%			2
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.5			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.5			50.0%	50.0%			2
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.0				100.0%			2
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	3.5			50.0%	50.0%			2
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	3.5			50.0%	50.0%			2
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	4.0				100.0%			2
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	4.0				100.0%			2
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.0				100.0%			2
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	3.5			50.0%	50.0%			2
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	4.0				100.0%			2
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.5			50.0%	50.0%			2
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.0				100.0%			2
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	3.5			50.0%	50.0%			2
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	3.0		50.0%		50.0%			2

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL-GY 5373: INTERNET ARCHITECTURE & PROTOCOLS

Class Number: 21050

Section: O1LB

Primary Instructor: Panwar,Shivendra

Response Rate: 2/3

G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.5		50.0%			50.0%		2
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0				100.0%			2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%		2
H3. TA Evaluation		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	5.0					50.0%	50.0%	2
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL-GY 5373: INTERNET ARCHITECTURE & PROTOCOLS

Class Number: 21051

Section: O2LB

Primary Instructor: Panwar,Shivendra

Response Rate: 1/2

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		1	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		1	
A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.0				100.0%			1
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.0				100.0%			1
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.0				100.0%			1
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.0				100.0%			1
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.0				100.0%			1
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.0				100.0%			1
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.0				100.0%			1
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.0				100.0%			1
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0				100.0%			1
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.0				100.0%			1
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	4.0				100.0%			1
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.0				100.0%			1
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	4.0				100.0%			1
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	4.0				100.0%			1
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.0				100.0%			1
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.0				100.0%			1
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	4.0				100.0%			1
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.0				100.0%			1
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.0				100.0%			1
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	4.0				100.0%			1
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	4.0				100.0%			1

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering
EL-GY 5373: INTERNET ARCHITECTURE & PROTOCOLS

Class Number: 21051

Section: O2LB

Primary Instructor: Panwar, Shivendra

Response Rate: 1/2

G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0				100.0%			1
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.0				100.0%			1
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.0				100.0%			1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0				100.0%			1
H3. TA Evaluation		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	4.0				100.0%			1
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	4.0				100.0%			1
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	4.0				100.0%			1

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
BE-GY 6403: Digital Signal Processing I

Class Number: 14858

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Voltz, Peter J.

Response Rate: 34/74

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	8.8%						91.2%		34
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.0%						97.0%		33
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9	2.9%				97.1%			34
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.9	2.9%				97.1%			34
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8	2.9%		2.9%	5.9%	82.4%	5.9%		34
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8	2.9%		2.9%	5.9%	88.2%			34
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7	2.9%			14.7%	82.4%			34
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8	2.9%			11.8%	85.3%			34
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.7		2.9%		17.6%	79.4%			34
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8	2.9%		2.9%	5.9%	88.2%			34
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9	2.9%			2.9%	94.1%			34
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8	2.9%		2.9%	2.9%	91.2%			34

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
BE-GY 6403: Digital Signal Processing I

Class Number: 14859

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Voltz, Peter J.

Response Rate: 65/100

		<i>No</i>			<i>Yes</i>			<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				98.5%			1.5%	65
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	1.6%			96.9%			1.6%	64
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				6.2%	92.3%	1.5%	65
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8			1.5%	12.3%	81.5%	4.6%	65
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6		4.6%	7.7%	12.3%	70.8%	4.6%	65
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7	1.5%	1.5%	6.2%	7.7%	80.0%	3.1%	65
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.9				13.8%	86.2%		65
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8			4.6%	12.3%	83.1%		65
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6	1.5%	4.6%		16.9%	76.9%		65
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7		1.5%	4.6%	13.8%	80.0%		65
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				12.3%	87.7%		65
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8		3.1%		13.8%	83.1%		65

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering
EE-UY 2013: Fundamentals of Electric Circuits I

Class Number: 16174

Section: BLEC

Primary Instructor: Voltz, Peter J.

Response Rate: 23/58

		<i>No</i>			<i>Yes</i>			<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				95.7%			4.3%	23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				100.0%				22
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		23
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7		4.3%	4.3%	4.3%	87.0%		23
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5		4.3%	8.7%	17.4%	69.6%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.9				13.0%	87.0%		23
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.9				13.0%	82.6%	4.3%	23
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5			17.4%	8.7%	69.6%	4.3%	23
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6			4.3%	30.4%	65.2%		23
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8				17.4%	82.6%		23
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0				4.3%	95.7%		23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7			4.3%	21.7%	73.9%		23
H3. TA Evaluation		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	4.2			21.1%	26.3%	42.1%	10.5%	19
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	3.9		5.3%	26.3%	31.6%	31.6%	5.3%	19
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	4.0			36.8%	15.8%	36.8%	10.5%	19



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering
EE-UY 2013: Fundamentals of Electric Circuits I

Class Number: 25069

Section: BREC

Primary Instructor: Voltz, Peter J.

Response Rate: 5/58

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					75.0%	25.0%	4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					80.0%	20.0%	5
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6				40.0%	60.0%	5
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.2			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%	5
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%	5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%	5
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6				40.0%	60.0%	5
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0			20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	5
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4				60.0%	40.0%	5
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4				60.0%	40.0%	5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.4			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%	5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.4			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%	5
H3. TA Evaluation		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%	5
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	4.4				60.0%	40.0%	5
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	4.4			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%	5

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering
EL-GY 6113: Digital Signal Processing I

Class Number: 14888

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Voltz, Peter J.

Response Rate: 65/100

		<i>No</i>				<i>Yes</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					98.5%	1.5%	65
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	1.6%				96.9%	1.6%	64
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				6.2%	92.3%	65
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8			1.5%	12.3%	81.5%	65
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6		4.6%	7.7%	12.3%	70.8%	65
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7	1.5%	1.5%	6.2%	7.7%	80.0%	65
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.9				13.8%	86.2%	65
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8			4.6%	12.3%	83.1%	65
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6	1.5%	4.6%		16.9%	76.9%	65
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7		1.5%	4.6%	13.8%	80.0%	65
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				12.3%	87.7%	65
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8		3.1%		13.8%	83.1%	65

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering
EL-GY 6113: Digital Signal Processing I

Class Number: 14889

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Voltz, Peter J.

Response Rate: 34/74

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	8.8%						91.2%		34
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.0%						97.0%		33
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9	2.9%				97.1%			34
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.9	2.9%				97.1%			34
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8	2.9%		2.9%	5.9%	82.4%	5.9%		34
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8	2.9%		2.9%	5.9%	88.2%			34
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7	2.9%			14.7%	82.4%			34
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8	2.9%			11.8%	85.3%			34
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.7		2.9%		17.6%	79.4%			34
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8	2.9%		2.9%	5.9%	88.2%			34
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9	2.9%			2.9%	94.1%			34
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8	2.9%		2.9%	2.9%	91.2%			34

Class Number: 21064

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Voltz, Peter J.

Response Rate: 11/13

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	9.1%						90.9%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.1%						90.9%		11
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7				27.3%	72.7%			11
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3			27.3%	18.2%	54.5%			11
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.9			45.5%	18.2%	36.4%			11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.1		9.1%	27.3%	9.1%	54.5%			11
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.2			18.2%	45.5%	36.4%			11
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1		9.1%	18.2%	27.3%	45.5%			11
E1. Online Course Module		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.7			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%			11
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	3.8		9.1%	36.4%	18.2%	36.4%			11
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	3.8			45.5%	27.3%	27.3%			11
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	4.1			36.4%	18.2%	45.5%			11
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	4.2		18.2%	9.1%	9.1%	63.6%			11
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.3		9.1%	18.2%	9.1%	63.6%			11
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.5			18.2%	18.2%	63.6%			11
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	3.9		9.1%	27.3%	27.3%	36.4%			11
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.9		9.1%	27.3%	27.3%	36.4%			11
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.7	9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	9.1%	45.5%			11
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	4.2		9.1%	18.2%	18.2%	54.5%			11
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	3.9		9.1%	27.3%	27.3%	36.4%			11
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.6	9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	36.4%	27.3%			11
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.7		9.1%	36.4%	27.3%	27.3%			11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.4		9.1%		36.4%	54.5%			11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.2		9.1%	18.2%	18.2%	54.5%			11



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-GY 8303: Information Systems in Project Management

Class Number: 14988

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Ergan,Semiha

Response Rate: 27/30

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.7%				92.6%	3.7%	27	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.7%				92.6%	3.7%	27	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.7%				92.6%	3.7%	27	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.7%				92.6%	3.7%	27	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3		3.7%	11.1%	33.3%	51.9%		27
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3		3.7%	11.1%	33.3%	51.9%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5			7.4%	33.3%	59.3%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5			7.4%	33.3%	59.3%		27
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6				37.0%	63.0%		27
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6				37.0%	63.0%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6			3.7%	37.0%	59.3%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6			3.7%	37.0%	59.3%		27
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0		14.8%	14.8%	25.9%	44.4%		27
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0		14.8%	14.8%	25.9%	44.4%		27
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1	3.7%	3.7%	11.1%	37.0%	44.4%		27
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1	3.7%	3.7%	11.1%	37.0%	44.4%		27
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.6	11.1%	14.8%	14.8%	25.9%	33.3%		27
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.6	11.1%	14.8%	14.8%	25.9%	33.3%		27
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.1		11.1%	11.1%	29.6%	40.7%	7.4%	27
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.1		11.1%	11.1%	29.6%	40.7%	7.4%	27
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.3		3.7%	14.8%	22.2%	55.6%	3.7%	27
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.3		3.7%	14.8%	22.2%	55.6%	3.7%	27
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.9	3.7%	7.4%	22.2%	25.9%	37.0%	3.7%	27
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.9	3.7%	7.4%	22.2%	25.9%	37.0%	3.7%	27



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering
EE-UY 4823: Electric and Hybrid Vehicles

Class Number: 20756

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Czarkowski,Dariusz

Response Rate: 9/13

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			9
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		9
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3			22.2%	22.2%	55.6%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%		9
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		9
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%		9
H3. TA Evaluation		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	3.8	11.1%		22.2%	22.2%	33.3%	11.1%	9
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	3.9		11.1%	22.2%	22.2%	33.3%	11.1%	9
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	4.8			11.1%		77.8%	11.1%	9

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering
EL-GY 5673: Electronic Power Supplies

Class Number: 15499

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Czarkowski,Dariusz

Response Rate: 26/45

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					96.2%	3.8%		26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.8%				96.2%			26
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8			3.8%	15.4%	80.8%		26
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8				23.1%	76.9%		26
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4		7.7%	7.7%	23.1%	61.5%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6		3.8%	3.8%	19.2%	73.1%		26
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7			3.8%	19.2%	76.9%		26
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7			3.8%	23.1%	73.1%		26
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6			7.7%	26.9%	65.4%		26
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5			7.7%	34.6%	57.7%		26
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8			3.8%	15.4%	80.8%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5		3.8%	7.7%	23.1%	65.4%		26

Class Number: 21067

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering
EL-GY 5673: Electronic Power Supplies

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Czarkowski,Dariusz

Response Rate: 4/9

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	25.0%						75.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.							100.0%		4
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%			4
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%			4
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.5	25.0%			50.0%	25.0%			4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.0		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%			4
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%			4
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%			4
E1. Online Course Module		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.0		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%			4
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	3.3		25.0%	50.0%		25.0%			4
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	3.8			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%			4
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	4.0			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%			4
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	4.3				75.0%	25.0%			4
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.5			25.0%		75.0%			4
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.5			25.0%		75.0%			4
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	3.5			75.0%		25.0%			4
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.5			75.0%		25.0%			4
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.8			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%			4
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	4.3			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%			4
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	3.8			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%			4
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%			4
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3				75.0%	25.0%			4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.3			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%			4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%			4

Class Number: 20706

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-GY 8673: Excavation Support Systems

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Vivolo,John

Response Rate: 15/15

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		6.7%				93.3%		15
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8			6.7%	6.7%	86.7%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8				14.3%	78.6%	7.1%	14
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.1	13.3%			33.3%	46.7%	6.7%	15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5		6.7%		26.7%	60.0%	6.7%	15
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.4	13.3%	20.0%	6.7%	33.3%	26.7%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.3	20.0%	13.3%	13.3%	26.7%	26.7%		15
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.3		6.7%		53.3%	40.0%		15
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	4.5		6.7%	6.7%	20.0%	66.7%		15
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.7			6.7%	20.0%	73.3%		15
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	3.9		13.3%	20.0%	26.7%	40.0%		15
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	3.5	20.0%	13.3%		33.3%	33.3%		15
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	3.7	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	42.9%	28.6%		14
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	2.9	26.7%	20.0%	6.7%	33.3%	13.3%		15
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	3.1	6.7%		66.7%	20.0%		6.7%	15
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.1	6.7%		73.3%	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	15
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.1	6.7%		66.7%	20.0%		6.7%	15
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	2.9	26.7%	13.3%	20.0%	26.7%	13.3%		15
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	2.8	20.0%	13.3%	33.3%	20.0%	6.7%	6.7%	15
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.1	26.7%	6.7%	13.3%	40.0%	13.3%		15
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5			13.3%	20.0%	66.7%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.4	6.7%		6.7%	20.0%	66.7%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.9	6.7%	13.3%	13.3%	20.0%	46.7%		15

Class Number: 15409

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Di Crescenzo,Giovanni

Response Rate: 11/40

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		11	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		11	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		10	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		10	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5		9.1%	9.1%		72.7%	9.1%	11
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5		9.1%	9.1%		72.7%	9.1%	11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.2	9.1%		9.1%	18.2%	54.5%	9.1%	11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.2	9.1%		9.1%	18.2%	54.5%	9.1%	11
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.6		18.2%	18.2%	45.5%	18.2%		11
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.6		18.2%	18.2%	45.5%	18.2%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.2		9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	45.5%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.2		9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	45.5%		11
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0		10.0%	20.0%	30.0%	40.0%		10
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0		10.0%	20.0%	30.0%	40.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.8	10.0%	10.0%		50.0%	30.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.8	10.0%	10.0%		50.0%	30.0%		10
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.8		18.2%	27.3%	9.1%	45.5%		11
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.8		18.2%	27.3%	9.1%	45.5%		11
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0		18.2%		45.5%	36.4%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0		18.2%		45.5%	36.4%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.2	18.2%	18.2%	9.1%	18.2%	27.3%	9.1%	11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.2	18.2%	18.2%	9.1%	18.2%	27.3%	9.1%	11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.8	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	36.4%	9.1%	11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.8	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	36.4%	9.1%	11

Class Number: 16356

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Di Crescenzo,Giovanni

Response Rate: 11/40

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		11	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		11	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		10	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		10	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5		9.1%	9.1%		72.7%	9.1%	11
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5		9.1%	9.1%		72.7%	9.1%	11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.2	9.1%		9.1%	18.2%	54.5%	9.1%	11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.2	9.1%		9.1%	18.2%	54.5%	9.1%	11
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.6		18.2%	18.2%	45.5%	18.2%		11
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.6		18.2%	18.2%	45.5%	18.2%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.2		9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	45.5%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.2		9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	45.5%		11
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0		10.0%	20.0%	30.0%	40.0%		10
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0		10.0%	20.0%	30.0%	40.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.8	10.0%	10.0%		50.0%	30.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.8	10.0%	10.0%		50.0%	30.0%		10
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.8		18.2%	27.3%	9.1%	45.5%		11
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.8		18.2%	27.3%	9.1%	45.5%		11
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0		18.2%		45.5%	36.4%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0		18.2%		45.5%	36.4%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.2	18.2%	18.2%	9.1%	18.2%	27.3%	9.1%	11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.2	18.2%	18.2%	9.1%	18.2%	27.3%	9.1%	11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.8	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	36.4%	9.1%	11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.8	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	36.4%	9.1%	11

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS-GY 9053: SPECIAL TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

Class Number: 14845

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Langel, Brian

Response Rate: 36/37

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		36	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		36	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				2.8%	97.2%		36
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8		2.8%		8.3%	88.9%		36
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.9		2.8%		5.6%	91.7%		36
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3	2.8%	5.6%	2.8%	33.3%	55.6%		36
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8		2.8%		16.7%	80.6%		36
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5	2.8%	8.3%		11.1%	77.8%		36
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1	2.9%	11.4%	2.9%	37.1%	45.7%		35
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8		2.8%		8.3%	88.9%		36
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8		5.6%		8.3%	86.1%		36
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7	2.8%	2.8%	2.8%	5.6%	86.1%		36



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Class Number: 15391

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 6131: CLEARING AND SETTLEMENT AND OPERATIONAL RISK

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Malhotra,Naresh

Response Rate: 4/13

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	50.0%				50.0%			4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			4
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.8		25.0%	25.0%		50.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5			25.0%		75.0%		4
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3				75.0%	25.0%		4
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 7801: TOPICS IN FINANCE AND FINANCIAL MARKETS I

Class Number: 20676

Section: M1

Primary Instructor: Malhotra,Naresh

Response Rate: 4/19

		<i>No</i>					<i>Yes</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		4
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		4
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%		4
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

EN-UY 3104: Science Fiction Workshop

Class Number: 20785

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Schreiber, Samuel G

Response Rate: 24/30

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			24
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		24
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4		4.2%		45.8%	50.0%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6				37.5%	62.5%		24
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5			8.3%	33.3%	58.3%		24
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5			4.2%	45.8%	50.0%		24
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5			4.2%	37.5%	58.3%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7			4.2%	20.8%	75.0%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		24



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

AE-UY 4603: Compressible Flow

Class Number: 16005

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Sahin, Iskender

Response Rate: 22/30

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			21
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				4.5%	95.5%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6			13.6%	13.6%	72.7%		22
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4		9.1%	4.5%	27.3%	59.1%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4		9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	63.6%		22
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4		4.5%	4.5%	36.4%	54.5%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6		4.5%	9.1%	9.1%	77.3%		22
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Formulated and solved compressible flow problems.	4.9				9.1%	90.9%		22
Q28	Understood features of subsonic, transonic, supersonic and hypersonic flows.	4.8			4.5%	9.1%	86.4%		22
Q29	Was able to approximate flow through combustors, tail pipes, and fuel lines.	4.2	4.5%		18.2%	18.2%	54.5%	4.5%	22
Q30	Was able to calculate flow properties across normal shocks, oblique shocks and expansion fans.	4.8		4.5%		4.5%	90.9%		22
Q31	Was able to calculate lift and drag over wedge and cone surfaces and design and analyze flow over wedges, through nozzles and diffusers.	4.6		4.5%		22.7%	72.7%		22
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3		13.6%	4.5%	22.7%	59.1%		22
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6		9.1%		13.6%	77.3%		22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5		9.1%		22.7%	68.2%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5		4.5%	4.5%	27.3%	63.6%		22
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.8			4.5%	13.6%	81.8%		22
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.6		4.5%	4.5%	18.2%	72.7%		22
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	4.7		4.5%		13.6%	81.8%		22
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.6		4.5%		22.7%	72.7%		22



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME-GY 6043: Transport Phenomena

Class Number: 15207

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Sahin, Iskender

Response Rate: 40/47

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning							100.0%		40
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.9%						92.1%		38
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6	5.0%	2.5%		12.5%	80.0%			40
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.2	7.5%	5.0%	10.0%	17.5%	57.5%	2.5%		40
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3		12.5%	5.0%	17.5%	62.5%	2.5%		40
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.9	5.0%	15.0%	10.0%	22.5%	45.0%	2.5%		40
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.9	10.0%	10.0%	5.0%	32.5%	42.5%			40
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.6	10.3%	20.5%	5.1%	23.1%	41.0%			39
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.4	2.6%	35.9%	5.1%	28.2%	28.2%			39
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.9	7.5%	12.5%	12.5%	15.0%	52.5%			40
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.8	12.5%	12.5%	2.5%	30.0%	42.5%			40
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.5	12.5%	20.0%	10.0%	22.5%	35.0%			40

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering
EL-GY 6253: Linear Systems

Class Number: 14824

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Khorrami, Farshad

Response Rate: 39/55

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			39
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		10.5%			89.5%			38
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				12.8%	87.2%		39
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3		5.1%	20.5%	17.9%	56.4%		39
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.6	7.7%	23.1%	2.6%	35.9%	30.8%		39
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3		8.1%	8.1%	27.0%	56.8%		37
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5		5.1%	2.6%	25.6%	66.7%		39
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4		7.7%	5.1%	25.6%	61.5%		39
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.2	2.6%	7.7%	5.1%	38.5%	46.2%		39
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5		2.6%	5.1%	30.8%	61.5%		39
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.4		5.1%	2.6%	35.9%	56.4%		39
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3		7.7%	7.7%	28.2%	56.4%		39

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-GY 7423: WATER & WASTEWATER TREATMENT

Class Number: 20703

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Om,Joan

Response Rate: 24/28

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	17.4%						82.6%		23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	13.0%						87.0%		23
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3		4.3%	8.7%	34.8%	52.2%			23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.0	4.2%	12.5%	12.5%	20.8%	50.0%			24
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.1	4.3%	8.7%	4.3%	34.8%	47.8%			23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.2	4.2%	4.2%	16.7%	20.8%	54.2%			24
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.6	8.7%	21.7%	4.3%	30.4%	34.8%			23
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.0	20.8%	29.2%		33.3%	16.7%			24
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.5	8.7%	17.4%	4.3%	56.5%	13.0%			23
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0	4.2%	8.3%	4.2%	54.2%	29.2%			24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.6	12.5%	8.3%	16.7%	29.2%	33.3%			24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.8	8.3%	12.5%	8.3%	29.2%	41.7%			24

A. Procedures and Policies			No			Yes			Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		15.8%			78.9%			5.3%	38
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		21.6%			75.7%			2.7%	37
B. The Lecture Instructor			Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.		4.4		2.6%	13.2%	26.3%	55.3%	2.6%	38
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.		3.8	7.9%	2.6%	23.7%	26.3%	36.8%	2.6%	38
C. Graded Assignments and Exams			Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.		2.9	20.5%	2.6%	41.0%	17.9%	10.3%	7.7%	39
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.		2.9	5.1%	10.3%	64.1%	7.7%	2.6%	10.3%	39
D. Instruction			Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.		2.9	12.8%	33.3%	7.7%	38.5%	5.1%	2.6%	39
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.		3.0	15.4%	23.1%	15.4%	28.2%	12.8%	5.1%	39
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:			Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.		3.0	20.5%	15.4%	12.8%	38.5%	10.3%	2.6%	39
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.		3.1	17.9%	17.9%	10.3%	43.6%	7.7%	2.6%	39
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.		3.1	15.4%	23.1%	10.3%	38.5%	10.3%	2.6%	39
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.		3.2	15.4%	12.8%	25.6%	28.2%	15.4%	2.6%	39
G1. Course Difficulty			Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.		3.2	7.7%	17.9%	33.3%	28.2%	10.3%	2.6%	39
H. Overall Evaluations			Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.		3.0	20.5%	20.5%	7.7%	38.5%	10.3%	2.6%	39
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.		3.2	10.3%	20.5%	25.6%	25.6%	15.4%	2.6%	39
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.		2.5	28.2%	23.1%	10.3%	30.8%	2.6%	5.1%	39

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		12	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	36.4%				63.6%		11	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8			8.3%	8.3%	83.3%		12
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8			8.3%	8.3%	83.3%		12
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3	8.3%		8.3%	16.7%	66.7%		12
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.5		9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	72.7%		11
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.5		9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	72.7%		11
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.3		9.1%	18.2%	9.1%	63.6%		11
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.4		18.2%		9.1%	72.7%		11
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6		8.3%		16.7%	75.0%		12
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3		16.7%		25.0%	58.3%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6		8.3%		16.7%	75.0%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.4			25.0%	8.3%	66.7%		12

Class Number: 15357

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-GY 6823: Network Security

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: O'Brien,Keith

Response Rate: 18/23

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			18
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.9				5.6%	94.4%		18
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4	5.6%			38.9%	55.6%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5	5.6%	5.6%		11.1%	77.8%		18
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7				27.8%	72.2%		18
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	5.0					100.0%		17
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	4.6		5.9%		17.6%	76.5%		17
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	5.0					100.0%		17
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	4.6		5.9%	11.8%		82.4%		17
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	4.8			5.9%	11.8%	82.4%		17
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.9				11.8%	88.2%		17
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.6		5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	76.5%	5.9%	17
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	3.8		5.9%	41.2%	11.8%	35.3%	5.9%	17
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.9			47.1%	11.8%	35.3%	5.9%	17
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.8			47.1%	17.6%	29.4%	5.9%	17
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	4.4		5.9%	17.6%		70.6%	5.9%	17
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	4.1	5.9%		29.4%		58.8%	5.9%	17
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5			5.9%	35.3%	58.8%		17
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8			5.6%	11.1%	83.3%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8			5.6%	5.6%	88.9%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9				5.6%	94.4%		18

Class Number: 15618

Section: INT3

Primary Instructor: O'Brien,Keith

Response Rate: 23/25

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		23
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8			4.3%	8.7%	82.6%	4.3%	23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7			4.3%	21.7%	73.9%		23
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5	4.3%			30.4%	65.2%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3		13.0%	4.3%	21.7%	60.9%		23
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7			4.3%	21.7%	73.9%		23
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7			4.3%	26.1%	69.6%		23
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E1. Online Course Module									
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.9				13.0%	82.6%	4.3%	23
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	4.6			13.6%	13.6%	72.7%		22
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.9			4.3%	4.3%	91.3%		23
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	4.6		4.3%	4.3%	21.7%	69.6%		23
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	4.7			4.3%	26.1%	69.6%		23
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.6		4.3%	4.3%	21.7%	69.6%		23
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.5		4.3%	4.3%	26.1%	65.2%		23
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	4.0	4.5%	4.5%	27.3%	18.2%	45.5%		22
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.0	4.3%		26.1%	30.4%	39.1%		23
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.1			34.8%	17.4%	47.8%		23
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	4.1	4.3%	4.3%	21.7%	13.0%	56.5%		23
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	4.0	4.3%	4.3%	34.8%	4.3%	52.2%		23
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3		4.3%	17.4%	21.7%	56.5%		23
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6			8.7%	21.7%	69.6%		23
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7			4.3%	17.4%	73.9%	4.3%	23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7			8.7%	13.0%	73.9%	4.3%	23



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-UY 3024: Management of Data Communications and Networking

Class Number: 15832

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Ruggieri, Antonio

Response Rate: 26/35

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						92.3%	7.7%	26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						96.0%	4.0%	25
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.0	7.7%	11.5%	3.8%	26.9%	46.2%	3.8%	26
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.1	7.7%	7.7%	3.8%	26.9%	50.0%	3.8%	26
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3		3.8%	7.7%	38.5%	50.0%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4		3.8%	7.7%	34.6%	53.8%		26
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.7	3.8%	19.2%	11.5%	26.9%	34.6%	3.8%	26
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0	3.8%	11.5%	11.5%	19.2%	50.0%	3.8%	26
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	3.1	28.0%	4.0%	20.0%	16.0%	28.0%	4.0%	25
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	3.5	12.0%	12.0%	20.0%	24.0%	28.0%	4.0%	25
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	3.5	12.0%	16.0%	12.0%	28.0%	28.0%	4.0%	25
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	3.7	12.0%	4.0%	16.0%	32.0%	32.0%	4.0%	25
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0	7.7%	3.8%	7.7%	38.5%	38.5%	3.8%	26
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.8	7.7%	15.4%		38.5%	34.6%	3.8%	26
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.7	7.7%	19.2%	7.7%	23.1%	38.5%	3.8%	26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.7	15.4%	7.7%	11.5%	19.2%	42.3%	3.8%	26

Class Number: 14997

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-GY 6903: Applied Cryptography

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Di Crescenzo,Giovanni

Response Rate: 34/47

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.9%						94.1%		34
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	11.8%						88.2%		34
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.6	11.8%	11.8%	11.8%	32.4%	32.4%			34
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.5	11.8%	5.9%	26.5%	35.3%	20.6%			34
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.6	11.8%	14.7%	5.9%	41.2%	26.5%			34
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.5	14.7%	11.8%	8.8%	35.3%	29.4%			34
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.3	15.2%	24.2%	3.0%	27.3%	30.3%			33
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.3	17.6%	11.8%	23.5%	17.6%	29.4%			34
E1. Online Course Module		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	3.0	32.4%	8.8%	8.8%	29.4%	20.6%			34
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	3.3	17.6%	8.8%	20.6%	29.4%	23.5%			34
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	3.7	8.8%	8.8%	11.8%	47.1%	23.5%			34
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	3.2	20.6%	11.8%	11.8%	38.2%	17.6%			34
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	3.2	23.5%	11.8%	8.8%	32.4%	23.5%			34
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	3.4	18.2%	15.2%	6.1%	27.3%	33.3%			33
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	3.2	23.5%	14.7%	5.9%	26.5%	29.4%			34
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	3.6	2.9%	2.9%	47.1%	23.5%	23.5%			34
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.8	2.9%		41.2%	29.4%	26.5%			34
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.6	5.9%		41.2%	32.4%	20.6%			34
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	3.6	8.8%	8.8%	26.5%	29.4%	26.5%			34
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	3.4	11.8%	8.8%	35.3%	17.6%	26.5%			34
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.1	17.6%	20.6%	8.8%	41.2%	11.8%			34
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.0	23.5%	11.8%	20.6%	29.4%	14.7%			34
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	2.9	35.3%	8.8%	11.8%	20.6%	23.5%			34
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.1	20.6%	8.8%	29.4%	20.6%	20.6%			34

Class Number: 15409

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Di Crescenzo,Giovanni

Response Rate: 11/40

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		11	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		11	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		10	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		10	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5		9.1%	9.1%		72.7%	9.1%	11
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5		9.1%	9.1%		72.7%	9.1%	11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.2	9.1%		9.1%	18.2%	54.5%	9.1%	11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.2	9.1%		9.1%	18.2%	54.5%	9.1%	11
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.6		18.2%	18.2%	45.5%	18.2%		11
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.6		18.2%	18.2%	45.5%	18.2%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.2		9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	45.5%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.2		9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	45.5%		11
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0		10.0%	20.0%	30.0%	40.0%		10
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0		10.0%	20.0%	30.0%	40.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.8	10.0%	10.0%		50.0%	30.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.8	10.0%	10.0%		50.0%	30.0%		10
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.8		18.2%	27.3%	9.1%	45.5%		11
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.8		18.2%	27.3%	9.1%	45.5%		11
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0		18.2%		45.5%	36.4%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0		18.2%		45.5%	36.4%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.2	18.2%	18.2%	9.1%	18.2%	27.3%	9.1%	11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.2	18.2%	18.2%	9.1%	18.2%	27.3%	9.1%	11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.8	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	36.4%	9.1%	11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.8	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	36.4%	9.1%	11

Class Number: 23163

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-GY 6903: Applied Cryptography

Section: GRUM

Primary Instructor: Di Crescenzo,Giovanni

Response Rate: 4/11

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	25.0%						75.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%						75.0%		4
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%			4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.5		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%			4
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.5		25.0%		75.0%				4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.8			25.0%	75.0%				4
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.0		25.0%	50.0%	25.0%				4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%			4
E1. Online Course Module		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	3.5		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%			4
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	3.8		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%			4
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	3.0	25.0%		25.0%	50.0%				4
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	3.0		25.0%	50.0%	25.0%				4
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	3.3		25.0%	50.0%		25.0%			4
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	3.5		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%			4
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	3.5		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%			4
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	3.5			75.0%		25.0%			4
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.0			100.0%					4
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.0			100.0%					4
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	3.5			50.0%	50.0%				4
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	3.3			75.0%	25.0%				4
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.0		50.0%		50.0%				4
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%			4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.0		25.0%	50.0%	25.0%				4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%			4

Class Number: 16356

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Di Crescenzo,Giovanni

Response Rate: 11/40

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			11
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			10
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5		9.1%	9.1%		72.7%	9.1%	11
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5		9.1%	9.1%		72.7%	9.1%	11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.2	9.1%		9.1%	18.2%	54.5%	9.1%	11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.2	9.1%		9.1%	18.2%	54.5%	9.1%	11
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.6		18.2%	18.2%	45.5%	18.2%		11
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.6		18.2%	18.2%	45.5%	18.2%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.2		9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	45.5%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.2		9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	45.5%		11
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0		10.0%	20.0%	30.0%	40.0%		10
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0		10.0%	20.0%	30.0%	40.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.8	10.0%	10.0%		50.0%	30.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.8	10.0%	10.0%		50.0%	30.0%		10
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.8		18.2%	27.3%	9.1%	45.5%		11
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.8		18.2%	27.3%	9.1%	45.5%		11
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0		18.2%		45.5%	36.4%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0		18.2%		45.5%	36.4%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.2	18.2%	18.2%	9.1%	18.2%	27.3%	9.1%	11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.2	18.2%	18.2%	9.1%	18.2%	27.3%	9.1%	11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.8	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	36.4%	9.1%	11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.8	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	36.4%	9.1%	11

Class Number: 15467

Dept. of Technology Management

IE-GY 7993: SUPPLY CHAIN ENGINEERING

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Walker,William T

Response Rate: 28/30

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			28
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9			3.6%	7.1%	89.3%		28
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7			10.7%	7.1%	78.6%	3.6%	28
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.9			3.6%	7.1%	85.7%	3.6%	28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8				21.4%	78.6%		28
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0				3.6%	96.4%		28
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5		3.6%	7.1%	25.0%	64.3%		28
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.8				21.4%	78.6%		28
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.7			3.6%	21.4%	75.0%		28
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		28
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.6		3.6%	3.6%	21.4%	71.4%		28
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		28
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.9				7.1%	92.9%		28
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8		3.6%		10.7%	85.7%		28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0				3.6%	96.4%		28



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
BE-GY 6453: Probability and Stochastic Processes

Class Number: 14860

Section: A1

Primary Instructor: Chen,Xiao-Kang

Response Rate: 75/91

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.0%						92.0%	4.0%	75
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	1.4%						97.3%	1.4%	74
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.6	10.7%	13.3%	10.7%	32.0%	32.0%	1.3%	75	
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.1	2.7%	8.0%	12.0%	28.0%	49.3%		75	
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.2	4.0%	2.7%	10.7%	29.3%	52.0%	1.3%	75	
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.2	2.7%	4.0%	16.0%	29.3%	48.0%		75	
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.4	10.7%	16.0%	18.7%	30.7%	24.0%		75	
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.9	6.8%	9.5%	10.8%	28.4%	44.6%		74	
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.2	14.7%	18.7%	16.0%	34.7%	16.0%		75	
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.8	6.7%	10.7%	9.3%	36.0%	34.7%	2.7%	75	
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.4	16.0%	10.7%	18.7%	21.3%	28.0%	5.3%	75	
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.7	10.7%	8.0%	13.3%	32.0%	33.3%	2.7%	75	
H3. TA Evaluation		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	3.9	1.6%	1.6%	32.3%	27.4%	32.3%	4.8%	62	
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	3.9	1.6%	1.6%	33.9%	22.6%	35.5%	4.8%	62	
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	4.0	1.6%	1.6%	27.4%	33.9%	32.3%	3.2%	62	



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL-GY 6303: Probability and Stochastic Processes

Class Number: 14890

Section: A1

Primary Instructor: Chen,Xiao-Kang

Response Rate: 75/91

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.0%						92.0%	4.0%	75
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	1.4%						97.3%	1.4%	74
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.6	10.7%	13.3%	10.7%	32.0%	32.0%	1.3%	75	
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.1	2.7%	8.0%	12.0%	28.0%	49.3%		75	
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.2	4.0%	2.7%	10.7%	29.3%	52.0%	1.3%	75	
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.2	2.7%	4.0%	16.0%	29.3%	48.0%		75	
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.4	10.7%	16.0%	18.7%	30.7%	24.0%		75	
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.9	6.8%	9.5%	10.8%	28.4%	44.6%		74	
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.2	14.7%	18.7%	16.0%	34.7%	16.0%		75	
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.8	6.7%	10.7%	9.3%	36.0%	34.7%	2.7%	75	
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.4	16.0%	10.7%	18.7%	21.3%	28.0%	5.3%	75	
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.7	10.7%	8.0%	13.3%	32.0%	33.3%	2.7%	75	
H3. TA Evaluation		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	3.9	1.6%	1.6%	32.3%	27.4%	32.3%	4.8%	62	
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	3.9	1.6%	1.6%	33.9%	22.6%	35.5%	4.8%	62	
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	4.0	1.6%	1.6%	27.4%	33.9%	32.3%	3.2%	62	



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering
EL-GY 6303: Probability and Stochastic Processes

Class Number: 21061

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Chen,Xiao-Kang

Response Rate: 12/16

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	16.7%						83.3%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.							100.0%		12
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>	
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.1		8.3%		66.7%	25.0%			12
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.7	8.3%		33.3%	33.3%	25.0%			12
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>	
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.8		25.0%	8.3%	33.3%	33.3%			12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.0			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			12
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>	
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.4	16.7%	16.7%		41.7%	25.0%			12
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.8	9.1%	18.2%	9.1%	9.1%	54.5%			11
E1. Online Course Module		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>	
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	3.3	8.3%	25.0%	8.3%	41.7%	16.7%			12
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	3.1		41.7%	25.0%	16.7%	16.7%			12
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.2			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%			12
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	3.7	8.3%	8.3%	25.0%	25.0%	33.3%			12
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	3.6		25.0%	16.7%	33.3%	25.0%			12
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	3.6	16.7%	16.7%	8.3%	8.3%	50.0%			12
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	3.6		25.0%	8.3%	50.0%	16.7%			12
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	3.3		8.3%	66.7%	8.3%	16.7%			12
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.3		8.3%	66.7%	16.7%	8.3%			12
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.4			66.7%	25.0%	8.3%			12
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	3.9		16.7%	8.3%	41.7%	33.3%			12
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	3.7			58.3%	16.7%	25.0%			12
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>	
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	2.4	25.0%	50.0%		8.3%	16.7%			12
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>	
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.7	8.3%	16.7%	8.3%	33.3%	33.3%			12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.7		25.0%	16.7%	25.0%	33.3%			12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.6	16.7%	8.3%	8.3%	33.3%	33.3%			12
H3. TA Evaluation		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>	



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL-GY 6303: Probability and Stochastic Processes

Class Number: 21061

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Chen,Xiao-Kang

Response Rate: 12/16

H3. TA Evaluation		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	3.6	8.3%		33.3%	41.7%	16.7%		12
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	3.4	8.3%	8.3%	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%		12
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	3.6	8.3%		25.0%	58.3%	8.3%		12

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering
EL-GY 9043: SELECTED TPCS IN WIRELESS COMM

Class Number: 20654

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Fund,Fraida

Response Rate: 17/17

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.9%						88.2%	5.9%	17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.							100.0%		17
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5	6.3%			18.8%	68.8%		6.3%	16
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.2		11.8%	5.9%	29.4%	52.9%			17
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.2		5.9%	5.9%	47.1%	41.2%			17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4		6.3%	6.3%	31.3%	56.3%			16
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0	12.5%			50.0%	37.5%			16
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.6	12.5%	12.5%	6.3%	43.8%	25.0%			16
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1	6.3%	12.5%		25.0%	56.3%			16
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6		6.3%		25.0%	68.8%			16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.3	6.3%	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	62.5%			16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0	12.5%	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	56.3%			16



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 7953: Global Innovation

Class Number: 15212

Section: MOT2

Primary Instructor: Driscoll, Michael W

Response Rate: 33/35

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					97.0%	3.0%		33
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.2%				93.5%	3.2%		31
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				3.0%	97.0%		33
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.9			3.0%		97.0%		33
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7		3.0%	6.1%	12.1%	75.8%	3.0%	33
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6			12.1%	15.2%	63.6%	9.1%	33
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.9				9.1%	90.9%		33
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.9			3.0%	3.0%	93.9%		33
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.8				15.2%	84.8%		33
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.9				12.1%	87.9%		33
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.9			3.0%	6.1%	90.9%		33
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.9			3.0%	3.0%	93.9%		33
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.8				18.2%	81.8%		33
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%		33
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		33
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9				12.1%	87.9%		33

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	100.0%								1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.							100.0%		1
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>	
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.0				100.0%				1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%			1
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>	
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%			1
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>	
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	2.0		100.0%						1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	0.0						100.0%		1
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>	
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	0.0						100.0%		1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	3.0			100.0%					1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	0.0						100.0%		1
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>	
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	5.0					100.0%			1
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>	
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%			1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.0			100.0%					1



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Class Number: 20017

Dept. of Mathematics
MA-UY 3022: PROBABILITY II

Section: R

Primary Instructor: Lobenberg, Michel

Response Rate: 1/9

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		1
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		1
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%		1
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0					100.0%		1
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	5.0					100.0%		1
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	5.0					100.0%		1
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0					100.0%		1



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Class Number: 2023D-Ostrovsky,Vladimir

Section: Lecture

Primary Instructor: Ostrovsky,Vladimir

Response Rate: 59/73

A. Procedures and Policies			No			Yes			Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		3.4%			88.1%			8.5%	59
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		5.2%			91.4%			3.4%	58
B. The Lecture Instructor			Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.		4.3	1.7%	5.2%	5.2%	31.0%	51.7%	5.2%	58
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.		3.6	8.6%	5.2%	24.1%	25.9%	25.9%	10.3%	58
C. Graded Assignments and Exams			Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.		4.0	6.8%	1.7%	22.0%	18.6%	45.8%	5.1%	59
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.		3.8	6.8%	11.9%	15.3%	23.7%	39.0%	3.4%	59
D. Instruction			Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.		3.6	10.2%	8.5%	16.9%	33.9%	27.1%	3.4%	59
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.		3.9	5.2%	15.5%	10.3%	22.4%	43.1%	3.4%	58
G1. Course Difficulty			Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.		3.6		16.9%	20.3%	40.7%	16.9%	5.1%	59
H. Overall Evaluations			Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.		3.8	5.1%	11.9%	18.6%	25.4%	33.9%	5.1%	59
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.		3.3	5.1%	27.1%	18.6%	22.0%	23.7%	3.4%	59
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.		3.5	8.5%	10.2%	25.4%	33.9%	18.6%	3.4%	59



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMICS

Class Number: 2033A-Ostrovsky,Vladimir

Section: Lecture

Primary Instructor: Ostrovsky,Vladimir

Response Rate: 37/47

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	2.7%						97.3%		37
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.8%						97.2%		36
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.2	5.4%		16.2%	16.2%	54.1%		8.1%	37
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.8	5.4%	8.1%	24.3%	13.5%	40.5%		8.1%	37
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3		2.7%	18.9%	18.9%	56.8%		2.7%	37
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.2		8.1%	13.5%	24.3%	51.4%		2.7%	37
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0	5.4%	5.4%	13.5%	35.1%	37.8%		2.7%	37
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3		13.5%	8.1%	13.5%	62.2%		2.7%	37
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1	5.4%	2.7%	16.2%	27.0%	45.9%		2.7%	37
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0	5.4%	8.1%	13.5%	29.7%	43.2%			37
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.3	16.2%	13.5%	18.9%	18.9%	27.0%		5.4%	37
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.9	5.4%	8.1%	18.9%	21.6%	40.5%		5.4%	37

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 3603: MATHEMATICAL PHYSICS I

Class Number: 16184

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Ostrovsky,Vladimir

Response Rate: 7/8

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning							100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	28.6%						71.4%		7
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6		14.3%			85.7%			7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.0	14.3%		14.3%	14.3%	57.1%			7
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%			7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%			7
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%			7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.0	42.9%			28.6%	28.6%			7
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3		14.3%		28.6%	57.1%			7
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%			7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%			7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6				42.9%	57.1%			7

Class Number: 15641

Dept. of Technology Management
MG-GY 6073: MARKETING

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Rao,Bharadwaj

Response Rate: 3/6

		No			Yes			Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				100.0%				3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				100.0%				3
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		3
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.7		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	5.0					100.0%		3
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.0			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.3				66.7%	33.3%		3
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.0				100.0%			3
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	3.7			33.3%	66.7%			3
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	4.3			33.3%		66.7%		3
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	3.7			33.3%	66.7%			3
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.7			33.3%	66.7%			3
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.7			33.3%	66.7%			3
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	4.0			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	4.3			33.3%		66.7%		3
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3				66.7%	33.3%		3



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6073: MARKETING

Class Number: 15641

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Rao,Bharadwaj

Response Rate: 3/6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.3			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

Class Number: 25041

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6073: MARKETING

Section: MOT2

Primary Instructor: Rao,Bharadwaj

Response Rate: 20/21

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.0%						95.0%		20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.3%						94.7%		19
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.0	10.0%	10.0%	5.0%	20.0%	55.0%			20
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.9	10.5%	5.3%	15.8%	21.1%	47.4%			19
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.1	5.0%	5.0%	15.0%	25.0%	50.0%			20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.0	5.0%	5.0%	20.0%	25.0%	45.0%			20
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.9	5.0%	20.0%	5.0%	25.0%	45.0%			20
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.9	5.0%	15.0%	5.0%	35.0%	40.0%			20
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.0	5.0%	15.0%	5.0%	25.0%	50.0%			20
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	3.8	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	40.0%			20
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.0	5.0%	5.0%	10.0%	50.0%	30.0%			20
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	3.9	5.0%	10.0%	10.0%	40.0%	35.0%			20
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.9	10.0%	5.0%	10.0%	40.0%	35.0%			20
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.9	5.0%	15.0%		50.0%	30.0%			20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.6	10.0%	10.0%	15.0%	40.0%	25.0%			20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0	10.0%	10.0%		30.0%	50.0%			20



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 8653: MANAGING TECHNOLOGICAL CHANGE & INNOVATION

Class Number: 25133

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Rao,Bharadwaj

Response Rate: 6/9

		No			Yes			Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				100.0%				6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				100.0%				6
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		6
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.7			16.7%		83.3%		6
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.5			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	4.5			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.5			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.8				16.7%	66.7%	16.7%	6
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	4.2	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		6



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 8653: MANAGING TECHNOLOGICAL CHANGE & INNOVATION

Class Number: 25133

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Rao,Bharadwaj

Response Rate: 6/9

H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6

Class Number: 15724

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-UY 3503: Cost Estimating

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Chiarelli, Lawrence

Response Rate: 26/34

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		26	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.0%				96.0%		25	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6			3.8%	30.8%	65.4%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6				38.5%	61.5%		26
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.2		7.7%	11.5%	34.6%	46.2%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5		3.8%	3.8%	34.6%	57.7%		26
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4	3.8%		7.7%	30.8%	57.7%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.2		3.8%	15.4%	38.5%	42.3%		26
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I can describe the processes of preparing conceptual, preliminary and detailed estimates.	4.3		4.2%	4.2%	45.8%	41.7%	4.2%	24
Q28	I can interpret drawings and specifications in order to quantify material and labor requirements.	4.4			4.2%	50.0%	41.7%	4.2%	24
Q29	I can prepare a project estimate, including all direct and indirect costs, overhead and profit.	4.2			20.8%	33.3%	41.7%	4.2%	24
Q30	I am familiar with available computer-based estimating techniques and software.	4.0		8.3%	12.5%	45.8%	29.2%	4.2%	24
Q31	I can apply estimating skills to other areas of construction project management.	4.3			12.5%	41.7%	41.7%	4.2%	24
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0		11.5%	11.5%	38.5%	38.5%		26
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5		3.8%	11.5%	19.2%	65.4%		26
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5		3.8%	7.7%	19.2%	69.2%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3	3.8%	7.7%		26.9%	61.5%		26
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	4.1		8.3%	8.3%	45.8%	33.3%	4.2%	24
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	4.4			8.7%	43.5%	43.5%	4.3%	23
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	4.2		4.3%	8.7%	47.8%	39.1%		23

Class Number: 15814

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering
CE-UY 4523: Structural Building Systems

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Chiarelli, Lawrence

Response Rate: 10/12

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		10	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		10.0%			90.0%		10	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3			10.0%	50.0%	40.0%		10
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.2				80.0%	20.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3		10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	60.0%		10
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.7		10.0%	30.0%	40.0%	20.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	2.5	40.0%	20.0%	10.0%	10.0%	20.0%		10
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I understand how structural systems resist gravity and lateral loads.	4.4				60.0%	40.0%		10
Q28	I understand the relationship of building codes and standards.	4.4			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		10
Q29	I recognize the behavior of steel, concrete, masonry and wood structures.	4.3			10.0%	50.0%	40.0%		10
Q30	I can plan and execute the construction of steel, concrete, masonry and wood structures.	3.6		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		10
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.6		20.0%	20.0%	30.0%	20.0%	10.0%	10
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.2			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.4		10.0%		30.0%	60.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.7		20.0%	10.0%	50.0%	20.0%		10
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.2		10.0%	20.0%	10.0%	60.0%		10
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	4.2			10.0%	60.0%	30.0%		10
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	4.3			10.0%	50.0%	40.0%		10

Class Number: 14831

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS-GY 6133: Computer Architecture I

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Srinivasan,Viji

Response Rate: 44/57

A. Procedures and Policies		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						95.5%	4.5%	44
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		11.6%				88.4%		43
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6		4.5%	4.5%	18.2%	72.7%		44
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6	2.3%	2.3%	2.3%	20.9%	72.1%		43
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6			4.5%	34.1%	61.4%		44
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4			14.0%	32.6%	53.5%		43
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.2	2.3%	6.8%	6.8%	40.9%	43.2%		44
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.9	2.4%	9.5%	16.7%	38.1%	33.3%		42
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.8	2.4%	19.0%	7.1%	38.1%	33.3%		42
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.1	2.4%	2.4%	14.3%	40.5%	40.5%		42
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.1	2.4%	4.9%	14.6%	34.1%	43.9%		41
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0	4.9%	12.2%	7.3%	31.7%	43.9%		41



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-UY 2504: Construction Modeling and Data Structures I

Class Number: 15722

Section: LCLB

Primary Instructor: Rowshanrad,Ashkan

Response Rate: 14/28

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	15.4%				84.6%		13	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.7%				92.3%		13	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9			7.1%		92.9%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.4		7.1%		35.7%	50.0%	7.1%	14
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	2.6	28.6%	28.6%	7.1%	21.4%	14.3%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.3	7.1%	7.1%	42.9%	35.7%	7.1%		14
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.8		14.3%	21.4%	35.7%	28.6%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	2.8	14.3%	7.1%	57.1%	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	14
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.4	14.3%	7.1%	14.3%	50.0%	14.3%		14
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.1		7.1%	21.4%	28.6%	42.9%		14
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.5				53.8%	46.2%		13
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	4.0			23.1%	53.8%	23.1%		13
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.5				53.8%	46.2%		13

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 6123: Financial Risk Management and Asset Pricing

Class Number: 15620

Section: I3

Primary Instructor: Galy,Sebastien

Response Rate: 3/17

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	66.7%						33.3%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.							100.0%		3
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.0		33.3%			66.7%			3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.0	33.3%		33.3%		33.3%			3
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	2.5		33.3%	33.3%				33.3%	3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	2.5	33.3%			33.3%			33.3%	3
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	1.7	33.3%	66.7%						3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	1.7	33.3%	66.7%						3
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	2.0		100.0%						3
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.0	33.3%			66.7%				3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.3		33.3%		66.7%				3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.0	33.3%			66.7%				3

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 6921: Selected Topics in Financial Engineering

Class Number: 22900

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Hoff,Benjamin

Response Rate: 3/17

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		3
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 6111: Investment Banking and Brokerage

Class Number: 14972

Section: I1

Primary Instructor: Chappe,Raphaelae

Response Rate: 6/21

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		6	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		6	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.8	16.7%			50.0%	33.3%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.0	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.3	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.0	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3	16.7%				83.3%		6
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0	16.7%	16.7%		66.7%			6
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.5	16.7%	16.7%		50.0%	16.7%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.7	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%		50.0%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.2	33.3%	16.7%		16.7%	33.3%		6

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 6211: Financial Market Regulation

Class Number: 15393

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Chappe,Raphaelae

Response Rate: 2/10

		<i>No</i>					<i>Yes</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
A. Procedures and Policies								<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		2
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		2
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%		2
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0					100.0%		2
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	5.0					100.0%		2
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0					100.0%		2

Class Number: 19757

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Benezra,Amber

Response Rate: 18/19

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					94.4%	5.6%		18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.6%				88.9%	5.6%		18
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8			5.6%	11.1%	83.3%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5		11.1%		16.7%	72.2%		18
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.2	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%	27.8%	55.6%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3	5.6%	5.6%	11.1%	5.6%	72.2%		18
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6			16.7%	5.6%	77.8%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8			5.6%	11.1%	77.8%	5.6%	18
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to effectively communicate including being able to give oral presentations that increase knowledge, foster understanding, or encourage change.	4.3			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		18
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	4.3		5.6%		55.6%	38.9%		18
Q29	I developed perspectives of the humanities and social sciences to bear within technical discourse.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%		18
Q30	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate the relationship between content of scientific and technological knowledge, and the social and intellectual context in which it is created.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		18
Q31	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how social processes frame scientific and technological enterprises, including theory construction, invention, and innovation.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		18
Q32	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how science and technology shape society (in historical, philosophical, sociological, cultural and technical ways).	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		18
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6			11.1%	16.7%	72.2%		18
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7		5.6%		11.1%	83.3%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7	5.6%			5.6%	88.9%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7		5.6%		11.1%	83.3%		18

		No			Yes			Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				100.0%				28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				100.0%				27
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		27
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.9				7.4%	92.6%		27
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8		3.8%		11.5%	84.6%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6			7.7%	26.9%	65.4%		26
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4		11.1%		22.2%	66.7%		27
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8		3.7%		11.1%	85.2%		27
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.7			7.4%	18.5%	74.1%		27
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.6			3.7%	33.3%	63.0%		27
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.5		3.7%	7.4%	22.2%	66.7%		27
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.7			3.7%	18.5%	77.8%		27
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3		7.4%	7.4%	37.0%	48.1%		27
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6			3.7%	29.6%	66.7%		27
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				7.4%	92.6%		27
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5	3.7%	3.7%		25.9%	66.7%		27



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 9013: Design Thinking for Creative Problem Solving

Class Number: 20962

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Fayard, Anne-Laure

Response Rate: 21/25

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		20
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				4.8%	95.2%		21
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		21
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0				4.8%	95.2%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7			4.8%	23.8%	71.4%		21
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7			9.5%	14.3%	76.2%		21
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4		4.8%	4.8%	33.3%	57.1%		21
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.3		4.8%	9.5%	38.1%	47.6%		21
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.8			4.8%	14.3%	81.0%		21
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.6		4.8%	9.5%	9.5%	76.2%		21
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.7			4.8%	19.0%	71.4%	4.8%	21
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0	4.8%	4.8%	19.0%	33.3%	38.1%		21
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%	9.5%	76.2%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9			4.8%	4.8%	90.5%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.4	9.5%		4.8%	9.5%	76.2%		21

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		20
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				4.8%	95.2%		21
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		21
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0				4.8%	95.2%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7			4.8%	23.8%	71.4%		21
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7			9.5%	14.3%	76.2%		21
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4		4.8%	4.8%	33.3%	57.1%		21
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.3		4.8%	9.5%	38.1%	47.6%		21
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.8			4.8%	14.3%	81.0%		21
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.6		4.8%	9.5%	9.5%	76.2%		21
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.7			4.8%	19.0%	71.4%	4.8%	21
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0	4.8%	4.8%	19.0%	33.3%	38.1%		21
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%	9.5%	76.2%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9			4.8%	4.8%	90.5%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.4	9.5%		4.8%	9.5%	76.2%		21

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

EN-UY 3434W: MACHINES MADE OF WORDS II: DESIGNING POETRY

Class Number: 19726

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Gallagher, Jean

Response Rate: 18/21

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		18	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.6%				72.2%	22.2%	18	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				94.4%	5.6%	18	
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0				88.9%	11.1%	18	
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0				94.4%	5.6%	18	
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0				94.4%	5.6%	18	
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0				94.4%	5.6%	18	
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4			22.2%	50.0%	27.8%	18	
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.8			5.6%	5.6%	83.3%	5.6%	18
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0				94.4%	5.6%	18	
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0				94.4%	5.6%	18	
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0				94.4%	5.6%	18	

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					91.7%	8.3%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.1%				81.8%	9.1%		11
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7				25.0%	66.7%	8.3%	12
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.6	8.3%	8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	25.0%	8.3%	12
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3		8.3%		41.7%	41.7%	8.3%	12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.1			16.7%	50.0%	25.0%	8.3%	12
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5			8.3%	25.0%	58.3%	8.3%	12
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.2		16.7%		25.0%	50.0%	8.3%	12
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.1				83.3%	8.3%	8.3%	12
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	3.6	8.3%		16.7%	58.3%	8.3%	8.3%	12
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	3.9			25.0%	50.0%	16.7%	8.3%	12
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.2				75.0%	16.7%	8.3%	12
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.8		16.7%	8.3%	41.7%	25.0%	8.3%	12
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.2		8.3%	8.3%	33.3%	41.7%	8.3%	12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.1		16.7%		33.3%	41.7%	8.3%	12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0		8.3%	8.3%	50.0%	25.0%	8.3%	12

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL-GY 6513: Fundamentals of Solid-State Electronic Devices

Class Number: 15617

Section: I

Primary Instructor: SHAHRJERDI, DAVOOD

Response Rate: 14/15

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					92.9%	7.1%	14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					92.3%	7.7%	13
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				7.1%	92.9%	14
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.4		7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	71.4%	14
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.9	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	21.4%	50.0%	14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5	7.7%			23.1%	69.2%	13
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6		7.1%		21.4%	71.4%	14
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7		7.1%		7.1%	85.7%	14
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5	7.1%			21.4%	71.4%	14
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8				21.4%	78.6%	14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5		14.3%		7.1%	78.6%	14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8				21.4%	78.6%	14

Class Number: 21325

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6131: Labor Relations

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: DeLuca,Matthew

Response Rate: 4/6

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		4	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		4	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.0			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.5		50.0%			50.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.8		25.0%	25.0%		50.0%		4
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.3	25.0%			75.0%			4
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	2.5	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%			4
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	3.0		25.0%	50.0%	25.0%			4
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	3.8			25.0%	75.0%			4
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.0			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	3.8		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	3.5		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	3.8		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.0		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	3.3		25.0%	25.0%	50.0%			4
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	3.3	25.0%			75.0%			4
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	3.8		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.3				75.0%	25.0%		4
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	4.0			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.0			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.0			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	2.5	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%			4
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	2.5	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%			4
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.5	25.0%			50.0%	25.0%		4



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6131: Labor Relations

Class Number: 21325

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: DeLuca,Matthew

Response Rate: 4/6

H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.8			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.5		25.0%		75.0%			4

Class Number: 21326

Dept. of Technology Management
MG-GY 6141: Conflict Management

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: DeLuca,Matthew

Response Rate: 4/10

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		4	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		4	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.0		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	3.8		25.0%	25.0%		50.0%		4
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.0		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%		4
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	3.3	25.0%	25.0%			50.0%		4
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.3	25.0%	25.0%			50.0%		4
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.3	25.0%	25.0%			50.0%		4
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	3.8	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	4.0		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6141: Conflict Management

Class Number: 21326

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: DeLuca,Matthew

Response Rate: 4/10

H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5			25.0%		75.0%		4



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 2034: Linear Algebra and Differential Equations

Class Number: 20011

Section: D3

Primary Instructor: Prabhu,Vaishali T

Response Rate: 4/34

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		4	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		4	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				100.0%			4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0				100.0%			4
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5			25.0%		75.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%		4
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.8		25.0%	25.0%		50.0%		4
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	4.3			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	3.7			50.0%		25.0%	25.0%	4
Q29	I need more HW problems.	2.5	25.0%	50.0%			25.0%		4
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	5.0					100.0%		4
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5			25.0%		75.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0					100.0%		4

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		7	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		7	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5				42.9%	42.9%	14.3%	7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.2			28.6%	14.3%	42.9%	14.3%	7
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0			42.9%	14.3%	42.9%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3		14.3%		28.6%	57.1%		7
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.6		14.3%	42.9%	14.3%	28.6%		7
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	4.0			14.3%	57.1%	14.3%	14.3%	7
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	2.7	14.3%	14.3%	57.1%	14.3%			7
Q29	I need more HW problems.	2.6		57.1%	28.6%	14.3%			7
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3				71.4%	28.6%		7
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.0		14.3%		42.9%	28.6%	14.3%	7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.1			14.3%	57.1%	28.6%		7



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-UY 2213: FLUID MECHANICS AND HYDRAULICS

Class Number: 15956

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Kutt,Lembit M

Response Rate: 13/17

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	15.4%				76.9%	7.7%	13	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		13	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.4			8.3%	41.7%	50.0%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.2		7.7%	7.7%	46.2%	38.5%		13
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.5	7.7%	7.7%	30.8%	38.5%	15.4%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.8	7.7%		15.4%	61.5%	15.4%		13
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.7	7.7%	7.7%	15.4%	46.2%	23.1%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.7	7.7%	7.7%	23.1%	30.8%	30.8%		13
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an understanding of the nomenclature and standard units associated with fluid mechanics as it relates to civil engineering and become adept in their use in problem solving.	4.1		8.3%	8.3%	50.0%	33.3%		12
Q28	I learned how to quantify fluid pressure and forces on submerged surfaces under static conditions.	4.2		8.3%	8.3%	41.7%	41.7%		12
Q29	I learned how to quantify flow rate, pressure and forces in flowing fluids by using conservation of mass, energy and momentum.	4.2		8.3%	8.3%	41.7%	41.7%		12
Q30	I Use laboratory exercises to develop better understanding of fluid properties, and static and dynamic behavior of real fluids.	3.8	8.3%		25.0%	33.3%	33.3%		12
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.9	7.7%		7.7%	61.5%	23.1%		13
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0	7.7%		15.4%	38.5%	38.5%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.6	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	69.2%	7.7%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0		7.7%	7.7%	61.5%	23.1%		13
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	3.5	12.5%		37.5%	25.0%	25.0%		8
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	3.7		14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%		7
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	3.7	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	33.3%		9



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS-GY 6033: Design and Analysis of Algorithms I

Class Number: 15664

Section: I3

Primary Instructor: Cao,Houwei

Response Rate: 39/51

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	2.6%						97.4%		39
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.6%						97.4%		38
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.7	12.8%	7.7%	5.1%	43.6%	30.8%			39
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.8	7.7%	10.3%	20.5%	20.5%	41.0%			39
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.2	5.1%	5.1%	12.8%	23.1%	53.8%			39
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4		2.6%	10.3%	28.2%	59.0%			39
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.6	5.1%	20.5%	10.3%	33.3%	28.2%	2.6%		39
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0	5.1%	7.7%	10.3%	35.9%	41.0%			39
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.2		7.7%	12.8%	33.3%	46.2%			39
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.9	2.6%	7.7%	17.9%	38.5%	33.3%			39
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.4	10.3%	20.5%	15.4%	25.6%	25.6%	2.6%		39
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.1	2.6%	5.1%	20.5%	25.6%	43.6%	2.6%		39

X. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				5.9%	94.1%		17
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	4.9				11.8%	88.2%		17
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.8				17.6%	82.4%		17
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	4.9				5.9%	94.1%		17



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Class Number: 15928

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 1013: MECHANICS

Section: B2RC

Primary Instructor: Debroy, Partha

Response Rate: 22/28

X. The Recitation Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7			11.1%	5.6%	83.3%		18
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	4.8			5.6%	11.1%	77.8%	5.6%	18
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.6			11.1%	16.7%	72.2%		18
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	4.7			5.6%	16.7%	77.8%		18

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Class Number: 15929

Dept. of Applied Physics
PH-UY 1223: ELECTRICITY AND LIGHT

Section: AREC

Primary Instructor: Debroy, Partha

Response Rate: 25/30

X. The Recitation Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		25
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	5.0				4.0%	96.0%		25
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.9				12.0%	88.0%		25
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	5.0				4.0%	96.0%		25

X. The Recitation Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9			4.8%	4.8%	90.5%		21
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	4.9			4.8%	4.8%	90.5%		21
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.9			4.8%	4.8%	90.5%		21
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	4.9			4.8%		95.2%		21



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CM-UY 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Class Number: 15746

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Mitra,Abhijit

Response Rate: 47/79

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		17.0%				78.7%	4.3%	47
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		6.4%				89.4%	4.3%	47
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.1	2.1%	12.8%	8.5%	25.5%	46.8%	4.3%	47
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	2.4	21.3%	27.7%	38.3%	6.4%	4.3%	2.1%	47
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	2.9	17.0%	21.3%	23.4%	27.7%	8.5%	2.1%	47
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.1	6.4%	23.4%	34.0%	25.5%	8.5%	2.1%	47
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	2.6	17.0%	34.0%	23.4%	14.9%	6.4%	4.3%	47
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.3	10.6%	12.8%	21.3%	38.3%	14.9%	2.1%	47
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	3.6	4.5%	18.2%	15.9%	31.8%	27.3%	2.3%	44
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	3.6	2.3%	22.7%	15.9%	31.8%	25.0%	2.3%	44
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	4.2	2.3%	2.3%	4.5%	47.7%	38.6%	4.5%	44
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	3.4	9.1%	15.9%	15.9%	43.2%	13.6%	2.3%	44
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	2.9	4.3%	41.3%	19.6%	17.4%	10.9%	6.5%	46
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	2.9	17.0%	29.8%	10.6%	25.5%	14.9%	2.1%	47
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	1.8	58.7%	13.0%	15.2%	8.7%	2.2%	2.2%	46
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	2.6	26.1%	26.1%	15.2%	17.4%	13.0%	2.2%	46
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	3.3	2.3%	23.3%	25.6%	34.9%	11.6%	2.3%	43
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	3.5	2.3%	20.5%	20.5%	36.4%	18.2%	2.3%	44
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	2.7	15.9%	25.0%	34.1%	18.2%	4.5%	2.3%	44
X. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3	2.3%		20.5%	15.9%	59.1%	2.3%	44
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	4.1		6.8%	25.0%	18.2%	47.7%	2.3%	44
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	3.8	2.3%	9.3%	25.6%	25.6%	34.9%	2.3%	43
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	4.2	4.5%	4.5%	9.1%	25.0%	54.5%	2.3%	44



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CM-UY 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Class Number: 15746

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Mitra,Abhijit

Response Rate: 47/79



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CM-UY 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Class Number: 15762

Section: CLEC

Primary Instructor: Mitra,Abhijit

Response Rate: 35/44

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	11.4%						88.6%		35
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.9%						94.1%	2.9%	34
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3		2.9%	11.4%	42.9%	42.9%			35
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	2.4	28.6%	28.6%	20.0%	11.4%	8.6%		2.9%	35
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	2.9	22.9%	20.0%	8.6%	34.3%	11.4%		2.9%	35
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.1	14.3%	22.9%	20.0%	25.7%	17.1%			35
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	2.6	25.7%	31.4%	11.4%	22.9%	8.6%			35
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.3	11.4%	22.9%	11.4%	28.6%	25.7%			35
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	3.4	14.7%	17.6%	2.9%	47.1%	17.6%			34
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	3.7	8.6%	8.6%	11.4%	45.7%	25.7%			35
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	4.3	5.7%		2.9%	45.7%	45.7%			35
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	3.3	17.1%	20.0%	5.7%	31.4%	25.7%			35
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.2		32.4%	23.5%	29.4%	11.8%		2.9%	34
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	2.9	27.3%	15.2%	9.1%	36.4%	12.1%			33
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	2.0	54.3%	14.3%	17.1%	8.6%	5.7%			35
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	2.6	31.4%	20.0%	20.0%	17.1%	11.4%			35
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	3.3	17.1%	17.1%	5.7%	34.3%	22.9%		2.9%	35
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	3.3	20.0%	8.6%	11.4%	37.1%	20.0%		2.9%	35
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	2.7	22.9%	20.0%	28.6%	20.0%	8.6%			35
X. The Recitation Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3		8.6%	2.9%	34.3%	54.3%			35
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	4.1	5.7%		14.3%	34.3%	42.9%		2.9%	35
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.0	5.7%	5.7%	11.4%	34.3%	42.9%			35
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	4.3	2.9%	2.9%	5.7%	34.3%	54.3%			35



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CM-UY 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Class Number: 15762

Section: CLEC

Primary Instructor: Mitra,Abhijit

Response Rate: 35/44

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering
ME-GY 6173: HEAT TRANSFER FOR HVAC SYSTEMS

Class Number: 15157

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Vedavarz, Ali

Response Rate: 9/9

		<i>No</i>				<i>Yes</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		8
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%	9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%	9
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%	9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%	9
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%	9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%	9
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%	9
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%	9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%	9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0					100.0%	9

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering
ME-GY 6183: DESIGN OF HVAC SYSTEMS

Class Number: 15158

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Vedavarz, Ali

Response Rate: 18/18

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.6%				94.4%			18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.6%				94.4%			18
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7		5.6%		16.7%	77.8%		18
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6			5.6%	27.8%	66.7%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6			5.6%	27.8%	66.7%		18
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7			5.6%	22.2%	72.2%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7				27.8%	72.2%		18
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5		5.6%	5.6%	22.2%	66.7%		18
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7			11.1%	5.6%	83.3%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		18

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

MD-UY 2314: Interactive Narrative

Class Number: 16206

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Howard,Christian

Response Rate: 12/15

		<i>No</i>			<i>Yes</i>			<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				100.0%				12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				100.0%				12
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		12
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5			8.3%	33.3%	58.3%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5			8.3%	25.0%	58.3%	8.3%	12
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5				41.7%	50.0%	8.3%	12
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3			8.3%	50.0%	41.7%		12
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7			8.3%	16.7%	75.0%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8			8.3%	8.3%	83.3%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		12

Class Number: 16007

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 1124: Calculus II for Engineers

Section: C

Primary Instructor: Esposito, Joseph F

Response Rate: 7/25

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	14.3%					85.7%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	14.3%					85.7%		7
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.1	14.3%			28.6%	57.1%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.0	14.3%		14.3%	14.3%	57.1%		7
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.2				71.4%	14.3%	14.3%	7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4				57.1%	42.9%		7
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.5	14.3%		14.3%	42.9%	14.3%	14.3%	7
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	2.7	14.3%		71.4%			14.3%	7
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	2.6	28.6%		57.1%	14.3%			7
Q29	I need more HW problems.	2.6	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%	14.3%			7
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3				57.1%	28.6%	14.3%	7
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0			14.3%	71.4%	14.3%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6		14.3%			85.7%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.1			28.6%	28.6%	42.9%		7

		<i>No</i>				<i>Yes</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		2
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0				100.0%		2
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%	2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%	2
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0				100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.5			50.0%	50.0%		2
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	3.0		50.0%		50.0%		2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	3.0			100.0%			2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	2.0		100.0%				2
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0				100.0%		2
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%	2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%	2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%	2

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-GY 6753: Theory of Computation

Class Number: 14840

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Hellerstein, Lisa

Response Rate: 17/22

		<i>No</i>			<i>Yes</i>			<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				100.0%				17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				100.0%				17
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		17
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0		5.9%	23.5%	35.3%	35.3%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7				29.4%	70.6%		17
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0					100.0%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.9				5.9%	94.1%		17
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.7			5.9%	17.6%	76.5%		17
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.9				11.8%	88.2%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6			11.8%	17.6%	70.6%		17

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 6883: Financial Computing

Class Number: 15490

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Tang,Song

Response Rate: 3/20

		No				Yes		Decline To	#
A. Procedures and Policies								Answer	Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			3
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of	Strongly	Moderately	No	Moderately	Strongly	Decline To	#
		Results	Disagree	Disagree	Opinion	Agree	Agree	Answer	Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		3
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of	Strongly	Moderately	No	Moderately	Strongly	Decline To	#
		Results	Disagree	Disagree	Opinion	Agree	Agree	Answer	Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%		3
D. Instruction		Avg Of	Strongly	Moderately	No	Moderately	Strongly	Decline To	#
		Results	Disagree	Disagree	Opinion	Agree	Agree	Answer	Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0					100.0%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0					100.0%		3
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of	Strongly	Moderately	No	Moderately	Strongly	Decline To	#
		Results	Disagree	Disagree	Opinion	Agree	Agree	Answer	Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	5.0					100.0%		3
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of	Strongly	Moderately	No	Moderately	Strongly	Decline To	#
		Results	Disagree	Disagree	Opinion	Agree	Agree	Answer	Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0					100.0%		3

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Class Number: 15624

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering
FRE-GY 6883: Financial Computing

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Tang,Song

Response Rate: 5/28

		<i>No</i>					<i>Yes</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		5
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		5
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%		5
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0					100.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0					100.0%		5
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		5
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		5

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 7831: TOPICS IN FINANCIAL AND RISK ENGINEERING I

Class Number: 23888

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Tang,Song

Response Rate: 11/25

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			11
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.4	9.1%			27.3%	63.6%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3	9.1%		9.1%	18.2%	63.6%		11
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3	9.1%		9.1%	18.2%	63.6%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.2	9.1%		18.2%	9.1%	63.6%		11
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3	9.1%		9.1%	18.2%	63.6%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4	9.1%			27.3%	63.6%		11
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	54.5%		11
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3	9.1%			36.4%	54.5%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.4	9.1%			27.3%	63.6%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3	9.1%		9.1%	18.2%	63.6%		11

Class Number: 16121

General Studies

GS-UY 104: STUDY SKILLS

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Jemison,Nora Keita

Response Rate: 1/15

		No			Yes			Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				100.0%				1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				100.0%				1
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.0			100.0%				1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		1
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.0			100.0%				1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%		1
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.0			100.0%				1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.0			100.0%				1
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	5.0					100.0%		1
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	1.0	100.0%						1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	1.0	100.0%						1

		<i>No</i>					<i>Yes</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		1
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		1
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%		1
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0					100.0%		1
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	5.0					100.0%		1
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0					100.0%		1

		<i>No</i>					<i>Yes</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
A. Procedures and Policies								<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		2
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		2
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%		2
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0					100.0%		2
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	5.0					100.0%		2
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Class Number: 16124

General Studies
GS-UY 105: MATH SEMINAR

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Jemison,Nora Keita

Response Rate: 5/49

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	60.0%				20.0%	20.0%	5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	40.0%				40.0%	20.0%	5
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.5	20.0%		20.0%		40.0%	20.0%
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.5	20.0%		20.0%		40.0%	20.0%
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.5	20.0%		20.0%		40.0%	20.0%
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.5	20.0%		20.0%		40.0%	20.0%
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.5	20.0%		20.0%		40.0%	20.0%
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.3	20.0%		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.3	20.0%		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.5	20.0%		20.0%		40.0%	20.0%
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.5	20.0%		20.0%		40.0%	20.0%
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.5	20.0%		20.0%		40.0%	20.0%

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-GY 6013: Theory of Structural Analysis and Design

Class Number: 14989

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Jin, Weihua

Response Rate: 14/15

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	7.1%				92.9%			14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.1%				92.9%			14
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5			14.3%	21.4%	64.3%		14
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4			7.1%	42.9%	50.0%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6		7.1%		14.3%	78.6%		14
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5	7.1%			21.4%	71.4%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4	7.1%		7.1%	14.3%	71.4%		14
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1		14.3%	14.3%	21.4%	50.0%		14
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6				35.7%	64.3%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6	7.1%			14.3%	78.6%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5	7.1%			21.4%	71.4%		14

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-UY 3161: Materials Engineering Laboratory

Class Number: 16229

Section: BLAB

Primary Instructor: Jin, Weihua

Response Rate: 11/11

		No			Yes			Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	27.3%			72.7%				11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	27.3%			72.7%				11
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4	9.1%			27.3%	63.6%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5			18.2%	18.2%	63.6%		11
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.1	9.1%		9.1%	36.4%	45.5%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.5		36.4%	9.1%	18.2%	36.4%		11
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1			27.3%	36.4%	36.4%		11
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.5	9.1%			18.2%	72.7%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6				36.4%	63.6%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.4			18.2%	27.3%	54.5%		11

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering
CE-UY 3162: Materials Engineering

Class Number: 16231

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Jin, Weihua

Response Rate: 11/14

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.1%				90.9%			11
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5			18.2%	9.1%	72.7%		11
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4		9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	63.6%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5		10.0%		20.0%	70.0%		10
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0		18.2%	9.1%	27.3%	45.5%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.6		27.3%	18.2%	18.2%	36.4%		11
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0		9.1%	18.2%	36.4%	36.4%		11
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4			18.2%	27.3%	54.5%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.2		9.1%	18.2%	18.2%	54.5%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3		9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	54.5%		11

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Class Number: 15943

Section: C1RC

Primary Instructor: Nachumi, Benjamin E

Response Rate: 22/24

X. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.4	5.3%	5.3%	5.3%	15.8%	68.4%		19
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	3.9	5.3%	5.3%	31.6%	5.3%	52.6%		19
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.5		5.3%	5.3%	21.1%	68.4%		19
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	4.3	10.5%		10.5%	10.5%	68.4%		19



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Class Number: 19589

Section: D1RC

Primary Instructor: Nachumi, Benjamin E

Response Rate: 17/25

X. The Recitation Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5				46.7%	53.3%		15
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	3.6	6.7%		46.7%	20.0%	26.7%		15
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	3.7		20.0%	13.3%	40.0%	26.7%		15
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	3.8	6.7%		26.7%	40.0%	26.7%		15



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMICS

Class Number: 15987

Section: B1RC

Primary Instructor: Nachumi, Benjamin E

Response Rate: 19/25

X. The Recitation Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7			5.9%	17.6%	76.5%		17
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	4.4			23.5%	17.6%	58.8%		17
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.6		5.9%		23.5%	70.6%		17
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	4.7				29.4%	70.6%		17

Class Number: 16247

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Section: G

Primary Instructor: Nachumi,Benjamin E

Response Rate: 21/25

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.1		4.8%	9.5%	57.1%	28.6%		21
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.4		4.8%	4.8%	38.1%	52.4%		21
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.9		19.0%	4.8%	42.9%	33.3%		21
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	3.3	19.0%	9.5%	14.3%	33.3%	23.8%		21
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	3.2	4.8%	28.6%	19.0%	28.6%	14.3%	4.8%	21
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.5	4.8%	23.8%	14.3%	33.3%	23.8%		21
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.8	4.8%	4.8%	19.0%	52.4%	19.0%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0		4.8%	33.3%	23.8%	38.1%		21
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.4		28.6%	14.3%	42.9%	9.5%	4.8%	21
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	3.1	23.8%	9.5%	23.8%	14.3%	23.8%	4.8%	21
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.5	4.8%	4.8%	33.3%	52.4%	4.8%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.2	9.5%	9.5%	42.9%	19.0%	14.3%	4.8%	21
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	4.1			18.8%	50.0%	31.3%		16
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	3.9		6.3%	18.8%	50.0%	25.0%		16

Class Number: 16249

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Section: H

Primary Instructor: Nachumi,Benjamin E

Response Rate: 28/32

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.3	3.6%	7.1%	3.6%	28.6%	57.1%		28
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.3		14.3%		32.1%	53.6%		28
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3	3.6%		7.1%	39.3%	50.0%		28
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	3.8	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	35.7%	35.7%		28
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	3.4	7.1%	25.0%	14.3%	32.1%	21.4%		28
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0	3.6%	7.1%	7.1%	50.0%	32.1%		28
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.5	3.6%	17.9%	21.4%	39.3%	17.9%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.8	3.7%	11.1%	14.8%	33.3%	33.3%	3.7%	27
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.1		39.3%	17.9%	32.1%	10.7%		28
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	3.4	14.3%	17.9%	7.1%	35.7%	25.0%		28
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.4	7.1%	10.7%	35.7%	25.0%	21.4%		28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.0	14.3%	25.0%	17.9%	28.6%	10.7%	3.6%	28
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	3.9	7.4%	3.7%	11.1%	44.4%	33.3%		27
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	3.9	3.7%	3.7%	14.8%	51.9%	25.9%		27

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society
DM-UY 2153: Intro to Game Development

Class Number: 21059

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Garcia, Diego

Response Rate: 11/11

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		9.1%			90.9%			11
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		11
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.7		18.2%	9.1%	54.5%	18.2%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4		9.1%		36.4%	54.5%		11
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.6	9.1%		36.4%	27.3%	27.3%		11
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.2		9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	45.5%		11
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9				9.1%	90.9%		11

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FIN-UY 3233: DERIVATIVES AND THE OPTIONS MARKET

Class Number: 20674

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Larrymore,Norris L.

Response Rate: 5/12

		No			Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		5	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		5	
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	2.8		60.0%		40.0%			5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.4		40.0%		40.0%	20.0%		5
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	2.2	20.0%	60.0%		20.0%			5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.0	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.0		40.0%	40.0%		20.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	2.8	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		20.0%		5
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.0		40.0%	20.0%	40.0%			5
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.4		20.0%	20.0%	60.0%			5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	1.4	60.0%	40.0%					5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	2.2	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%			5

Class Number: 24829

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6083: ECONOMICS

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Mourdoukoutas,Pavlos

Response Rate: 7/8

		No				Yes		Decline To	#
A. Procedures and Policies								Answer	Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		7
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		7
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		7
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.3		14.3%		28.6%	57.1%		7
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.4			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.4			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.4		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		7
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	4.3	14.3%			14.3%	71.4%		7
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	4.3			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.7			14.3%		85.7%		7
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	4.3			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.1			42.9%		57.1%		7
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.1			42.9%		57.1%		7
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	4.6			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	4.3			28.6%	14.3%	57.1%		7
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.7		28.6%	14.3%	14.3%	42.9%		7



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6083: ECONOMICS

Class Number: 24829

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Mourdoukoutas,Pavlos

Response Rate: 7/8

H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3				71.4%	28.6%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.1	14.3%			28.6%	57.1%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0		14.3%		57.1%	28.6%		7

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

URB-UY 3834: SPECIAL TOPICS IN SUSTAINABLE URBAN ENVIRONMENTS

Class Number: 16218

Section: C

Primary Instructor: Bloom,Nicholas D.

Response Rate: 17/21

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					88.2%		11.8%	17	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	18.8%				56.3%		25.0%	16	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				5.9%	88.2%	5.9%	17	
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5	11.8%			23.5%	58.8%	5.9%	17	
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.2	5.9%		17.6%	23.5%	47.1%	5.9%	17	
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6			5.9%	29.4%	58.8%	5.9%	17	
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5				5.9%	29.4%	52.9%	11.8%	17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5				5.9%	23.5%	47.1%	23.5%	17
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to understand Urban History and Policy.	4.6				37.5%	50.0%	12.5%	16	
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4				17.6%	17.6%	52.9%	11.8%	17
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4				5.9%	41.2%	41.2%	11.8%	17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7				5.9%	11.8%	70.6%	11.8%	17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5	11.8%			23.5%	52.9%	11.8%	17	

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering
CE-GY 8273: Contracts and Specifications

Class Number: 20803

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Sciascia, Joel

Response Rate: 20/22

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						95.0%	5.0%	20
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8		5.0%		10.0%	85.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7		5.0%		15.0%	75.0%	5.0%	20
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7		5.0%	10.0%		85.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		20
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7		5.0%	5.0%	10.0%	80.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8				15.0%	85.0%		20
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.9		10.0%	15.0%	50.0%	25.0%		20
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8			5.0%	15.0%	80.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7		5.0%		20.0%	75.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3		5.0%	10.0%	35.0%	50.0%		20

Class Number: 25049

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-GY 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Section: INT2

Primary Instructor: Rodriguez,Juan C

Response Rate: 18/22

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		18	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		18	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3	5.6%	5.6%		33.3%	55.6%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6		5.6%	5.6%	16.7%	72.2%		18
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	2.8	27.8%	22.2%	11.1%	16.7%	22.2%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.9	5.6%		33.3%	16.7%	44.4%		18
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.9	5.6%	11.1%		50.0%	33.3%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1	5.6%	5.6%	11.1%	33.3%	44.4%		18
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.3	11.1%		5.6%	16.7%	66.7%		18
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	3.9	5.6%		27.8%	27.8%	38.9%		18
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.1	11.1%		11.1%	22.2%	55.6%		18
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	4.4	5.9%		5.9%	23.5%	64.7%		17
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	4.2	11.8%		5.9%	23.5%	58.8%		17
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.4	5.9%		5.9%	29.4%	58.8%		17
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.3	5.9%			47.1%	47.1%		17
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	3.8	5.9%	5.9%	23.5%	29.4%	35.3%		17
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.9	5.9%		29.4%	29.4%	35.3%		17
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.1	5.9%		23.5%	23.5%	47.1%		17
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	4.2	5.9%		17.6%	23.5%	52.9%		17
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	4.1	5.9%		23.5%	23.5%	47.1%		17
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.9	5.6%	11.1%	5.6%	38.9%	38.9%		18
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3	5.9%		5.9%	35.3%	52.9%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.9	5.6%	5.6%	11.1%	44.4%	33.3%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.2	5.6%	5.6%	11.1%	22.2%	55.6%		18

Class Number: 14912

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6073: MARKETING

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Cincotta,James A

Response Rate: 29/31

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			29
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			28
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				6.9%	93.1%		29
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6	3.6%		3.6%	17.9%	75.0%		28
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.9				10.3%	89.7%		29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8			7.1%	7.1%	85.7%		28
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7		6.9%		13.8%	79.3%		29
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		28
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.6		10.3%		13.8%	75.9%		29
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.5		6.9%		31.0%	62.1%		29
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.6	3.4%		3.4%	20.7%	72.4%		29
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.6		6.9%	3.4%	17.2%	72.4%		29
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6	3.4%	6.9%		10.3%	79.3%		29
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7		6.9%		13.8%	79.3%		29
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6		6.9%	6.9%	6.9%	79.3%		29
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7		6.9%		10.3%	82.8%		29

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		29
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		29
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9			3.4%	6.9%	89.7%		29
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5		3.4%	3.4%	34.5%	58.6%		29
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7			3.4%	24.1%	72.4%		29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7		3.4%		17.2%	79.3%		29
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3		10.7%		39.3%	50.0%		28
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7				27.6%	72.4%		29
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.5		3.4%	3.4%	31.0%	62.1%		29
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.4		3.4%		48.3%	48.3%		29
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.3		3.4%	3.4%	55.2%	37.9%		29
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.5		3.4%		37.9%	58.6%		29
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3	3.4%	3.4%		44.8%	48.3%		29
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6		3.4%		34.5%	62.1%		29
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7		3.4%		17.2%	79.3%		29
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7		3.4%		24.1%	72.4%		29

Class Number: 15493

Dept. of Technology Management
MG-GY 6073: MARKETING

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Cincotta,James A

Response Rate: 7/12

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		7	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		7	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.1	14.3%			28.6%	57.1%		7
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.1	14.3%			28.6%	57.1%		7
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.9	14.3%		14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.9	14.3%		14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		7
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3	14.3%			14.3%	71.4%		7
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1	14.3%		14.3%		71.4%		7
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.0	14.3%			42.9%	42.9%		7
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.1	14.3%			28.6%	57.1%		7
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.3		14.3%		28.6%	57.1%		7
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.0	14.3%		14.3%	14.3%	57.1%		7
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.3	14.3%			14.3%	71.4%		7
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	4.1		14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	57.1%		7
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	3.6	14.3%	14.3%		42.9%	28.6%		7
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	4.0		28.6%		14.3%	57.1%		7
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	3.6	14.3%		28.6%	28.6%	28.6%		7
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	3.4	14.3%		28.6%	42.9%	14.3%		7
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.0		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		7
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	3.3		14.3%	57.1%	14.3%	14.3%		7
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.3	14.3%		42.9%	28.6%	14.3%		7
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.4	14.3%		42.9%	14.3%	28.6%		7
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	3.4	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%		7
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	3.4	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%		7
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.8	16.7%			50.0%	33.3%		6



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6073: MARKETING

Class Number: 15493

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Cincotta,James A

Response Rate: 7/12

H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.9	14.3%			57.1%	28.6%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.3	14.3%			14.3%	71.4%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.1	14.3%			28.6%	57.1%		7

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Class Number: 15945

Section: C2RC

Primary Instructor: Raspopin,Alexander

Response Rate: 17/20

X. The Recitation Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3		11.8%		35.3%	52.9%		17
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	3.4	17.6%		29.4%	29.4%	23.5%		17
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	3.9		23.5%	5.9%	29.4%	41.2%		17
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	3.4	17.6%	5.9%	23.5%	17.6%	29.4%	5.9%	17



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Class Number: 19593

Section: D3RC

Primary Instructor: Raspopin,Alexander

Response Rate: 21/24

X. The Recitation Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				19.0%	76.2%	4.8%	21
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	4.3		4.8%	14.3%	19.0%	57.1%	4.8%	21
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.3		14.3%		19.0%	61.9%	4.8%	21
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	4.3	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%	23.8%	57.1%	4.8%	21

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMICS

Class Number: 16183

Section: B2RC

Primary Instructor: Raspopin,Alexander

Response Rate: 18/24

X. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5		5.9%	5.9%	23.5%	64.7%		17
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	4.1			31.3%	25.0%	43.8%		16
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.3		6.3%	12.5%	31.3%	50.0%		16
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	3.9	12.5%	6.3%	6.3%	25.0%	50.0%		16

Class Number: 16242

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Section: C

Primary Instructor: Raspopin,Alexander

Response Rate: 28/32

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	3.7	3.6%	10.7%	7.1%	57.1%	14.3%	7.1%	28
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.1	3.6%	7.1%	3.6%	46.4%	39.3%		28
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3	3.6%		14.3%	28.6%	53.6%		28
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	2.6	21.4%	39.3%	10.7%	10.7%	17.9%		28
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	3.2	3.7%	29.6%	14.8%	37.0%	11.1%	3.7%	27
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0	11.1%		11.1%	33.3%	44.4%		27
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	2.6	25.0%	25.0%	17.9%	28.6%	3.6%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	2.8	21.4%	21.4%	17.9%	32.1%	7.1%		28
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	2.8		53.6%	10.7%	28.6%	3.6%	3.6%	28
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	2.4	29.6%	22.2%	29.6%	14.8%	3.7%		27
Q40	Value was added to my education.	2.6	28.6%	17.9%	21.4%	25.0%	7.1%		28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	2.1	42.9%	25.0%	14.3%	14.3%	3.6%		28
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	3.6	7.4%	11.1%	18.5%	40.7%	22.2%		27
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	3.0	14.8%	22.2%	18.5%	37.0%	7.4%		27

Class Number: 16245

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Section: E

Primary Instructor: Raspopin,Alexander

Response Rate: 17/17

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	3.2	17.6%	23.5%		35.3%	23.5%		17
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.6			6.3%	31.3%	62.5%		16
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.1		11.8%	11.8%	35.3%	41.2%		17
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	2.8	23.5%	29.4%	5.9%	29.4%	11.8%		17
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	3.2	5.9%	29.4%	5.9%	52.9%	5.9%		17
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0	5.9%		5.9%	64.7%	23.5%		17
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.5	5.9%	11.8%	29.4%	29.4%	23.5%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.8		11.8%	23.5%	41.2%	23.5%		17
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	2.8		64.7%	11.8%	5.9%	17.6%		17
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	2.3	41.2%	23.5%	11.8%	11.8%	11.8%		17
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.1	17.6%	11.8%	23.5%	35.3%	11.8%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	2.5	35.3%	11.8%	35.3%	5.9%	11.8%		17
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	4.0	11.8%			52.9%	35.3%		17
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	3.5	11.8%	11.8%	17.6%	35.3%	23.5%		17

Class Number: 16277

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Enigbokan,Olatokunbo Adeola

Response Rate: 14/18

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			14
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8			7.1%	7.1%	85.7%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3		7.1%	14.3%	21.4%	57.1%		14
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6			7.1%	28.6%	64.3%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		14
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5			14.3%	21.4%	64.3%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6		7.1%		21.4%	71.4%		14
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	This course used humanities or social science perspectives to examine issues tied to science or technology.	4.0		18.2%		45.5%	36.4%		11
Q28	This course helped to improve my written communication skills.	3.9		18.2%	9.1%	36.4%	36.4%		11
Q29	This course helped to improve my oral communication skills.	3.6		18.2%	18.2%	45.5%	18.2%		11
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3			7.1%	57.1%	35.7%		14
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3		7.1%	14.3%	21.4%	57.1%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5		7.1%		28.6%	64.3%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.4		7.1%	7.1%	28.6%	57.1%		14

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-GY 7753: ENVIRONMENTAL SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 20705

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Vasiliadis, Haralambos V.

Response Rate: 23/25

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.3%						95.7%		23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.3%						95.7%		23
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6			4.3%	34.8%	60.9%			23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.1		13.0%	13.0%	26.1%	47.8%			23
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	2.7	26.1%	30.4%	8.7%	21.7%	13.0%			23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.7	4.3%	13.0%	26.1%	26.1%	30.4%			23
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.3	8.7%	30.4%	4.3%	34.8%	21.7%			23
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0	8.7%		8.7%	52.2%	30.4%			23
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.7	4.3%	17.4%	13.0%	30.4%	34.8%			23
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.8		21.7%	8.7%	34.8%	34.8%			23
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.9	4.3%	8.7%	13.0%	43.5%	30.4%			23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.4	8.7%	17.4%	17.4%	39.1%	17.4%			23

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-GY 6083: Principles of Database Systems

Class Number: 15414

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Kok,Ahmet

Response Rate: 34/44

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		34
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		34
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5	2.9%			35.3%	61.8%	34
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6			8.8%	26.5%	64.7%	34
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.6	11.8%	14.7%	8.8%	29.4%	35.3%	34
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3	2.9%	8.8%		32.4%	55.9%	34
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4	5.9%			35.3%	58.8%	34
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6	2.9%		2.9%	17.6%	76.5%	34
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.2		11.8%	8.8%	23.5%	55.9%	34
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7				29.4%	70.6%	34
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5	2.9%	2.9%	2.9%	26.5%	64.7%	34
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8				23.5%	76.5%	34

Class Number: 15792

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE-UY 3604: Electromagnetic Waves

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Kuo, Spencer Szu-Ping

Response Rate: 22/34

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			22
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6				40.9%	59.1%		22
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.8	4.5%	9.1%	27.3%	22.7%	36.4%		22
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.9		18.2%	9.1%	36.4%	36.4%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.8	4.5%	13.6%	4.5%	50.0%	27.3%		22
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0		9.1%	18.2%	40.9%	31.8%		22
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.2			13.6%	50.0%	36.4%		22
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.5	4.5%	22.7%	9.1%	40.9%	22.7%		22
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.2	4.5%		9.1%	40.9%	45.5%		22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.5	9.1%	13.6%	18.2%	40.9%	18.2%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.3	18.2%	9.1%	18.2%	36.4%	18.2%		22
H3. TA Evaluation		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	4.3			10.5%	47.4%	36.8%	5.3%	19
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	4.0		10.5%	15.8%	26.3%	36.8%	10.5%	19
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	4.1		5.3%	21.1%	31.6%	36.8%	5.3%	19

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering
EL-GY 6583: Fiber Optic Communications

Class Number: 20647

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Kuo, Spencer Szu-Ping

Response Rate: 28/51

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.7%				92.6%	3.7%	27
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					92.6%	7.4%	27
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7			7.1%	14.3%	78.6%	28
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6			7.1%	28.6%	64.3%	28
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3	3.6%	3.6%	7.1%	28.6%	57.1%	28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5		3.6%	10.7%	14.3%	71.4%	28
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6			7.1%	28.6%	64.3%	28
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5		3.6%	10.7%	21.4%	64.3%	28
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5			10.7%	28.6%	60.7%	28
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6			10.7%	21.4%	67.9%	28
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5		3.6%	10.7%	14.3%	71.4%	28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6			10.7%	14.3%	75.0%	28



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-UY 2513: CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS & METHODS

Class Number: 15968

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: DarConte, Frank

Response Rate: 26/27

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			25
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8			3.8%	7.7%	88.5%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6			11.5%	15.4%	73.1%		26
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6	3.8%		7.7%	11.5%	76.9%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6		7.7%	7.7%	3.8%	80.8%		26
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7		3.8%	3.8%	15.4%	76.9%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4	3.8%	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	73.1%		26
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I learned to perform personal protective equipment (PPE) inspections on construction personnel.	4.7			4.5%	18.2%	77.3%		22
Q28	I can perform a proper concrete slump test at the job site.	3.6	9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	13.6%	31.8%	18.2%	22
Q29	I can identify proper deployment of construction site safety equipment.	4.5		4.5%	4.5%	22.7%	68.2%		22
Q30	I learned to read project specifications and ensure proper installation methods are employed that will meet prescribed quality standards and meet current building codes.	4.3	4.5%	4.5%	9.1%	18.2%	63.6%		22
Q31	I learned to execute project close-out procedures.	4.1	4.5%	4.5%	18.2%	18.2%	54.5%		22
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6			11.5%	19.2%	69.2%		26
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6	3.8%		7.7%	7.7%	80.8%		26
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8			3.8%	15.4%	80.8%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6		3.8%	11.5%	3.8%	80.8%		26
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	4.3		10.0%	5.0%	25.0%	60.0%		20
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	4.6			9.5%	19.0%	71.4%		21
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.6			9.1%	22.7%	68.2%		22



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Interdisciplinary Studies

BI-GY 7533: Bioinformatics I: Sequence Analysis

Class Number: 15381

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Brathwaite,Mgavi Elombe

Response Rate: 9/19

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	11.1%				77.8%	11.1%	9	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	44.4%				55.6%		9	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.2	22.2%	22.2%		22.2%	33.3%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.2	22.2%		44.4%		33.3%		9
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	2.8	33.3%	11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	11.1%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.3	11.1%	22.2%	22.2%	11.1%	33.3%		9
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	2.8	33.3%	11.1%	22.2%	11.1%	22.2%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.4	22.2%		22.2%	22.2%	33.3%		9
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	2.7	44.4%	11.1%		22.2%	22.2%		9
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	3.7	11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	11.1%	44.4%		9
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	3.7		11.1%	44.4%	11.1%	33.3%		9
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	3.4	22.2%		33.3%		44.4%		9
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	2.2	55.6%	11.1%		22.2%	11.1%		9
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	3.4	22.2%		22.2%	22.2%	33.3%		9
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	3.6	22.2%		11.1%	33.3%	33.3%		9
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	3.7			66.7%		33.3%		9
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.8			55.6%	11.1%	33.3%		9
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.7			66.7%		33.3%		9
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	4.1	11.1%		11.1%	11.1%	55.6%	11.1%	9
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	3.9	11.1%		22.2%	11.1%	44.4%	11.1%	9
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.7	22.2%	11.1%		11.1%	55.6%		9
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.2	33.3%		11.1%	22.2%	33.3%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	2.6	44.4%	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	22.2%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.0	33.3%	11.1%		33.3%	22.2%		9

Class Number: 20079

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Montclare,Brandon

Response Rate: 15/18

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		15	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		15	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					93.3%	6.7%	15	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					93.3%	6.7%	15	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8				14.3%	78.6%	7.1%	14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8				14.3%	78.6%	7.1%	14
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.5		33.3%		53.3%	13.3%		15
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.5		33.3%		53.3%	13.3%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5		6.7%		26.7%	66.7%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5		6.7%		26.7%	66.7%		15
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		14
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7			6.7%	20.0%	73.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7			6.7%	20.0%	73.3%		15
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5				46.7%	53.3%		15
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5				46.7%	53.3%		15
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				13.3%	86.7%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				13.3%	86.7%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8			6.7%	6.7%	86.7%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8			6.7%	6.7%	86.7%		15



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BT-GY 6093: Biomedical Materials & Devices for Human Body Repair

Class Number: 15627

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Carter, John Neil

Response Rate: 24/24

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					95.8%	4.2%	24	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					95.8%	4.2%	24	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7			8.3%	12.5%	79.2%		24
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.2			30.4%	8.7%	47.8%	13.0%	23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.9			4.2%	4.2%	87.5%	4.2%	24
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6			4.2%	29.2%	66.7%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5			8.3%	33.3%	58.3%		24
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6			4.2%	33.3%	58.3%	4.2%	24
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6		4.2%	4.2%	20.8%	70.8%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				12.5%	87.5%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5		4.2%	4.2%	25.0%	66.7%		24



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CM-UY 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Class Number: 15754

Section: A3LB

Primary Instructor: Aber,Janice E.

Response Rate: 13/14

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	5.0					100.0%		13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.2		7.7%	7.7%	46.2%	38.5%		13
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		13
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	5.0					100.0%		12
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.6			7.7%	23.1%	69.2%		13
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8				15.4%	84.6%		13
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5				46.2%	53.8%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5			7.7%	30.8%	61.5%		13
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	4.6				38.5%	61.5%		13
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	4.5			7.7%	30.8%	61.5%		13
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	4.8				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	4.0	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	30.8%	46.2%		13
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1		15.4%		38.5%	38.5%	7.7%	13
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		13
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5		7.7%		30.8%	61.5%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3	7.7%	7.7%		15.4%	69.2%		13
M. The Recitation Instructor									
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		13
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.1		7.7%	15.4%	38.5%	38.5%		13
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	4.2			15.4%	46.2%	38.5%		13
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	3.8		23.1%	15.4%	23.1%	38.5%		13



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

**Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CM-UY 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers**

Class Number: 15896

Section: D1LB

Primary Instructor: Aber,Janice E.

Response Rate: 17/20

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.6				35.3%	64.7%		17
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.1		12.5%		56.3%	31.3%		16
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				11.8%	88.2%		17
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.7				29.4%	70.6%		17
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.2		5.9%	11.8%	35.3%	47.1%		17
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0		11.8%	11.8%	35.3%	35.3%	5.9%	17
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.2			11.8%	52.9%	35.3%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3		5.9%	5.9%	41.2%	47.1%		17
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	4.4			5.9%	47.1%	47.1%		17
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	4.4			5.9%	52.9%	41.2%		17
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	4.5				47.1%	52.9%		17
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	3.8		17.6%	5.9%	52.9%	23.5%		17
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.5	5.9%	17.6%	17.6%	35.3%	23.5%		17
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.5			5.9%	41.2%	52.9%		17
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.2			23.5%	29.4%	41.2%	5.9%	17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.1		5.9%	17.6%	41.2%	35.3%		17
M. The Recitation Instructor									
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7		5.9%		11.8%	82.4%		17
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.1			5.9%	76.5%	17.6%		17
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	4.0		5.9%	17.6%	47.1%	29.4%		17
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	3.6		11.8%	23.5%	58.8%	5.9%		17



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CM-UY 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Class Number: 15897

Section: DLEC

Primary Instructor: Aber, Janice E.

Response Rate: 51/81

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						98.0%	2.0%	51
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		4.0%				94.0%	2.0%	50
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6			5.9%	23.5%	70.6%		51
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.1	2.0%	4.0%	20.0%	28.0%	44.0%	2.0%	50
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0	2.0%	13.7%	11.8%	27.5%	43.1%	2.0%	51
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.1	3.9%	9.8%	7.8%	23.5%	52.9%	2.0%	51
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.2	2.0%	5.9%	11.8%	31.4%	47.1%	2.0%	51
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0	2.0%	11.8%	9.8%	31.4%	43.1%	2.0%	51
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	4.5			7.8%	33.3%	56.9%	2.0%	51
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	4.4			9.8%	39.2%	49.0%	2.0%	51
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	4.4			7.8%	41.2%	49.0%	2.0%	51
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	3.7	10.0%	8.0%	12.0%	40.0%	30.0%		50
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.8		15.7%	9.8%	49.0%	23.5%	2.0%	51
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.8	7.8%	3.9%	17.6%	39.2%	29.4%	2.0%	51
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.3	2.0%	5.9%	5.9%	33.3%	51.0%	2.0%	51
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.7	7.8%	13.7%	11.8%	33.3%	31.4%	2.0%	51
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	3.9	2.0%	6.0%	22.0%	36.0%	28.0%	6.0%	50
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	3.9	2.0%	7.8%	19.6%	39.2%	27.5%	3.9%	51
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	3.6	4.0%	10.0%	28.0%	30.0%	22.0%	6.0%	50
X. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6		3.9%	3.9%	21.6%	66.7%	3.9%	51
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	4.1	2.0%	5.9%	15.7%	23.5%	45.1%	7.8%	51
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.0	3.9%	11.8%	7.8%	25.5%	47.1%	3.9%	51
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	4.3	3.9%	3.9%	9.8%	23.5%	54.9%	3.9%	51



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CM-UY 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Class Number: 15897

Section: DLEC

Primary Instructor: Aber, Janice E.

Response Rate: 51/81

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM-UY 1014: GENERAL CHEMISTRY I

Class Number: 15776

Section: A1LB

Primary Instructor: Aber,Janice E.

Response Rate: 18/20

		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.9				5.6%	94.4%		18
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	3.9		22.2%	5.6%	33.3%	38.9%		18
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				22.2%	77.8%		18
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%		18
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.3		5.6%	5.6%	38.9%	50.0%		18
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7			5.6%	22.2%	72.2%		18
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3		11.8%	5.9%	23.5%	58.8%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1		11.1%	22.2%	16.7%	50.0%		18
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.9		16.7%	5.6%	44.4%	33.3%		18
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.7		5.6%		11.1%	83.3%		18
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5			5.6%	38.9%	55.6%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3			22.2%	22.2%	50.0%	5.6%	18
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.4			5.9%	41.2%	47.1%	5.9%	17
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	4.3			17.6%	29.4%	47.1%	5.9%	17
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	4.1			35.3%	17.6%	41.2%	5.9%	17



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM-UY 1101: Numerical Methods for Chemistry

Class Number: 15886

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Aber, Janice E.

Response Rate: 37/39

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	16.2%				73.0%	10.8%	37	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	13.5%				83.8%	2.7%	37	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7	2.7%		2.7%	16.2%	75.7%	2.7%	37
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.9	13.5%	2.7%	8.1%	29.7%	43.2%	2.7%	37
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4		8.3%	2.8%	30.6%	55.6%	2.8%	36
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4		5.4%		37.8%	54.1%	2.7%	37
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.8	8.3%	11.1%	11.1%	27.8%	38.9%	2.8%	36
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.4	10.8%	10.8%	24.3%	27.0%	24.3%	2.7%	37
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.7	2.7%	18.9%	16.2%	27.0%	32.4%	2.7%	37
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.8	5.4%	10.8%	18.9%	24.3%	37.8%	2.7%	37
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.3		5.4%	8.1%	37.8%	43.2%	5.4%	37
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.5	13.5%	10.8%	24.3%	13.5%	35.1%	2.7%	37

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

STS-UY 1002: Introduction to Science and Technology Studies

Class Number: 16212

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Leslie, Christopher

Response Rate: 22/24

		<i>No</i>			<i>Yes</i>			<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				95.5%			4.5%	22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				95.5%			4.5%	22
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8			4.5%	9.1%	81.8%	4.5%	22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.9			4.5%	4.5%	86.4%	4.5%	22
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6			9.1%	18.2%	68.2%	4.5%	22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7		4.5%	4.5%	9.1%	77.3%	4.5%	22
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7			4.5%	18.2%	72.7%	4.5%	22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5			18.2%	13.6%	63.6%	4.5%	22
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6			9.1%	22.7%	63.6%	4.5%	22
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7			4.5%	18.2%	72.7%	4.5%	22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6		4.5%	9.1%	4.5%	81.8%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7			9.1%	13.6%	72.7%	4.5%	22

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society
STS-UY 3904: Special Topics in STS

Class Number: 19749

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Leslie, Christopher

Response Rate: 13/17

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		7.7%			92.3%			13
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		13
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6				38.5%	61.5%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7				30.8%	69.2%		13
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5				46.2%	53.8%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6			7.7%	23.1%	69.2%		13
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5			15.4%	23.1%	61.5%		13
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6			15.4%	7.7%	76.9%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6			7.7%	23.1%	69.2%		13

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management
IE-GY 6003: ENGINEERING ECONOMICS

Class Number: 15463

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Greenstein, Michael

Response Rate: 20/21

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.0%				95.0%			20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					90.0%		10.0%	20
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3		10.0%	5.0%	25.0%	60.0%		20
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.4		5.3%	10.5%	21.1%	63.2%		19
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3		10.0%	15.0%	5.0%	65.0%	5.0%	20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8				21.1%	78.9%		19
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5			10.0%	25.0%	60.0%	5.0%	20
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4		5.0%	10.0%	20.0%	60.0%	5.0%	20
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.4		5.0%		45.0%	50.0%		20
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.5		5.0%	10.0%	15.0%	70.0%		20
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.3		5.0%	15.0%	30.0%	50.0%		20
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.3		5.0%	10.0%	35.0%	50.0%		20
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5		5.0%	5.0%	25.0%	65.0%		20
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5		10.0%		25.0%	65.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3		5.0%	20.0%	15.0%	60.0%		20

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management
MG-GY 6353: Quality Management

Class Number: 14917

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Greenstein, Michael

Response Rate: 7/8

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	14.3%				85.7%			7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	28.6%				57.1%		14.3%	7
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.1		14.3%		42.9%	42.9%		7
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6				42.9%	57.1%		7
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6		14.3%			85.7%		7
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4				57.1%	42.9%		7
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.1			28.6%	28.6%	42.9%		7
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.4				57.1%	42.9%		7
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.3			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		7
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3		14.3%		28.6%	57.1%		7
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.9	14.3%	14.3%		14.3%	57.1%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		7

Class Number: 15468

Dept. of Technology Management
MN-GY 7503: TARGET COSTING

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Greenstein,Michael

Response Rate: 7/7

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		28.6%			71.4%			7
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7			14.3%		85.7%		7
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.6				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.6				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.6			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.6				42.9%	57.1%		7
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.6		14.3%			85.7%		7
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	4.6			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.4			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	4.4			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	4.6		14.3%			85.7%		7
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		7
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	3.9			57.1%		42.9%		7
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.9			57.1%		42.9%		7
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.9			57.1%		42.9%		7
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	4.0			42.9%	14.3%	42.9%		7
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	4.3			28.6%	14.3%	57.1%		7
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MN-GY 7503: TARGET COSTING

Class Number: 15468

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Greenstein,Michael

Response Rate: 7/7

H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7			14.3%		85.7%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7

Class Number: 16041

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society
DM-UY 2193: Intro to Web Development

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Grant,Sarah

Response Rate: 14/16

A. Procedures and Policies		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		14	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		14	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		14	
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.9				7.1%	92.9%		14	
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6				7.1%	21.4%	71.4%	14	
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7				7.1%	85.7%		14	
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.1				7.1%	14.3%	35.7%	42.9%	14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4				23.1%	15.4%	61.5%		13
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0				7.1%	14.3%	50.0%	28.6%	14
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6					42.9%	57.1%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8					21.4%	78.6%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6					35.7%	64.3%		14

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
BMS-UY 1004: Introduction to Cell and Molecular Biology

Class Number: 16098

Section: A1LB

Primary Instructor: Paratore,Anthony W

Response Rate: 7/15

		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		7
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		7
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		7
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		7
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.0	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6				42.9%	57.1%		7
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6		14.3%			85.7%		7
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4			28.6%		71.4%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.4			28.6%		71.4%		7
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	4.6				42.9%	57.1%		7

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
BMS-UY 1004: Introduction to Cell and Molecular Biology

Class Number: 16100

Section: A3LB

Primary Instructor: Paratore,Anthony W

Response Rate: 13/15

		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	3.9	15.4%	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	61.5%		13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.2	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	15.4%	61.5%		13
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8			7.7%	7.7%	84.6%		13
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.3		7.7%	7.7%	23.1%	53.8%	7.7%	13
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	1.5	61.5%	23.1%	15.4%				13
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.3	23.1%	7.7%	15.4%	23.1%	30.8%		13
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.2	23.1%		15.4%	53.8%	7.7%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.0	7.7%	46.2%		30.8%	15.4%		13
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	2.8		53.8%	23.1%	7.7%	15.4%		13
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.1	7.7%		15.4%	30.8%	46.2%		13
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.0	23.1%	7.7%	30.8%	23.1%	15.4%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	2.4	30.8%	7.7%	38.5%	15.4%		7.7%	13
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	3.2	7.7%	7.7%	46.2%	30.8%	7.7%		13
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	3.3	15.4%		30.8%	46.2%	7.7%		13
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	2.9	15.4%	7.7%	46.2%	30.8%			13

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
BMS-UY 4324: Advanced Cell and Molecular Biology II

Class Number: 16291

Section: A1LB

Primary Instructor: Paratore,Anthony W

Response Rate: 7/8

		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	5.0					100.0%		7
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		7
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	5.0					100.0%		7
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		7
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6				42.9%	57.1%		7
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		6
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.1		14.3%		42.9%	42.9%		7



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering
MT-UY 2813: Introduction to Materials Science

Class Number: 15811

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Nourbakhsh,Said

Response Rate: 24/27

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.2%						95.8%		24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.							100.0%		21
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.4		4.2%	12.5%	16.7%	62.5%		4.2%	24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.2		8.3%	12.5%	29.2%	45.8%		4.2%	24
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.1		12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	45.8%		4.2%	24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.9	4.2%	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%		8.3%	24
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.9	4.2%	8.3%	16.7%	37.5%	33.3%			24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4		4.2%	4.2%	33.3%	54.2%		4.2%	24
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q27	Learned about the atomic structure of matter, about atomic arrangements and crystal structures, and about crystal defects	4.3	4.3%		13.0%	21.7%	56.5%		4.3%	23
Q28	Learned about mechanical testing and behavior of materials and fracture	4.4	4.3%		8.7%	26.1%	56.5%		4.3%	23
Q29	Learned about the relationship between microstructure and mechanical properties	4.2	4.3%		4.3%	47.8%	39.1%		4.3%	23
Q30	Learned about alloy phase diagrams	4.4	4.3%		8.7%	21.7%	60.9%		4.3%	23
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.9		8.3%	20.8%	41.7%	25.0%		4.2%	24
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.8	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	41.7%	29.2%		4.2%	24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.8	8.3%	8.3%	12.5%	29.2%	37.5%		4.2%	24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.7	8.3%	12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	29.2%			24
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	3.8	8.7%	8.7%	4.3%	43.5%	26.1%		8.7%	23
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	3.9	13.0%	4.3%	8.7%	17.4%	47.8%		8.7%	23



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering
MT-UY 2813: Introduction to Materials Science

Class Number: 16040

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Nourbakhsh,Said

Response Rate: 41/41

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					92.7%	7.3%		41
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.1%				84.6%	10.3%		39
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				19.5%	75.6%	4.9%	41
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5			12.5%	25.0%	55.0%	7.5%	40
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5			14.6%	22.0%	58.5%	4.9%	41
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4		5.0%	5.0%	35.0%	50.0%	5.0%	40
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4		2.4%	4.9%	43.9%	43.9%	4.9%	41
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4		10.0%	2.5%	22.5%	60.0%	5.0%	40
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned about the atomic structure of matter, about atomic arrangements and crystal structures, and about crystal defects	4.6		2.7%	5.4%	21.6%	67.6%	2.7%	37
Q28	Learned about mechanical testing and behavior of materials and fracture	4.5		5.4%	2.7%	27.0%	62.2%	2.7%	37
Q29	Learned about the relationship between microstructure and mechanical properties	4.6			10.8%	21.6%	64.9%	2.7%	37
Q30	Learned about alloy phase diagrams	4.6			8.1%	21.6%	67.6%	2.7%	37
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.9		12.2%	12.2%	41.5%	29.3%	4.9%	41
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.2	2.4%	4.9%	7.3%	36.6%	43.9%	4.9%	41
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5	2.4%		7.3%	24.4%	61.0%	4.9%	41
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0	7.3%	2.4%	9.8%	39.0%	36.6%	4.9%	41
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.3		5.4%	5.4%	37.8%	48.6%	2.7%	37
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.3			16.2%	35.1%	45.9%	2.7%	37

Class Number: 20693

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-UY 4173: Foundation Engineering

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Iskander,Magued G

Response Rate: 3/5

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		3	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	33.3%				66.7%		3	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3				66.7%	33.3%		3
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I understand the subject and terminology of foundation engineering.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q28	I understand the fundamental concepts of soil structure interaction such as bearing capacity, settlement, earth pressure; and use these concepts to design foundations and retaining walls.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q29	can solve design problems related to soil mechanics and foundation engineering.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3

Class Number: 20694

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering
CE-UY 4173: Foundation Engineering

Section: LAB

Primary Instructor: Iskander,Magued G

Response Rate: 3/5

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	3.7			66.7%		33.3%		3
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	3.0			100.0%				3
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.0		33.3%			66.7%		3
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.0		33.3%			66.7%		3
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%		3
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.7	33.3%				66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0					100.0%		3
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I understand the subject and terminology of foundation engineering.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q28	I understand the fundamental concepts of soil structure interaction such as bearing capacity, settlement, earth pressure; and use these concepts to design foundations and retaining walls.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q29	can solve design problems related to soil mechanics and foundation engineering.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.7	33.3%				66.7%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3				66.7%	33.3%		3
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6391: Managing Knowledge-Based Enterprise

Class Number: 21327

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Coleman,Vaughan

Response Rate: 2/3

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			2
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.0				100.0%			2
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0				100.0%			2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%		1
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.0				100.0%			2
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.0				100.0%			2
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.0				100.0%			2
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.5			50.0%	50.0%			2
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.5			50.0%	50.0%			2
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0				100.0%			2



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6391: Managing Knowledge-Based Enterprise

Class Number: 21327

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Coleman,Vaughan

Response Rate: 2/3

H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BT-GY 6083: Advanced Cell and Molecular Biology

Class Number: 15460

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Katsigeorgis,John M

Response Rate: 20/21

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			19
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				10.0%	90.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5		5.0%	10.0%	15.0%	70.0%		20
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.5	5.0%	20.0%		70.0%	5.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8			5.0%	10.0%	85.0%		20
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7				30.0%	70.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		20
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5	5.0%			30.0%	65.0%		20
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7				35.0%	65.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8		5.0%		10.0%	85.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.4		5.0%	5.0%	35.0%	55.0%		20
Y. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				10.0%	90.0%		20
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	5.0				5.0%	95.0%		20
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.8			5.0%	10.0%	85.0%		20
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	5.0					100.0%		20
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.8				15.0%	85.0%		20
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.9				10.0%	90.0%		20

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-GY 6673: QUANTUM MECHANICS I

Class Number: 15159

Section: A

Primary Instructor: DiBartolo, Salvatore J

Response Rate: 16/21

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					93.8%		6.3%	16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	18.8%				75.0%		6.3%	16
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3		6.3%	12.5%	18.8%	56.3%	6.3%	16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3		6.3%	18.8%	6.3%	62.5%	6.3%	16
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.2		18.8%		18.8%	56.3%	6.3%	16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4		12.5%		18.8%	62.5%	6.3%	16
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5			6.3%	31.3%	56.3%	6.3%	16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5	6.3%			25.0%	62.5%	6.3%	16
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1		12.5%	18.8%	12.5%	50.0%	6.3%	16
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0	6.3%	12.5%	6.3%	18.8%	50.0%	6.3%	16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.4		12.5%	6.3%	6.3%	68.8%	6.3%	16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	12.5%	50.0%	6.3%	16

Class Number: 1013B-DiBartolo,Salvatore J

Dept. of Applied Physics
PH-UY 1013: MECHANICS

Section: Lecture

Primary Instructor: DiBartolo,Salvatore J

Response Rate: 63/83

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.2%				95.8%		48	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	8.3%				91.7%		48	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7			6.1%	16.3%	77.6%		49
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.2		2.1%	25.0%	18.8%	52.1%	2.1%	48
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4		2.0%	16.3%	22.4%	59.2%		49
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3	2.0%	4.1%	12.2%	26.5%	55.1%		49
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4			12.2%	34.7%	53.1%		49
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3		2.1%	18.8%	27.1%	52.1%		48
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1		10.4%	16.7%	27.1%	45.8%		48
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3		2.1%	16.7%	31.3%	50.0%		48
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6		2.1%	10.4%	16.7%	70.8%		48
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3		2.1%	22.9%	22.9%	52.1%		48

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	1.9%						98.1%		52
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.8%						96.2%		52
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8			1.9%	19.2%	78.8%			52
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5		1.9%	13.5%	19.2%	63.5%		1.9%	52
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6	1.9%	1.9%	5.8%	17.3%	73.1%			52
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4		9.6%	5.8%	19.2%	65.4%			52
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4		5.8%	3.8%	30.8%	59.6%			52
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5		1.9%	9.6%	28.8%	59.6%			52
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5		3.8%	3.8%	30.8%	61.5%			52
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5	1.9%	3.8%	7.7%	19.2%	65.4%		1.9%	52
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6	1.9%	1.9%	3.8%	17.3%	75.0%			52
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3		9.6%	11.5%	23.1%	55.8%			52

Class Number: 15948

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 1024: Calculus I for Engineers

Section: C

Primary Instructor: Bronstein,Irina

Response Rate: 6/34

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		6	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	16.7%				83.3%		6	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.2	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		6
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3	16.7%				83.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.7			50.0%	33.3%	16.7%		6
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	4.2			33.3%	16.7%	50.0%		6
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	2.2	33.3%		50.0%			16.7%	6
Q29	I need more HW problems.	1.8	33.3%	50.0%	16.7%				6
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		6
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.8	16.7%		16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.0	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6

Class Number: 16006

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 1124: Calculus II for Engineers

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Bronstein,Irina

Response Rate: 2/22

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		2	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		2	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.5	50.0%			50.0%			2
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5					50.0%	50.0%	2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.5	50.0%			50.0%			2
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5					50.0%	50.0%	2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	2.5	50.0%		50.0%				2
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	4.5					50.0%	50.0%	2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	1.0	100.0%						2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	3.0	50.0%		50.0%				2
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5					50.0%	50.0%	2
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5					50.0%	50.0%	2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	2.5	50.0%			50.0%			2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5					50.0%	50.0%	2

Class Number: 16337

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 914: Precalculus for Engineers

Section: D

Primary Instructor: Cereste,Ken

Response Rate: 5/26

A. Procedures and Policies		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		20.0%				80.0%		5
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.2				80.0%	20.0%		5
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.4			60.0%	40.0%			5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.0	20.0%	20.0%		60.0%			5
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	2.8		60.0%		40.0%			5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	2.0	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%				5
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	4.4				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	2.4	40.0%		40.0%	20.0%			5
Q29	I need more HW problems.	2.8	40.0%		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	2.8	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%			5
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	2.8		60.0%		40.0%			5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.6		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.0	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5

Class Number: 16343

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 1124: Calculus II for Engineers

Section: A2

Primary Instructor: Cereste,Ken

Response Rate: 7/18

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		7	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		7	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.9		14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.6		14.3%	28.6%	42.9%	14.3%		7
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.7	14.3%		14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	14.3%	7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.6		28.6%	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%		7
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.7		28.6%		42.9%	28.6%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.7			42.9%	42.9%	14.3%		7
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	3.4			57.1%	42.9%			7
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	3.3			71.4%	28.6%			7
Q29	I need more HW problems.	2.3	28.6%	14.3%	57.1%				7
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	2.7	28.6%	14.3%	14.3%	42.9%			7
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.4		28.6%		71.4%			7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.7		14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.7		14.3%	14.3%	57.1%	14.3%		7

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		1
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	2.0		100.0%					1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.0				100.0%			1
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	1.0	100.0%						1
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	2.0		100.0%					1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.0			100.0%				1
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	4.0				100.0%			1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	1.0	100.0%						1
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	2.0		100.0%					1
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	2.0		100.0%					1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	2.0		100.0%					1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0				100.0%			1



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
BT-GY 7011: Special Topics in Biotechnology

Class Number: 15611

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Cirrito, Thomas P

Response Rate: 7/7

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	28.6%				57.1%		14.3%	7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	16.7%				66.7%		16.7%	6
A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	3.0			50.0%			50.0%	4
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	3.0			50.0%			50.0%	4
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		7
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%		7
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0					100.0%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	5.0					100.0%		7
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0					100.0%		7



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 6023: Economic Foundations in Finance

Class Number: 14958

Section: J

Primary Instructor: Blecherman, Barry S

Response Rate: 8/24

		No		Yes		Decline To Answer		# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning			100.0%				8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.			100.0%				8
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5	12.5%				87.5%	8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.4	12.5%			12.5%	75.0%	8
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4	12.5%			12.5%	75.0%	8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5	12.5%				87.5%	8
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3	12.5%		12.5%		75.0%	8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3	12.5%			25.0%	62.5%	8
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0	12.5%		12.5%	25.0%	50.0%	8
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4	12.5%			12.5%	75.0%	8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5	12.5%				87.5%	8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0	12.5%		25.0%		62.5%	8

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 6361: Corporate and Financial Strategy

Class Number: 15486

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Blecherman, Barry S

Response Rate: 5/21

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			5
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.8	20.0%			40.0%	40.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.0	20.0%			20.0%	60.0%		5
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.8	20.0%		20.0%		60.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.6	20.0%	20.0%			60.0%		5
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.2	20.0%				80.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0	20.0%			20.0%	60.0%		5
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.2	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		40.0%		5
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.8		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0		20.0%		40.0%	40.0%		5

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 3103: Fundamentals of Applied Nuclear Physics

Class Number: 15820

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Folan, Lorcan Michael

Response Rate: 10/14

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					90.0%	10.0%	10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		9
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%	10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%	10
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.9				10.0%	90.0%	10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.9				10.0%	90.0%	10
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7				30.0%	70.0%	10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.9		10.0%	30.0%	20.0%	40.0%	10
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.2		10.0%		40.0%	40.0%	10
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7				30.0%	70.0%	10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%	10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6				40.0%	60.0%	10



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 3513: NUCLEAR AND RADIATION INSTRUMENTATION AND METHODS

Class Number: 20465

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Folan, Lorcan Michael

Response Rate: 4/4

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	5.0					100.0%		4
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		4
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	5.0					100.0%		4
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%		4
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.0		25.0%	50.0%	25.0%			4
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3				75.0%	25.0%		4
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3		25.0%			75.0%		4

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS-GY 6643: Computer Vision and Scene Analysis

Class Number: 14839

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Wong,Edward Kin-ming

Response Rate: 38/44

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		38
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		37
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				21.1%	78.9%		38
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8			2.6%	10.5%	84.2%	2.6%	38
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8			2.6%	15.8%	81.6%		38
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8		2.6%		13.2%	84.2%		38
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6		5.3%	2.6%	15.8%	76.3%		38
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6	2.6%		5.3%	15.8%	76.3%		38
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6		2.6%	2.6%	28.9%	65.8%		38
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8		2.6%	2.6%	10.5%	84.2%		38
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8			2.6%	15.8%	81.6%		38
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8		2.6%		13.2%	84.2%		38

Class Number: 16286

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering
CE-UY 3223: Environmental Engineering I

Section: BLEC

Primary Instructor: Ahmed,Mahmoud Abdelrahim

Response Rate: 29/29

A. Procedures and Policies		No			Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp		
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				100.0%		29		
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	11.1%			88.9%		27		
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3		6.9%	6.9%	34.5%	51.7%		29
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.6	3.4%	13.8%	24.1%	34.5%	24.1%		29
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	2.5	31.0%	27.6%	6.9%	31.0%	3.4%		29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.6	7.1%	17.9%	7.1%	39.3%	28.6%		28
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.9	3.4%	6.9%	10.3%	55.2%	24.1%		29
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.7	3.4%	3.4%	27.6%	37.9%	20.7%	6.9%	29
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Understand the impact to our health and well-being caused by environmental issues, such as water pollution, air pollution, and solid waste	4.3	3.4%	3.4%	6.9%	31.0%	55.2%		29
Q28	Identify, formulate, and solve environmental problems by applying scientific and engineering methods, such as risk assessment, solid waste management.	4.1	3.4%	6.9%	6.9%	37.9%	44.8%		29
Q29	Gain an understanding of the interrelationships of different areas (climate change/carbon Emission, energy production/use, water quality) affecting the environment.	4.1	3.4%	10.3%	3.4%	41.4%	41.4%		29
Q30	Conduct experiments (i.e. water quality analysis including conductivity, turbidity, pH, alkalinity, hardness), as well as analyze and interpret data.	4.2	6.9%		6.9%	34.5%	51.7%		29
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.5	3.4%	17.2%	24.1%	37.9%	17.2%		29
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0	3.4%	10.3%	6.9%	44.8%	34.5%		29
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.8	6.9%	3.4%	20.7%	37.9%	31.0%		29
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.8	3.4%	10.3%	13.8%	44.8%	27.6%		29
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	3.9	3.4%	13.8%	6.9%	41.4%	34.5%		29
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	3.7	3.4%	13.8%	6.9%	48.3%	20.7%	6.9%	29
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	4.0	3.4%	6.9%	10.3%	48.3%	31.0%		29



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 6251: NUMERICAL & SIMULATION TECHNIQUES IN FINANCE

Class Number: 20801

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Weinberger,Edward

Response Rate: 3/10

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning							100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.							100.0%		3
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%			3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%			3
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3			33.3%		66.7%			3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3				66.7%	33.3%			3
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%			3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3			33.3%		66.7%			3
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3				66.7%	33.3%			3
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%			3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.0			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3				66.7%	33.3%			3

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering
EE-UY 4123: SENIOR DESIGN IN ELECTRICAL POWER & MACHINERY

Class Number: 15783

Section: A

Primary Instructor: De Leon, Francisco

Response Rate: 6/8

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		16.7%			83.3%			6
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7			16.7%		83.3%		6
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7			16.7%		83.3%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.8	16.7%		16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		6
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.2			33.3%	16.7%	50.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.8			50.0%	16.7%	33.3%		6
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.2			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		6
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.5	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%		50.0%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.7		33.3%	16.7%		50.0%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.2	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%		33.3%		6
H3. TA Evaluation		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	4.2		16.7%	16.7%		66.7%		6
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	4.3			33.3%		66.7%		6
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	4.3			33.3%		66.7%		6

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering
EL-GY 5623: FINITE ELEMENTS FOR ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

Class Number: 20604

Section: A

Primary Instructor: De Leon, Francisco

Response Rate: 14/18

		<i>No</i>			<i>Yes</i>			<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				100.0%				14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				100.0%				13
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				21.4%	78.6%		14
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8				21.4%	78.6%		14
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3			21.4%	21.4%	42.9%	14.3%	14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8				21.4%	78.6%		14
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.1		14.3%		42.9%	42.9%		14
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1		14.3%	14.3%	21.4%	50.0%		14
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	28.6%	50.0%		14
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4		14.3%		21.4%	64.3%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9			7.1%		92.9%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.1	7.1%	14.3%		21.4%	57.1%		14

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

URB-UY 3834W: SPECIAL TOPICS IN SUSTAINABLE URBAN ENVIRONMENTS

Class Number: 20786

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Woods,David W

Response Rate: 15/18

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		15
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		15
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.9				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.9				6.7%	93.3%		15
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.9				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0					100.0%		15
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		15
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.9				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9				6.7%	93.3%		15

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management
MG-UY 4404: ENTREPRENEURSHIP

Class Number: 15840

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Schmidt, Thomas M

Response Rate: 31/44

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.2%				87.1%	9.7%		31
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	16.1%				71.0%	12.9%		31
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5		3.2%	9.7%	22.6%	64.5%		31
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7	3.2%		3.2%	12.9%	80.6%		31
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4		3.2%	6.5%	38.7%	51.6%		31
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.2	3.3%	10.0%	6.7%	26.7%	53.3%		30
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.9	9.7%	9.7%	3.2%	32.3%	41.9%	3.2%	31
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3	3.2%	3.2%	12.9%	22.6%	58.1%		31
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.2	3.2%	3.2%	9.7%	35.5%	48.4%		31
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.4		6.5%	9.7%	25.8%	58.1%		31
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.2		9.7%	6.5%	38.7%	45.2%		31
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.5		3.2%	6.5%	25.8%	64.5%		31
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1		12.9%	6.5%	38.7%	41.9%		31
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4	3.2%		9.7%	32.3%	54.8%		31
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.4		9.7%	9.7%	12.9%	67.7%		31
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.1	3.3%	10.0%	10.0%	23.3%	53.3%		30

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-GY 7843: Introduction to Urban Systems Engineering

Class Number: 20708

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Juran,Ilan

Response Rate: 12/13

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		18.2%			63.6%	18.2%		11
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3		8.3%	16.7%	16.7%	58.3%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.0	8.3%		16.7%	33.3%	41.7%		12
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	2.8	16.7%	25.0%	33.3%	16.7%	8.3%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.0		8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	8.3%	12
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3			8.3%	58.3%	33.3%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.8		16.7%	16.7%	41.7%	25.0%		12
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.2			25.0%	25.0%	41.7%	8.3%	12
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5		8.3%		25.0%	66.7%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5		8.3%		25.0%	66.7%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5		8.3%		25.0%	66.7%		12



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-GY 7853: Concepts and Implementation of Infrastructure Management Systems

Class Number: 23819

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Juran,Ilan

Response Rate: 7/7

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	14.3%				85.7%		7	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	14.3%				85.7%		7	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	42.9%				57.1%		7	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	42.9%				57.1%		7	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3				71.4%	28.6%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3				71.4%	28.6%		7
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.7		14.3%	14.3%	57.1%	14.3%		7
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.7		14.3%	14.3%	57.1%	14.3%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3				71.4%	28.6%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3				71.4%	28.6%		7
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I understand the typical vulnerabilities in design, construction management, and the role of failures, critical, essential and unique structures.	4.3			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
Q27	I understand the typical vulnerabilities in design, construction management, and the role of failures, critical, essential and unique structures.	4.3			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
Q28	I understand the management of infrastructure assets: project and network level lifecycle cost analysis, expected useful life and the maintenance options of reconstruction or repair.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q28	I understand the management of infrastructure assets: project and network level lifecycle cost analysis, expected useful life and the maintenance options of reconstruction or repair.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q29	I can evaluate the performance monitoring and system-condition assessment as part of an infrastructure management system such as: structural condition, load rating, potential hazards, and serviceability.	4.3				71.4%	28.6%		7
Q29	I can evaluate the performance monitoring and system-condition assessment as part of an infrastructure management system such as: structural condition, load rating, potential hazards, and serviceability.	4.3				71.4%	28.6%		7
Q30	I learned how to use information technologies and apply this to remote sensing and database development for urban systems management such as: data acquisition, storage and evaluation, deterioration rates, needs assessment, forecasts, and economic assessme	3.7		14.3%		85.7%			7



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-GY 7853: Concepts and Implementation of Infrastructure Management Systems

Class Number: 23819

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Yanev,Bojidar

Response Rate: 7/7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q30	I learned how to use information technologies and apply this to remote sensing and database development for urban systems management such as: data acquisition, storage and evaluation, deterioration rates, needs assessment, forecasts, and economic assessme	3.7		14.3%		85.7%			7
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4				57.1%	42.9%		7
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4				57.1%	42.9%		7
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		7



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-UY 4033: Introduction to Urban Infrastructure Systems Management

Class Number: 15949

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Juran,Ilan

Response Rate: 11/20

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			11
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.2		10.0%		50.0%	40.0%		10
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.6	9.1%	18.2%		45.5%	27.3%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.7		10.0%	30.0%	40.0%	20.0%		10
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.2	9.1%	36.4%	9.1%	18.2%	27.3%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.5	9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	36.4%	18.2%		11
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I understand the key issues involved in planning, management, operations and maintenance of urban infrastructure systems, including transportation, water supply, power, communications and information systems.	4.1		9.1%		63.6%	27.3%		11
Q28	I understand the impact on the sustainable development of the urban environment from elements of engineering and technology, management, economics, finance, regulatory and public policy.	4.1			9.1%	72.7%	18.2%		11
Q29	Collect, integrate and share spatial data in urban infrastructure management using GIS.	4.0			10.0%	80.0%	10.0%		10
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.6		18.2%	18.2%	45.5%	18.2%		11
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0		9.1%	9.1%	54.5%	27.3%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.9			36.4%	36.4%	27.3%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.7		9.1%	36.4%	27.3%	27.3%		11
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	4.1			18.2%	54.5%	27.3%		11
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.0		9.1%	18.2%	36.4%	36.4%		11

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Class Number: 16163

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering
CE-UY 3173: STRUCTURAL DESIGN

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Whu,Alfonso

Response Rate: 15/15

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						93.3%	6.7%	15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						93.3%	6.7%	15
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6				33.3%	60.0%	6.7%	15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.9	6.7%		13.3%	53.3%	20.0%	6.7%	15
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0	6.7%	6.7%		46.7%	33.3%	6.7%	15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.6	13.3%			73.3%	6.7%	6.7%	15
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.1	20.0%	6.7%	6.7%	60.0%		6.7%	15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.5	6.7%	20.0%	6.7%	40.0%	20.0%	6.7%	15
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.6	20.0%			46.7%	26.7%	6.7%	15
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0	13.3%			40.0%	40.0%	6.7%	15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.0	20.0%	13.3%	13.3%	40.0%	6.7%	6.7%	15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.6	13.3%	6.7%	6.7%	46.7%	20.0%	6.7%	15

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-UY 4153: STRUCTURAL DESIGN PROJECT

Class Number: 16234

Section: LCLB

Primary Instructor: Whu,Alfonso

Response Rate: 11/20

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			11
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.8		27.3%		36.4%	36.4%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.2	9.1%	27.3%	18.2%	27.3%	18.2%		11
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.3	9.1%	36.4%		27.3%	27.3%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.0	27.3%	9.1%	18.2%	27.3%	18.2%		11
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.8		18.2%	18.2%	27.3%	36.4%		11
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.7		9.1%	27.3%	45.5%	18.2%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.5	9.1%	18.2%	18.2%	27.3%	27.3%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.2	9.1%	18.2%	36.4%	18.2%	18.2%		11



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering
AE-UY 4653: Aircraft Flight Mechanics

Class Number: 20090

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Kalkhoran,Iraj M

Response Rate: 20/29

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.0%				95.0%			20
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7				30.0%	70.0%		20
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3		5.0%		50.0%	45.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6		5.0%	5.0%	15.0%	75.0%		20
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4		5.0%	5.0%	35.0%	55.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	20.0%	65.0%		20
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Understood the Jet Propelled Airplane: Level Flight, Ceiling conditions, Cruise Flight, Best Range, Cruise-Climb and Stepped-Altitude Flight, Best Range with Specified Airspeed, Maximum Endurance	4.9				10.0%	90.0%		20
Q28	Understood Take-Off and Landing, Climb: Steepest Climb; Fastest Climb; Most economical Climb, Unpowered Flight	4.9				10.0%	90.0%		20
Q29	Learned Coordinate Systems, Turning Flight: Maximum Load Factor; Maximum Bank Angle; Maximum Turning Rate; Minimum Turning Radius	4.9				10.0%	90.0%		20
Q30	Understood Piston Prop: Level Flight, Ceiling conditions, Cruise Flight, Best Range, Maximum Endurance	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		20
Q31	Learned Take-off and Landing, Climbing Flight, Turning Flight and Understood longitudinal and Lateral Static Stability of an airplane	4.7			5.0%	25.0%	70.0%		20
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6			10.0%	25.0%	65.0%		20
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7		5.0%		20.0%	75.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8				15.0%	85.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		20
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.7				35.0%	65.0%		20
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		20
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	4.6				40.0%	60.0%		20
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		20

Class Number: 15953

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-UY 3223: Environmental Engineering I

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Ahn,Jeong Eun

Response Rate: 14/14

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	7.1%				92.9%		14	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		13	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5	7.1%		7.1%	7.1%	78.6%		14
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6	7.7%			7.7%	84.6%		13
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6			14.3%	7.1%	78.6%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3		8.3%	16.7%	16.7%	58.3%		12
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	35.7%	42.9%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.9	14.3%		14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		14
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Understand the impact to our health and well-being caused by environmental issues, such as water pollution, air pollution, and solid waste	4.4		7.1%		35.7%	57.1%		14
Q28	Identify, formulate, and solve environmental problems by applying scientific and engineering methods, such as risk assessment, solid waste management.	4.1		14.3%		42.9%	42.9%		14
Q29	Gain an understanding of the interrelationships of different areas (climate change/carbon Emission, energy production/use, water quality) affecting the environment.	4.2		7.1%		57.1%	35.7%		14
Q30	Conduct experiments (i.e. water quality analysis including conductivity, turbidity, pH, alkalinity, hardness), as well as analyze and interpret data.	4.4		14.3%		21.4%	64.3%		14
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		14
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.5		7.1%		28.6%	64.3%		14
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.1		14.3%	7.1%	35.7%	42.9%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0		14.3%	7.1%	42.9%	35.7%		14
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	4.3		14.3%		28.6%	57.1%		14
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.1		7.1%	14.3%	35.7%	42.9%		14
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	4.1		7.1%	14.3%	35.7%	42.9%		14

Class Number: 15954

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-UY 3223: Environmental Engineering I

Section: BLAB

Primary Instructor: Ahn,Jeong Eun

Response Rate: 15/15

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					93.3%	6.7%	15	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		7.1%			92.9%		14	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5		6.7%		33.3%	60.0%		15
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5				46.7%	53.3%		15
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3		13.3%		33.3%	53.3%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4		6.7%	6.7%	26.7%	60.0%		15
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.2	6.7%	6.7%		33.3%	53.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3		13.3%	6.7%	20.0%	60.0%		15
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Understand the impact to our health and well-being caused by environmental issues, such as water pollution, air pollution, and solid waste	4.6				40.0%	60.0%		10
Q28	Identify, formulate, and solve environmental problems by applying scientific and engineering methods, such as risk assessment, solid waste management.	4.4		10.0%		30.0%	60.0%		10
Q29	Gain an understanding of the interrelationships of different areas (climate change/carbon Emission, energy production/use, water quality) affecting the environment.	4.3	10.0%			30.0%	60.0%		10
Q30	Conduct experiments (i.e. water quality analysis including conductivity, turbidity, pH, alkalinity, hardness), as well as analyze and interpret data.	4.7				30.0%	70.0%		10
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5			6.7%	40.0%	53.3%		15
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.7				26.7%	73.3%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.9	6.7%	13.3%	13.3%	20.0%	46.7%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.1	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	26.7%	53.3%		15
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	4.3		10.0%		40.0%	50.0%		10
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.1	10.0%		10.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	4.3	10.0%			30.0%	60.0%		10



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-GY 6003: Foundations of Computer Science

Class Number: 14826

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Bhuiyan,Alauddin

Response Rate: 46/60

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	6.5%						93.5%		46
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	10.9%						87.0%	2.2%	46
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.4	4.3%	26.1%	8.7%	50.0%	10.9%			46
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.9	2.2%	4.3%	19.6%	47.8%	26.1%			46
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3	4.3%	6.5%	4.3%	28.3%	56.5%			46
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.7	4.3%	19.6%	15.2%	28.3%	32.6%			46
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.9		10.9%	15.2%	47.8%	26.1%			46
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.2		13.0%	8.7%	28.3%	50.0%			46
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0		6.5%	17.4%	50.0%	26.1%			46
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.7	8.7%	2.2%	19.6%	50.0%	19.6%			46
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.2	15.2%	8.7%	30.4%	23.9%	19.6%	2.2%		46
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.7	4.3%	13.0%	15.2%	41.3%	23.9%	2.2%		46

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-GY 6003: Foundations of Computer Science

Class Number: 15410

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Bhuiyan,Alauddin

Response Rate: 50/65

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	8.0%						92.0%		50
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	22.4%						77.6%		49
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.8	6.0%	10.0%	8.0%	50.0%	26.0%			50
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.1		6.0%	20.0%	28.0%	42.0%	4.0%		50
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4	4.0%	2.0%	4.0%	34.0%	56.0%			50
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.1	6.0%	8.0%	4.0%	32.0%	50.0%			50
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.8	8.0%	8.0%	10.0%	40.0%	34.0%			50
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.2	2.0%	8.0%	6.0%	32.0%	52.0%			50
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.9		20.0%	6.0%	38.0%	34.0%	2.0%		50
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.9	8.0%	4.0%	12.0%	46.0%	30.0%			50
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.7	12.0%	12.0%	4.0%	36.0%	36.0%			50
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.7	10.0%	12.0%	10.0%	38.0%	30.0%			50

Class Number: 16255

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Section: F

Primary Instructor: Shakya,Naresh M

Response Rate: 30/32

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.6		3.3%	3.3%	23.3%	66.7%	3.3%	30
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.5		6.7%	3.3%	26.7%	63.3%		30
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6		3.3%	3.3%	26.7%	66.7%		30
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.0	3.3%	10.0%	10.0%	40.0%	36.7%		30
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	3.6	10.0%	6.7%	13.3%	46.7%	20.0%	3.3%	30
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.2	3.3%	3.3%		50.0%	40.0%	3.3%	30
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.9	6.7%	10.0%	6.7%	40.0%	33.3%	3.3%	30
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.7	13.3%	3.3%	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		30
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.2		40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		30
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	3.7	10.0%	6.7%	26.7%	16.7%	40.0%		30
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.2	20.0%	3.3%	26.7%	26.7%	20.0%	3.3%	30
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	2.8	30.0%	13.3%	23.3%	16.7%	16.7%		30
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	3.9	3.4%	6.9%	10.3%	55.2%	24.1%		29
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	3.9	3.4%	3.4%	13.8%	55.2%	20.7%	3.4%	29

Class Number: 15922

Dept. of Applied Physics
PH-UY 1013: MECHANICS

Section: A1RC

Primary Instructor: Lal,AkhilResponse Rate: 24/25

X. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				20.8%	79.2%		24
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	4.8		4.2%		12.5%	83.3%		24
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.6		4.2%	4.2%	20.8%	70.8%		24
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	4.7			4.2%	20.8%	75.0%		24

X. The Recitation Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8			4.0%	8.0%	88.0%		25
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	4.4			24.0%	8.0%	68.0%		25
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.5	4.0%		8.0%	16.0%	72.0%		25
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	4.5	4.0%		8.0%	16.0%	72.0%		25

X. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				17.6%	82.4%		17
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	4.4		5.9%	11.8%	17.6%	64.7%		17
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.1		11.8%	17.6%	17.6%	52.9%		17
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	4.7			5.9%	17.6%	76.5%		17

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

URB-UY 2334: INTRODUCTION TO ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES

Class Number: 16222

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Ditttrick,Diane Kender

Response Rate: 7/7

		<i>No</i>			<i>Yes</i>			<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				100.0%				7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				100.0%				7
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7				28.6%	57.1%	14.3%	7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		7
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.1		14.3%		42.9%	42.9%		7
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4				57.1%	42.9%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6		14.3%			85.7%		7
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6		14.3%			85.7%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.4			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.4		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		7

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 6291: APPLIED DERIVATIVE CONTRACTS

Class Number: 15394

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Slivka,Ronald

Response Rate: 6/24

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		6	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		6	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		6
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5		16.7%			83.3%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7			16.7%		83.3%		6
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5		16.7%			83.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7			16.7%		83.3%		6
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5		16.7%			83.3%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		6

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 6291: APPLIED DERIVATIVE CONTRACTS

Class Number: 15395

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Slivka,Ronald

Response Rate: 2/13

		<i>No</i>			<i>Yes</i>			<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				100.0%				2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				100.0%				2
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%		2
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%		2

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 6291: APPLIED DERIVATIVE CONTRACTS

Class Number: 20678

Section: I3

Primary Instructor: Slivka,Ronald

Response Rate: 3/25

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning							66.7%	33.3%	3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	33.3%						66.7%		3
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.7	33.3%				66.7%			3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.7	33.3%				66.7%			3
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.7	33.3%				66.7%			3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.7	33.3%				66.7%			3
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.7	33.3%				66.7%			3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.7	33.3%				66.7%			3
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.3	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%			3
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.7	33.3%				66.7%			3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.7	33.3%				66.7%			3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.7	33.3%				66.7%			3

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 7821: TOPICS IN RISK FINANCE I

Class Number: 20677

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Slivka,Ronald

Response Rate: 4/22

		<i>No</i>				<i>Yes</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
A. Procedures and Policies							<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%				75.0%		4
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%	4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%	4
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%	4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%	4
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3		25.0%			75.0%	4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%	4
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	5.0					100.0%	4
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3		25.0%			75.0%	4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%	4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3		25.0%			75.0%	4



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

STS-UY 3904: Special Topics in STS

Class Number: 16296

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Noorani,Tehseen

Response Rate: 28/30

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.6%				96.4%			28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.4%				92.6%			27
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7			3.6%	21.4%	75.0%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.9				10.7%	89.3%		28
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6			3.6%	28.6%	67.9%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4		7.1%	3.6%	32.1%	57.1%		28
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4		3.6%	14.3%	17.9%	64.3%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3	3.6%		17.9%	17.9%	57.1%	3.6%	28
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1		14.3%	10.7%	25.0%	50.0%		28
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8			3.6%	14.3%	82.1%		28
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7			3.6%	25.0%	71.4%		28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6		3.6%	3.6%	17.9%	75.0%		28

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-GY 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Class Number: 15660

Section: F

Primary Instructor: McIntosh, Suzanne

Response Rate: 40/57

		<i>No</i>			<i>Yes</i>			<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				100.0%				40
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				100.0%				39
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9		2.5%		5.0%	92.5%		40
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.9				5.1%	94.9%		39
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8			2.5%	12.5%	85.0%		40
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.9				5.1%	94.9%		39
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8		5.0%		7.5%	87.5%		40
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8				15.4%	84.6%		39
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6	2.5%	5.0%		15.0%	77.5%		40
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8		2.5%		12.5%	85.0%		40
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9			2.5%	2.5%	95.0%		40
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8	2.5%	2.5%		7.5%	87.5%		40



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE-UY 4001: ECE Professional Development & Presentation

Class Number: 15781

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Krikorian-Ezik,Nina

Response Rate: 34/38

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	2.9%					85.3%	11.8%	34
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.9%					82.4%	11.8%	34
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3		8.8%	14.7%	17.6%	58.8%		34
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.1		8.8%	26.5%	11.8%	50.0%	2.9%	34
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8			2.9%	11.8%	85.3%		34
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7	2.9%			14.7%	79.4%	2.9%	34
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.1	2.9%	8.8%	17.6%	17.6%	50.0%	2.9%	34
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.9	2.9%		35.3%	17.6%	38.2%	5.9%	34
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3		8.8%	11.8%	17.6%	58.8%	2.9%	34
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.7	14.7%	2.9%	14.7%	26.5%	38.2%	2.9%	34
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.2	2.9%	5.9%	14.7%	23.5%	50.0%	2.9%	34
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.5	17.6%	8.8%	14.7%	20.6%	35.3%	2.9%	34

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering
EE-UY 4001: ECE Professional Development & Presentation

Class Number: 15884

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Krikorian-Ezik,Nina

Response Rate: 21/43

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		4.8%			95.2%			21
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6			4.8%	28.6%	66.7%		21
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6			9.5%	19.0%	71.4%		21
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7			4.8%	19.0%	76.2%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0				4.8%	95.2%		21
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4	4.8%		14.3%	9.5%	71.4%		21
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5		4.8%	14.3%	9.5%	66.7%	4.8%	21
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5		4.8%	4.8%	23.8%	66.7%		21
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.1	9.5%		14.3%	19.0%	57.1%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0	9.5%	4.8%	23.8%		61.9%		21



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6123: Human Resource Management

Class Number: 14931

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Miller, Marc S

Response Rate: 24/27

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					95.8%	4.2%	24
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				4.2%	91.7%	4.2%
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7			8.3%	12.5%	79.2%	
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0				4.2%	91.7%	4.2%
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.9				12.5%	83.3%	4.2%
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%	
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0				4.2%	91.7%	4.2%
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.8		4.2%		12.5%	83.3%	
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.9			4.2%	4.2%	91.7%	
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%	
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.8		4.2%		8.3%	87.5%	
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4		8.7%		30.4%	56.5%	4.3%
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7		4.2%	4.2%	8.3%	79.2%	4.2%
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				12.5%	83.3%	4.2%
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8			4.2%	8.3%	87.5%	

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Miller,Marc S

Response Rate: 3/4

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		3
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		3
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.0			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.3	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3			33.3%		66.7%		3
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0					100.0%		3
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.0		33.3%			66.7%		3
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.0		33.3%			66.7%		3
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	4.0		33.3%			66.7%		3
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	5.0					66.7%	33.3%	3
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	4.0		33.3%			66.7%		3
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	4.0		33.3%			66.7%		3
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	5.0					100.0%		3
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	5.0					100.0%		3
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	3.7			66.7%		33.3%		3
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.7			66.7%		33.3%		3
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.3			33.3%		66.7%		3
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	5.0					100.0%		3
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	5.0					100.0%		3
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0		33.3%			66.7%		3



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6123: Human Resource Management

Class Number: 15375

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Miller,Marc S

Response Rate: 3/4

H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.0		33.3%			66.7%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM-UY 1133: Creative Coding

Class Number: 16224

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Dubois,Roger Luke

Response Rate: 18/20

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.6%						94.4%		18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.6%						94.4%		18
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%			18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3		5.9%	17.6%	17.6%	58.8%			17
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.3	16.7%	16.7%	22.2%	11.1%	33.3%			18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.2			38.9%	5.6%	55.6%			18
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6				44.4%	55.6%			18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.8	5.9%	5.9%	41.2%		47.1%			17
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0		5.6%	22.2%	38.9%	33.3%			18
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.2		16.7%		27.8%	55.6%			18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6		5.6%		22.2%	72.2%			18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3		11.1%		33.3%	55.6%			18



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-GY 6413: Compiler Design and Construction

Class Number: 15477

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Siegfried,Robert

Response Rate: 53/65

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			53
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			52
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9			1.9%	1.9%	96.2%		53
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8		3.8%		3.8%	92.3%		52
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4	5.7%	3.8%	5.7%	15.1%	69.8%		53
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7			11.8%	2.0%	86.3%		51
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.9			1.9%	7.5%	90.6%		53
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8			1.9%	15.4%	82.7%		52
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.8	1.9%		3.8%	3.8%	90.6%		53
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8		1.9%	1.9%	15.1%	81.1%		53
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9			1.9%	5.8%	92.3%		52
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9			1.9%	9.6%	88.5%		52



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 2211: Statics Laboratory

Class Number: 15889

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Borowiec, Joseph Anthony

Response Rate: 14/14

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		14
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.1		7.1%	21.4%	21.4%	50.0%		14
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6				35.7%	64.3%		14
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.9			7.1%		92.9%		14
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.8			7.1%	7.1%	85.7%		14
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6		7.1%		14.3%	78.6%		14
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4		7.1%	7.1%	28.6%	57.1%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1	7.1%	14.3%		14.3%	64.3%		14
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q27	Ability to use experimental methods and techniques for statics problems	4.5		7.1%	7.1%	14.3%	71.4%		14
Q28	Ability to write technical reports	4.4		7.1%	7.1%	28.6%	57.1%		14
Q29	Ability to analyze and interpret data in statics problems	4.5			14.3%	21.4%	64.3%		14
Q30	Ability to work effectively in teams	4.6			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		14
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		14
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.6		7.1%		14.3%	78.6%		14
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4	7.1%		7.1%	14.3%	71.4%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5	7.1%			14.3%	71.4%	7.1%	14
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as to analyze and interpret data	4.5		7.1%	7.1%	14.3%	71.4%		14
A36	I have enhanced my ability to function on multi-disciplinary teams	4.6		7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	78.6%		14
A39	I have enhanced my ability to communicate effectively	4.6		7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	78.6%		14
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.6		7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	78.6%		14



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 4112: Senior Design I

Class Number: 15807

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Borowiec, Joseph Anthony

Response Rate: 44/47

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		44
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		42
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				4.5%	95.5%		44
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0				4.5%	93.2%	2.3%	44
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.9				9.1%	88.6%	2.3%	44
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.9				11.4%	88.6%		44
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.9				13.6%	86.4%		44
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6			13.6%	13.6%	68.2%	4.5%	44
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	Learned to work in a team environment with responsibility role structure and write a proposal	4.9				13.6%	86.4%		44
Q28	Learned the Product Realization Process	4.9				11.4%	88.6%		44
Q29	Learned to conceptualize, model, and develop a system level preliminary design	4.8			2.3%	13.6%	84.1%		44
Q30	Learned to generate presentations for discussion in an industry review format with participation and to extend engineering knowledge to applied problems	4.8			2.3%	13.6%	84.1%		44
Q31	Understood engineering ethics	4.9				9.3%	88.4%	2.3%	43
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.8			4.5%	15.9%	79.5%		44
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.9				11.4%	88.6%		44
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				6.8%	93.2%		44
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9			2.3%	9.1%	88.6%		44
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	4.9				9.1%	90.9%		44
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	4.8				15.9%	84.1%		44
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	4.8			6.8%	11.4%	81.8%		44



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 4112: Senior Design I

Class Number: 16159

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Borowiec, Joseph Anthony

Response Rate: 36/40

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		36
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		35
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				2.8%	97.2%		36
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.9				5.6%	94.4%		36
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%		36
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.9				8.3%	91.7%		36
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8			5.6%	5.6%	88.9%		36
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5		2.8%	16.7%	8.3%	66.7%	5.6%	36
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	Learned to work in a team environment with responsibility role structure and write a proposal	4.9			2.9%	2.9%	94.3%		35
Q28	Learned the Product Realization Process	4.8	2.9%			11.4%	85.7%		35
Q29	Learned to conceptualize, model, and develop a system level preliminary design	4.9		2.9%		5.7%	91.4%		35
Q30	Learned to generate presentations for discussion in an industry review format with participation and to extend engineering knowledge to applied problems	4.9		2.9%		2.9%	94.3%		35
Q31	Understood engineering ethics	4.9			2.9%	2.9%	94.3%		35
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.8			2.8%	13.9%	80.6%	2.8%	36
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.9			2.8%	8.3%	88.9%		36
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0				2.8%	97.2%		36
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9			2.8%	2.8%	94.4%		36
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	4.9			2.9%	8.6%	88.6%		35
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	4.9			2.9%	5.7%	91.4%		35
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	4.8		2.9%	2.9%	8.6%	85.7%		35

Class Number: 1013A-Mugglin,David

Dept. of Applied Physics
PH-UY 1013: MECHANICS

Section: Lecture

Primary Instructor: Mugglin,David

Response Rate: 57/74

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					93.9%		6.1%	49	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.1%				89.8%		6.1%	49	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				2.1%	12.5%	85.4%	48	
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7				6.3%	14.6%	79.2%	48	
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5				2.0%	12.2%	18.4%	67.3%	49
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6				10.2%	24.5%	65.3%	49	
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6				2.0%	34.7%	63.3%	49	
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4	2.0%				10.2%	30.6%	57.1%	49
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5				4.1%	4.1%	32.7%	59.2%	49
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7				6.1%	20.4%	73.5%	49	
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8				4.1%	14.3%	81.6%	49	
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7				4.1%	22.4%	71.4%	2.0%	49

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Class Number: 2023A-Mugglin,David

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Section: Lecture

Primary Instructor: Mugglin,David

Response Rate: 59/74

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	1.7%				93.2%	5.1%	59
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.2%				93.1%	1.7%	58
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8		1.7%		11.9%	81.4%	5.1%
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5		1.7%	6.8%	23.7%	57.6%	10.2%
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4		1.7%	11.9%	28.8%	54.2%	3.4%
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4		3.6%	12.5%	23.2%	57.1%	3.6%
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4	1.7%	5.1%	1.7%	32.2%	54.2%	5.1%
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4		3.4%	11.9%	23.7%	54.2%	6.8%
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1		10.2%	8.5%	33.9%	40.7%	6.8%
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3		8.6%	6.9%	31.0%	48.3%	5.2%
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6		3.4%		23.7%	66.1%	6.8%
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.1	3.4%	5.1%	11.9%	32.2%	42.4%	5.1%

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 2104: Analytical Mechanics

Class Number: 16114

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Mugglin, David

Response Rate: 10/17

		<i>No</i>				<i>Yes</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					90.0%	10.0%	10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		10
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6		10.0%		10.0%	80.0%	10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0				100.0%		10
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0				100.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0				100.0%		10
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%	10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%	9
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3	10.0%		10.0%	10.0%	70.0%	10
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%	10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%	10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.2		10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	50.0%	10

Class Number: 15683

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 914: Precalculus for Engineers

Section: C2

Primary Instructor: Khachatorian,Daniel

Response Rate: 4/18

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		4	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		4	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.0			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3				75.0%	25.0%		4
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0				100.0%			4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.3	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.5		25.0%		75.0%			4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.0		25.0%	50.0%	25.0%			4
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	4.0			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	1.3	50.0%	25.0%				25.0%	4
Q29	I need more HW problems.	2.5	50.0%			50.0%			4
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	2.5	50.0%			50.0%			4
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0			25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.0			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	2.8	50.0%			25.0%	25.0%		4

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		2
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.5		50.0%			50.0%		2
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.5		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%		2
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	2.5		50.0%	50.0%				2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	3.0			100.0%				2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	2.0	50.0%		50.0%				2
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.5		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%		2

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM-UY 1133: Creative Coding

Class Number: 23816

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Bennett, Katherine

Response Rate: 17/18

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.9%					88.2%	5.9%	17
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.2		11.8%	11.8%	23.5%	52.9%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.8		29.4%	5.9%	23.5%	41.2%		17
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.5	11.8%	17.6%	11.8%	29.4%	29.4%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.3	11.8%	17.6%	23.5%	23.5%	23.5%		17
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.6		29.4%	17.6%	17.6%	35.3%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.8		17.6%	11.8%	41.2%	23.5%	5.9%	17
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.0	11.8%	29.4%	11.8%	29.4%	11.8%	5.9%	17
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5			5.9%	35.3%	58.8%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.3	23.5%	5.9%	17.6%	23.5%	29.4%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.6	5.9%	11.8%	23.5%	23.5%	29.4%	5.9%	17

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		1
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0				100.0%		1
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0				100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.0				100.0%		1
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0				100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	2.0		100.0%				1
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	4.0				100.0%		1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	5.0					100.0%	1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	3.0			100.0%			1
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.0			100.0%			1
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.0			100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%	1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	2.0		100.0%				1



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 2034: Linear Algebra and Differential Equations

Class Number: 25056

Section: D4

Primary Instructor: Zhao,Fang

Response Rate: 2/32

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		2
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0				100.0%		2
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0				100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%	2
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0				100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0				100.0%		2
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	3.5			50.0%	50.0%		2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	3.5			50.0%	50.0%		2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	1.5	50.0%	50.0%				2
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%	2
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%	2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0				100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%	2



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 4214: Finite Element Modeling, Design and Analysis

Class Number: 16110

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Luong,Dung Dinh

Response Rate: 19/21

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.6				36.8%	63.2%		19
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.8				21.1%	78.9%		19
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				15.8%	84.2%		19
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.6				36.8%	63.2%		19
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.7				26.3%	73.7%		19
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7				26.3%	73.7%		19
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6		5.3%		26.3%	68.4%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3		5.3%	10.5%	31.6%	52.6%		19
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	Learned the principles of finite element analysis and the application of boundary conditions and symmetry conditions	4.6			5.3%	31.6%	63.2%		19
Q28	Learned about setting up solution methods for beams and frames and the post-processing procedures to extract information such as stresses and strains.	4.6				42.1%	57.9%		19
Q29	Learned modeling using Ansys.	4.5				47.4%	52.6%		19
Q30	Learned about contemporary issues in the field of FEA.	4.3	5.3%			47.4%	47.4%		19
Q31	Learned about impact of FEA on medicine, design, and aerospace industry.	4.0	5.6%	5.6%	16.7%	22.2%	44.4%	5.6%	18
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5			5.3%	36.8%	57.9%		19
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.4	5.3%		5.3%	31.6%	57.9%		19
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6		5.3%		26.3%	68.4%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6		5.3%		26.3%	68.4%		19
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.3	5.3%			47.4%	47.4%		19
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	4.4			10.5%	36.8%	52.6%		19
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	4.3	5.3%		5.3%	36.8%	52.6%		19
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.3	5.3%		5.3%	42.1%	47.4%		19



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering
ME-UY 4214: Finite Element Modeling, Design and Analysis

Class Number: 16111

Section: BLAB

Primary Instructor: Luong,Dung Dinh

Response Rate: 13/16

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.5		7.7%		30.8%	61.5%		13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.5				46.2%	53.8%		13
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5		7.7%		30.8%	61.5%		13
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.6				38.5%	61.5%		13
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.5			7.7%	30.8%	61.5%		13
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4	7.7%			30.8%	61.5%		13
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6				38.5%	61.5%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5			7.7%	38.5%	53.8%		13
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	Learned the principles of finite element analysis and the application of boundary conditions and symmetry conditions	4.6				38.5%	61.5%		13
Q28	Learned about setting up solution methods for beams and frames and the post-processing procedures to extract information such as stresses and strains.	4.2		7.7%	7.7%	38.5%	46.2%		13
Q29	Learned modeling using Ansys.	4.7				30.8%	69.2%		13
Q30	Learned about contemporary issues in the field of FEA.	4.2		8.3%	8.3%	41.7%	41.7%		12
Q31	Learned about impact of FEA on medicine, design, and aerospace industry.	3.8	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	41.7%	33.3%		12
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5				53.8%	46.2%		13
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.5				53.8%	46.2%		13
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6				38.5%	61.5%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5			7.7%	38.5%	53.8%		13
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.4			7.7%	46.2%	46.2%		13
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	4.5			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	4.0		8.3%	16.7%	41.7%	33.3%		12
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.3			15.4%	38.5%	46.2%		13



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 4214: Finite Element Modeling, Design and Analysis

Class Number: 16112

Section: CLAB

Primary Instructor: Luong,Dung Dinh

Response Rate: 23/25

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.8				21.7%	78.3%		23
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.5		4.3%	4.3%	26.1%	65.2%		23
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				8.7%	91.3%		23
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	5.0				4.3%	95.7%		23
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.7			4.3%	17.4%	78.3%		23
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.9				13.6%	86.4%		22
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7		4.3%		13.0%	82.6%		23
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6		4.3%	8.7%	4.3%	78.3%	4.3%	23
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	Learned the principles of finite element analysis and the application of boundary conditions and symmetry conditions	4.7		4.3%		17.4%	78.3%		23
Q28	Learned about setting up solution methods for beams and frames and the post-processing procedures to extract information such as stresses and strains.	4.7		4.3%		17.4%	78.3%		23
Q29	Learned modeling using Ansys.	4.8				17.4%	82.6%		23
Q30	Learned about contemporary issues in the field of FEA.	4.6	4.3%		4.3%	13.0%	78.3%		23
Q31	Learned about impact of FEA on medicine, design, and aerospace industry.	4.5	4.3%		8.7%	13.0%	73.9%		23
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6		4.3%	4.3%	17.4%	73.9%		23
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.6		4.3%	4.3%	17.4%	73.9%		23
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6		4.3%	8.7%	8.7%	78.3%		23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8				17.4%	78.3%	4.3%	23
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.7			4.3%	17.4%	78.3%		23
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	4.5		4.3%	8.7%	21.7%	65.2%		23
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	4.5	4.3%		4.3%	21.7%	69.6%		23
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.7				26.1%	73.9%		23



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 4214: Finite Element Modeling, Design and Analysis

Class Number: 16308

Section: DLAB

Primary Instructor: Luong,Dung Dinh

Response Rate: 5/9

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		5
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.2		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		5
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.6			20.0%		80.0%		5
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.2			40.0%		60.0%		5
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		5
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.2				80.0%	20.0%		5
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	Learned the principles of finite element analysis and the application of boundary conditions and symmetry conditions	4.6				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q28	Learned about setting up solution methods for beams and frames and the post-processing procedures to extract information such as stresses and strains.	4.4			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
Q29	Learned modeling using Ansys.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q30	Learned about contemporary issues in the field of FEA.	3.8			40.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5
Q31	Learned about impact of FEA on medicine, design, and aerospace industry.	3.8			40.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0			20.0%	60.0%	20.0%		5
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.4			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.2			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.2				80.0%	20.0%		5
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	4.0			20.0%	60.0%	20.0%		5
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	3.6			60.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.6				40.0%	60.0%		5

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Class Number: 15941

Section: B3RC

Primary Instructor: Mananga,Eugene S

Response Rate: 12/19

X. The Recitation Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		12
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	4.2		8.3%	25.0%	8.3%	58.3%		12
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.5			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		12
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	4.4	8.3%		8.3%	8.3%	75.0%		12



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMICS

Class Number: 15985

Section: A2RC

Primary Instructor: Mananga,Eugene S

Response Rate: 19/24

X. The Recitation Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6		5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	70.6%	5.9%	17
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	4.5		5.9%	5.9%	17.6%	64.7%	5.9%	17
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.5		5.9%	5.9%	17.6%	70.6%		17
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	4.4		5.9%	11.8%	23.5%	58.8%		17

Class Number: 16243

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Section: D

Primary Instructor: Mananga,Eugene S

Response Rate: 26/26

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.8				23.1%	76.9%		26
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		26
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7			3.8%	23.1%	69.2%	3.8%	26
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.6		3.8%		23.1%	69.2%	3.8%	26
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.3		3.8%	7.7%	42.3%	46.2%		26
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6			3.8%	30.8%	65.4%		26
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.2		3.8%	15.4%	34.6%	46.2%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3			15.4%	34.6%	50.0%		26
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4		3.8%	11.5%	26.9%	53.8%	3.8%	26
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.6			8.0%	24.0%	68.0%		25
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3		4.0%	12.0%	36.0%	48.0%		25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.8	3.8%	11.5%	23.1%	19.2%	42.3%		26
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	4.3			12.0%	48.0%	40.0%		25
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	4.2			24.0%	28.0%	48.0%		25

X. The Recitation Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				10.5%	89.5%		19
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	4.6			10.5%	15.8%	73.7%		19
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.8				21.1%	78.9%		19
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	4.8				15.8%	84.2%		19

X. The Recitation Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		18
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	4.6			11.1%	16.7%	72.2%		18
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.3		5.6%	5.6%	44.4%	44.4%		18
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	4.4		5.6%		44.4%	50.0%		18

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

PS-UY 3164: HEALTH PSYCHOLOGY

Class Number: 20890

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Lytle,Ashley Elizabeth

Response Rate: 27/29

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			27
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			26
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8			3.7%	11.1%	81.5%	3.7%	27
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.9				11.5%	88.5%		26
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.9				7.4%	92.6%		27
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8			3.8%	15.4%	76.9%	3.8%	26
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.7			7.4%	14.8%	77.8%		27
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.9				14.8%	85.2%		27
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%		27
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8			3.8%	15.4%	80.8%		26

Class Number: 19685

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Marks,Sylvia

Response Rate: 7/13

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		7	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		7	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		7
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.1			14.3%	57.1%	28.6%		7
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3		14.3%	14.3%		71.4%		7
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	This course has taught you techniques for communicating your ideas more effectively in writing.	4.4			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
Q28	This course has taught you how to recognize and improve or correct weaknesses and errors in your writing.	4.6				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q29	This course has taught you to be a more effective reader of texts.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q30	This course used humanities or social science perspectives to examine issues tied to science or technology.	4.1		14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	57.1%		7
Q31	This course helped to improve my written communication skills.	4.4				57.1%	42.9%		7
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.7		14.3%	14.3%	57.1%	14.3%		7
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	4.3			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
A25	I have enhanced my ability to articulate ideas in a clear and concise fashion.	4.3			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	4.1			28.6%	28.6%	42.9%		7
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	4.3			28.6%	14.3%	57.1%		7



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

EN-UY 3194W: ETHICAL QUESTIONS IN LITERATURE

Class Number: 16273

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Marks, Sylvia

Response Rate: 18/21

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			18
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.9				5.6%	94.4%		18
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.1	5.6%	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	61.1%		18
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5			11.1%	27.8%	61.1%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5			22.2%	5.6%	66.7%	5.6%	18
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	This course taught you techniques for communicating your ideas more effectively in writing.	4.2			17.6%	47.1%	35.3%		17
Q28	This course taught you how to recognize and improve or correct weaknesses and errors in your writing.	4.4			5.9%	52.9%	41.2%		17
Q29	This course taught you to be a more effective reader of texts.	4.5				52.9%	47.1%		17
Q30	This course used humanities or social science perspectives to examine issues tied to science or technology.	3.9		11.8%	23.5%	29.4%	35.3%		17
Q31	This course helped you understand the ethical choices demanded of scientists.	3.9	5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	41.2%	29.4%	5.9%	17
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.8		16.7%	11.1%	44.4%	27.8%		18
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4			11.1%	38.9%	50.0%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.3	5.6%		11.1%	27.8%	55.6%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.1	5.6%		22.2%	27.8%	44.4%		18
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	4.1		5.9%	11.8%	47.1%	35.3%		17
A25	I have enhanced my ability to articulate ideas in a clear and concise fashion.	4.2			11.8%	52.9%	35.3%		17
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	4.2			11.8%	52.9%	35.3%		17
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	4.2			11.8%	52.9%	35.3%		17

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 6083: Quantitative Methods in Finance

Class Number: 15635

Section: I3

Primary Instructor: Papanicolaou, Andrew

Response Rate: 8/21

		<i>No</i>				<i>Yes</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
A. Procedures and Policies							<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		8
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5	12.5%				87.5%	8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.1	12.5%		12.5%	12.5%	62.5%	8
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3	12.5%			25.0%	62.5%	8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4	12.5%			12.5%	75.0%	8
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4	12.5%			12.5%	75.0%	8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.9	12.5%	12.5%		25.0%	50.0%	8
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1	12.5%			37.5%	50.0%	8
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4	12.5%			12.5%	75.0%	8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.4	12.5%			12.5%	75.0%	8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.4	12.5%			12.5%	75.0%	8

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 6311: Dynamic Assets and Options Pricing

Class Number: 22824

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Papanicolaou, Andrew

Response Rate: 2/6

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		2
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		2
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%		2
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0					100.0%		2
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.5		50.0%			50.0%		2
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0					100.0%		2

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society
DM-UY 1123: Visual Foundation Studio

Class Number: 20949

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Seegers, Jesse T

Response Rate: 17/17

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		6.3%			93.8%			16
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5			5.9%	35.3%	58.8%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.4		5.9%	5.9%	35.3%	52.9%		17
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6			5.9%	29.4%	64.7%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4			17.6%	23.5%	58.8%		17
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6				35.3%	64.7%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.9			52.9%	5.9%	41.2%		17
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1		5.9%	11.8%	47.1%	35.3%		17
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8				23.5%	70.6%	5.9%	17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6				41.2%	58.8%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5			5.9%	35.3%	58.8%		17



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL-GY 6453: ADVANCES IN RECONFIGURABLE SYSTEMS

Class Number: 21068

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Stern, Richard

Response Rate: 10/17

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning							100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	10.0%						90.0%		10
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.2	10.0%			40.0%	50.0%			10
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.2	10.0%			30.0%	50.0%	10.0%		10
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.7	20.0%			50.0%	30.0%			10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.1	10.0%			50.0%	40.0%			10
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.1	10.0%			50.0%	40.0%			10
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.8	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	40.0%			10
E1. Online Course Module		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.2	10.0%			40.0%	50.0%			10
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	4.3	10.0%			30.0%	60.0%			10
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.3	10.0%			30.0%	60.0%			10
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	4.2	10.0%		10.0%	20.0%	60.0%			10
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	3.9	10.0%	10.0%		40.0%	40.0%			10
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%			10
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.3	10.0%			30.0%	60.0%			10
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	3.6			60.0%	20.0%	20.0%			10
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.6			60.0%	20.0%	20.0%			10
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.7			60.0%	10.0%	30.0%			10
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	3.9		10.0%	30.0%	10.0%	40.0%	10.0%		10
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	3.4		10.0%	50.0%	10.0%	20.0%	10.0%		10
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3	10.0%			30.0%	60.0%			10
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3	10.0%			30.0%	60.0%			10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.3	10.0%			30.0%	60.0%			10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.1	10.0%	10.0%		20.0%	60.0%			10



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6553: Telecommunications Management I

Class Number: 15282

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Bhattacharjee, Tushar

Response Rate: 8/10

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	25.0%						75.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.							100.0%		8
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.4				62.5%	37.5%			8
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6				37.5%	62.5%			8
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%			8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.9				12.5%	87.5%			8
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%			8
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3	12.5%			25.0%	62.5%			8
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%			7
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%			7
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%			7
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%			7
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6				37.5%	62.5%			8
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.9				12.5%	87.5%			8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				12.5%	87.5%			8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0					100.0%			8

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management
MG-GY 8673: Technology Strategy

Class Number: 14925

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Bonilla, JeanCarlo

Response Rate: 24/25

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.2%				95.8%		24
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				4.2%	95.8%	24
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.9				8.3%	91.7%	24
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8			4.2%	12.5%	83.3%	24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.9				12.5%	87.5%	24
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0				4.2%	95.8%	24
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%	24
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.8				20.8%	79.2%	24
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.8			4.2%	16.7%	79.2%	24
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.8				20.8%	79.2%	24
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%	24
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.7			8.3%	16.7%	75.0%	24
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%	24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0				4.2%	95.8%	24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0				4.2%	95.8%	24

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 9753: SELECTED TOPICS IN MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 25065

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Bonilla, JeanCarlo

Response Rate: 31/40

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			31
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			31
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8		3.2%		12.9%	83.9%		31
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7		3.2%	6.5%	6.5%	77.4%	6.5%	31
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.2		9.7%	3.2%	41.9%	45.2%		31
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7		3.2%	3.2%	12.9%	80.6%		31
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8				22.6%	77.4%		31
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5	3.2%	3.2%		22.6%	71.0%		31
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.8				24.1%	75.9%		29
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.4		3.4%		44.8%	51.7%		29
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.6		3.4%	3.4%	20.7%	72.4%		29
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.6		6.9%		20.7%	72.4%		29
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6			3.2%	32.3%	61.3%	3.2%	31
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8		3.2%		6.5%	90.3%		31
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				12.9%	87.1%		31
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9				6.5%	93.5%		31

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management
MG-UY 2204: Financial Accounting

Class Number: 15829

Section: A

Primary Instructor: D'Emic, Michael T

Response Rate: 39/43

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					94.9%	5.1%	39	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					94.9%	5.1%	39	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8			2.6%	15.4%	74.4%	7.7%	39
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.1	2.6%	5.1%	20.5%	17.9%	46.2%	7.7%	39
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5			5.1%	33.3%	53.8%	7.7%	39
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3	2.6%	5.1%	7.7%	25.6%	51.3%	7.7%	39
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.2	2.6%	2.6%	10.3%	38.5%	38.5%	7.7%	39
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3	2.6%	2.6%	12.8%	25.6%	48.7%	7.7%	39
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	3.5	5.1%	7.7%	30.8%	35.9%	15.4%	5.1%	39
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.0		7.7%	7.7%	59.0%	20.5%	5.1%	39
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	3.8	7.7%	5.1%	12.8%	46.2%	23.1%	5.1%	39
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.2		2.6%	15.4%	41.0%	35.9%	5.1%	39
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1		7.7%	2.6%	59.0%	23.1%	7.7%	39
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3	2.6%	2.6%	5.1%	35.9%	46.2%	7.7%	39
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.1	2.6%	5.1%	15.4%	28.2%	41.0%	7.7%	39
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.1	2.6%	5.1%	10.3%	38.5%	35.9%	7.7%	39

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management
MG-UY 3204: Introduction to Finance

Class Number: 15833

Section: A

Primary Instructor: D'Emic, Michael T

Response Rate: 16/29

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		16
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9			6.3%		93.8%		16
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6		6.3%	6.3%	6.3%	81.3%		16
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6		6.3%	6.3%	6.3%	81.3%		16
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5		6.3%		31.3%	62.5%		16
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.2		12.5%	12.5%	18.8%	56.3%		16
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.3		6.3%	18.8%	18.8%	56.3%		16
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.1		12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	50.0%		16
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.4		6.3%		37.5%	56.3%		16
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.2		12.5%	12.5%	18.8%	56.3%		16
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4		6.3%	6.3%	31.3%	56.3%		16
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6			6.3%	31.3%	62.5%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.3	6.3%	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	62.5%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3	6.3%			43.8%	50.0%		16

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management
MG-UY 4214: Financial Strategy

Class Number: 15839

Section: I

Primary Instructor: D'Emic, Michael T

Response Rate: 10/16

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		10	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		10	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				100.0%			10
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0				100.0%			10
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.9				10.0%	90.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%		10
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0					100.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		10
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	5.0					100.0%		10
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	5.0					100.0%		10
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	5.0					100.0%		10
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	5.0					100.0%		10
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.9				10.0%	90.0%		10
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0					100.0%		10



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE-UY 4144: Introduction to Embedded Systems Design

Class Number: 15787

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Krishnamurthy,Prashanth

Response Rate: 25/55

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.0%				96.0%		25	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.0%				96.0%		25	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8			4.0%	8.0%	88.0%		25
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8			4.0%	12.0%	84.0%		25
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6	4.0%			28.0%	68.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%	84.0%		25
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6	4.0%			20.0%	76.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1	8.0%	4.0%	16.0%	12.0%	60.0%		25
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%	32.0%	56.0%		25
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7		4.0%		16.0%	80.0%		25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6	4.0%			20.0%	76.0%		25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6	4.0%		4.0%	12.0%	80.0%		25
H3. TA Evaluation		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	4.0	12.5%	8.3%	4.2%	20.8%	54.2%		24
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	4.0	8.3%	12.5%	4.2%	16.7%	58.3%		24
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	4.2	8.3%	4.2%	4.2%	29.2%	54.2%		24

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-GY 9033: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Class Number: 14940

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Bickford,Jeffrey

Response Rate: 24/33

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		24
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				12.5%	87.5%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.9				12.5%	87.5%		24
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8		4.2%		12.5%	83.3%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7		8.3%	4.2%		87.5%		24
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7			4.2%	20.8%	75.0%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		24
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6			4.2%	29.2%	66.7%		24
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.9				12.5%	87.5%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				12.5%	87.5%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9				8.3%	91.7%		24



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-UY 4513: Construction Project Administration

Class Number: 15729

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Pennella, Ronald J.

Response Rate: 24/29

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		24
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8			4.2%	8.3%	87.5%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6			12.5%	16.7%	70.8%		24
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3	4.2%		4.2%	50.0%	41.7%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.9	4.2%	8.3%	8.3%	54.2%	25.0%		24
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.9	4.2%	8.3%	12.5%	41.7%	33.3%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0	4.2%		25.0%	37.5%	33.3%		24
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I can examine the roles of the project participants in executing a construction project.	4.4			13.0%	34.8%	52.2%		23
Q28	I understand the use of computer based project administration techniques.	4.0		8.3%	16.7%	37.5%	33.3%	4.2%	24
Q29	I acquired knowledge focusing on delegating administrative duties and responsibilities.	4.3		4.2%	12.5%	37.5%	45.8%		24
Q30	I acquired knowledge of managing and coordinating the physical work and administrative control of project information and records.	4.2		4.5%	13.6%	40.9%	40.9%		22
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.2			21.7%	39.1%	39.1%		23
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.2	8.3%		4.2%	37.5%	50.0%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.2	4.2%	4.2%	4.2%	41.7%	45.8%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0	4.2%	4.2%	12.5%	41.7%	37.5%		24
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.0	4.2%	4.2%	16.7%	37.5%	33.3%	4.2%	24
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	4.0	4.3%		26.1%	34.8%	34.8%		23
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	4.2	4.2%		16.7%	33.3%	45.8%		24

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM-GY 9103: SPECIAL TOPICS IN DIGITAL MEDIA

Class Number: 15196

Section: E

Primary Instructor: Karwas,Dana L

Response Rate: 16/17

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		16
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%	16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.9				12.5%	87.5%	16
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4			12.5%	31.3%	56.3%	16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.9				6.3%	93.8%	16
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.9				12.5%	87.5%	16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.9				12.5%	87.5%	16
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.8				18.8%	81.3%	16
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%	16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				6.3%	93.8%	16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9				12.5%	87.5%	16

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM-GY 9963: MS Pre-Thesis in Digital Media: Research Methods

Class Number: 15449

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Karwas,Dana L

Response Rate: 15/18

		No			Yes			Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				100.0%				15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				100.0%				14
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				13.3%	86.7%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7			6.7%	13.3%	80.0%		15
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8			6.7%	6.7%	86.7%		15
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7		6.7%		6.7%	86.7%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5			20.0%	6.7%	66.7%	6.7%	15
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		15
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8		6.7%			93.3%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7		6.7%		6.7%	86.7%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5	6.7%		13.3%		80.0%		15

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-GY 6063: Software Engineering I

Class Number: 14829

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Strauss, Fred J.

Response Rate: 35/50

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.7%						94.3%		35
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.9%						97.1%		35
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7		2.9%		17.1%	80.0%			35
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7			2.9%	25.7%	71.4%			35
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5	2.9%		5.7%	28.6%	62.9%			35
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7		2.9%		20.0%	77.1%			35
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4		2.9%	2.9%	44.1%	50.0%			34
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3		5.7%	8.6%	31.4%	54.3%			35
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4		5.7%	5.7%	28.6%	60.0%			35
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5		2.9%		45.7%	51.4%			35
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6		2.9%		31.4%	65.7%			35
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.4		2.9%	5.7%	40.0%	51.4%			35

Class Number: 25435

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-GY 6843: Computer Networking

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Strauss,Fred J.

Response Rate: 3/3

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		3
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		3
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%		3
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0					100.0%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0					100.0%		3
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	5.0					100.0%		3
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	5.0					100.0%		3
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	5.0					100.0%		3
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.3			33.3%		66.7%		3
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	4.0			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	5.0					100.0%		3
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.0			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	4.3				66.7%	33.3%		3
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	4.0				100.0%			3
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.3				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3				66.7%	33.3%		3

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-UY 4513: Software Engineering

Class Number: 15970

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Strauss, Fred J.

Response Rate: 33/43

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			33
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		3.1%			93.8%	3.1%		32
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8		3.0%	3.0%	9.1%	84.8%		33
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6		6.3%	3.1%	15.6%	75.0%		32
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6				36.4%	63.6%		33
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6			6.1%	30.3%	63.6%		33
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3	3.0%	3.0%	9.1%	27.3%	57.6%		33
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4			9.1%	42.4%	48.5%		33
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0		12.5%	15.6%	28.1%	43.8%		32
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.2	3.0%	3.0%	15.2%	24.2%	54.5%		33
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.3		3.0%	18.2%	24.2%	54.5%		33
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.1	3.0%	9.1%	12.1%	27.3%	48.5%		33
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A23	I have enhanced my ability to bring in outside experiences to provide solutions to problems	3.8	10.0%	10.0%	6.7%	36.7%	36.7%		30
A29	I have enhanced my ability to understand how engineering solutions impact society	4.0	10.0%	3.3%	10.0%	33.3%	43.3%		30
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	3.9	10.0%	3.3%	10.0%	36.7%	40.0%		30

Class Number: 15704

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Strauss,Fred J.

Response Rate: 7/14

A. Procedures and Policies		No			Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	14.3%			85.7%			7	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	14.3%			85.7%			7	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7			14.3%		85.7%		7
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6				42.9%	57.1%		7
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0			42.9%		42.9%	14.3%	7
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3			28.6%	14.3%	57.1%		7
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.1			14.3%	57.1%	28.6%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7			14.3%		85.7%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	4.4			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
A12	I have enhanced my ability to design a digital system that meets the desired speed, space and cost constraints.	4.4			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
A18	I have enhanced my ability to use modern software tools.	4.4			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	4.3			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.3			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7

Class Number: 16367

Dept. of Mathematics
MA-UY 2314: Discrete Mathematics

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Morarescu,Paul

Response Rate: 47/100

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	6.4%				93.6%		47	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.1%				86.4%	4.5%	44	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.4		2.1%	10.6%	34.0%	48.9%	4.3%	47
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.7	8.5%	6.4%	17.0%	31.9%	27.7%	8.5%	47
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.7	4.3%	12.8%	10.6%	48.9%	19.1%	4.3%	47
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.7	6.4%	8.5%	12.8%	46.8%	21.3%	4.3%	47
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.2	10.9%	17.4%	21.7%	30.4%	15.2%	4.3%	46
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.9	6.5%	6.5%	15.2%	30.4%	39.1%	2.2%	46
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	3.2	4.3%	19.6%	41.3%	8.7%	17.4%	8.7%	46
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	2.5	17.4%	26.1%	41.3%	4.3%	6.5%	4.3%	46
Q29	I need more HW problems.	2.8	10.9%	26.1%	37.0%	13.0%	8.7%	4.3%	46
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.1	13.0%	13.0%	30.4%	28.3%	8.7%	6.5%	46
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.5	4.3%	23.9%	10.9%	32.6%	26.1%	2.2%	46
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.6	4.3%	15.2%	21.7%	30.4%	26.1%	2.2%	46
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.2	10.9%	17.4%	21.7%	32.6%	13.0%	4.3%	46
X. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.4			10.9%	39.1%	47.8%	2.2%	46
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	4.1		6.5%	13.0%	43.5%	32.6%	4.3%	46
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	3.7	2.2%	8.7%	28.3%	37.0%	21.7%	2.2%	46
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	3.5	4.3%	13.0%	30.4%	26.1%	23.9%	2.2%	46



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CM-UY 2211: ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY I

Class Number: 15887

Section: A2LB

Primary Instructor: Hagver, Rena

Response Rate: 12/12

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.9				8.3%	91.7%		12
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.9				8.3%	91.7%		12
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.5		8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	75.0%		12
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.5		8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	75.0%		12
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		12
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		12
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.6			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.6			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.1	8.3%		8.3%	41.7%	41.7%		12
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.1	8.3%		8.3%	41.7%	41.7%		12
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.2		50.0%	8.3%	16.7%	25.0%		12
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.2		50.0%	8.3%	16.7%	25.0%		12
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6				41.7%	58.3%		12
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6				41.7%	58.3%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		12
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3		8.3%	8.3%	25.0%	58.3%		12
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3		8.3%	8.3%	25.0%	58.3%		12
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.5			8.3%	33.3%	58.3%		12
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.5			8.3%	33.3%	58.3%		12
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6				41.7%	58.3%		12
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6				41.7%	58.3%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3			8.3%	58.3%	33.3%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3			8.3%	58.3%	33.3%		12
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.1		9.1%	18.2%	27.3%	45.5%		11
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.1		9.1%	18.2%	27.3%	45.5%		11
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	4.4		9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	63.6%		11

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CM-UY 2211: ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY I

Class Number: 15887

Section: A2LB

Primary Instructor: Hagver, Rena

Response Rate: 12/12

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	4.4		9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	63.6%		11
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	3.9		9.1%	27.3%	27.3%	36.4%		11
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	3.9		9.1%	27.3%	27.3%	36.4%		11



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CM-UY 2211: ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY I

Class Number: 15888

Section: A1LB

Primary Instructor: Hagver, Rena

Response Rate: 11/12

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	5.0					100.0%		11
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	5.0					100.0%		11
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.3				72.7%	27.3%		11
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.3				72.7%	27.3%		11
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		11
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		11
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	5.0					100.0%		11
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	5.0					100.0%		11
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.5				45.5%	54.5%		11
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.5				45.5%	54.5%		11
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0		18.2%		45.5%	36.4%		11
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0		18.2%		45.5%	36.4%		11
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6				36.4%	63.6%		11
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6				36.4%	63.6%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.9				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.9				9.1%	90.9%		11
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5				54.5%	45.5%		11
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5				54.5%	45.5%		11
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.9				9.1%	90.9%		11
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.9				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5				45.5%	54.5%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5				45.5%	54.5%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6				36.4%	63.6%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6				36.4%	63.6%		11
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.6				36.4%	63.6%		11
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.6				36.4%	63.6%		11
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	4.9				9.1%	90.9%		11

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CM-UY 2211: ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY I

Class Number: 15888

Section: A1LB

Primary Instructor: Hagver, Rena

Response Rate: 11/12

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	4.9				9.1%	90.9%		11
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	4.5				54.5%	45.5%		11
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	4.5				54.5%	45.5%		11



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CM-UY 2211: ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY I

Class Number: 19988

Section: A4LB

Primary Instructor: Hagver, Rena

Response Rate: 4/7

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	5.0					100.0%		4
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	5.0					100.0%		4
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.0		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.0		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		4
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		4
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	5.0					100.0%		4
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	5.0					100.0%		4
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%		4
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%		4
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0					100.0%		4
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0					100.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	5.0					100.0%		4
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	5.0					100.0%		4
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		4
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		4
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%		4
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	5.0					100.0%		4
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	5.0					100.0%		4
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	5.0					100.0%		4

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CM-UY 2211: ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY I

Class Number: 19988

Section: A4LB

Primary Instructor: Hagver, Rena

Response Rate: 4/7

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	5.0					100.0%		4
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	5.0					100.0%		4
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	5.0					100.0%		4



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
BMS-UY 1004: Introduction to Cell and Molecular Biology

Class Number: 16099

Section: A2LB

Primary Instructor: Li,Zairong

Response Rate: 12/13

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.8		8.3%			91.7%		12
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		12
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					91.7%	8.3%	12
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	5.0					91.7%	8.3%	12
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.5		8.3%		16.7%	66.7%	8.3%	12
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5			8.3%	33.3%	58.3%		12
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6	8.3%				83.3%	8.3%	12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		12
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3		8.3%	8.3%	33.3%	50.0%		12
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	5.0					91.7%	8.3%	12
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8			8.3%	8.3%	83.3%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5			8.3%	25.0%	58.3%	8.3%	12
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.6			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	4.6			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	4.4			16.7%	25.0%	58.3%		12

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
BMS-UY 1004: Introduction to Cell and Molecular Biology

Class Number: 16102

Section: B2LB

Primary Instructor: Li,Zairong

Response Rate: 12/15

		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.8		8.3%			91.7%		12
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		12
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				8.3%	91.7%		12
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	5.0					100.0%		12
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.4		8.3%		33.3%	58.3%		12
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3	8.3%	8.3%		16.7%	66.7%		12
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7			8.3%	16.7%	75.0%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3		16.7%		25.0%	58.3%		12
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.9				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7			8.3%	16.7%	75.0%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6		8.3%		16.7%	75.0%		12
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.4		10.0%		30.0%	60.0%		10
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	4.7				30.0%	70.0%		10
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	4.4	10.0%			20.0%	70.0%		10

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS-UY 2512: Biostatistics

Class Number: 22835

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Li,Zairong

Response Rate: 17/17

		<i>No</i>					<i>Yes</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		17
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8			5.9%	11.8%	82.4%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8		5.9%		5.9%	88.2%		17
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8			5.9%	11.8%	82.4%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8			5.9%	5.9%	88.2%		17
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4		11.8%		29.4%	58.8%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.9	5.9%		29.4%	29.4%	35.3%		17
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1		11.8%	11.8%	29.4%	47.1%		17
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3		11.8%	5.9%	23.5%	58.8%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5		11.8%	5.9%	5.9%	76.5%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.2	5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	29.4%	52.9%		17



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6283: Web-Based Human Resource Management

Class Number: 15378

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Reilly, John

Response Rate: 2/4

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			2
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	2.5		50.0%	50.0%				2
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	2.5		50.0%	50.0%				2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0					100.0%		2
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	5.0					100.0%		2
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.0				100.0%			2
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.0				100.0%			2
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.0				100.0%			2
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	2.5		50.0%	50.0%				2
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%		2
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	4.0				100.0%			2
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%		2
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%		2
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%		2
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%		2
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.0		50.0%		50.0%			2



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6283: Web-Based Human Resource Management

Class Number: 15378

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Reilly, John

Response Rate: 2/4

H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2

Class Number: 15643

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-GY 9163: Application Security

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Lum,Kelly A

Response Rate: 19/25

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		19	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		19	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				15.8%	84.2%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7			5.3%	15.8%	78.9%		19
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.8	5.3%	21.1%		31.6%	42.1%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8				15.8%	84.2%		19
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5		5.3%	5.3%	21.1%	68.4%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.9	5.3%	15.8%	10.5%	15.8%	52.6%		19
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.6		5.3%		26.3%	68.4%		19
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	4.7				26.3%	73.7%		19
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.7				27.8%	72.2%		18
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		18
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	4.5		11.1%		16.7%	72.2%		18
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.3		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		18
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.7		5.6%		16.7%	77.8%		18
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	3.8			55.6%	11.1%	33.3%		18
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.0			44.4%	11.1%	44.4%		18
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.8			55.6%	5.6%	38.9%		18
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	4.7			5.6%	16.7%	72.2%	5.6%	18
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	4.5		5.6%	11.1%	11.1%	72.2%		18
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5			5.3%	36.8%	57.9%		19
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6		5.6%		27.8%	66.7%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9				11.8%	88.2%		17



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 3223: Dynamics

Class Number: 16035

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Park, Kee Moon

Response Rate: 21/31

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						95.2%	4.8%	21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		20
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3			14.3%	38.1%	47.6%		21
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7			4.8%	19.0%	76.2%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8				19.0%	81.0%		21
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6		4.8%	4.8%	19.0%	71.4%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4		4.8%	9.5%	23.8%	61.9%		21
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	Learned kinematics of particles using rectilinear and curvilinear coordinates and dynamics of particles	4.7				30.0%	70.0%		20
Q28	Learned concepts such as: Newton's laws, work, energy, impulse, momentum, conservative force fields, and impact	4.9				10.0%	90.0%		20
Q29	Learned modeling of systems of particles	4.7				35.0%	65.0%		20
Q30	Learned kinematics of translation and rotation and planar motion of rigid bodies	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		20
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5		4.8%		33.3%	61.9%		21
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6	4.8%			23.8%	71.4%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		21
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		20
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	4.8				15.0%	85.0%		20
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.6		5.0%	5.0%	20.0%	70.0%		20

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering
EL-GY 6023: Wireless Communications

Class Number: 15505

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Rangan,Sundeeep

Response Rate: 12/17

		<i>No</i>			<i>Yes</i>			<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				100.0%				12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				100.0%				12
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.9				8.3%	91.7%		12
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%		12
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.9				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7				27.3%	72.7%		11
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		12
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		12

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-GY 6313: INFORMATION VISUALIZATION

Class Number: 24720

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Bertini, Enrico

Response Rate: 26/29

		<i>No</i>			<i>Yes</i>			<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				100.0%				26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				100.0%				26
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				7.7%	92.3%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		26
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4		7.7%	7.7%	23.1%	61.5%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8			3.8%	7.7%	88.5%		26
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3		11.5%	3.8%	30.8%	53.8%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6		8.0%	4.0%	8.0%	80.0%		25
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6			7.7%	26.9%	65.4%		26
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8			3.8%	15.4%	80.8%		26
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				7.7%	92.3%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7		3.8%		19.2%	76.9%		26



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 1324: Integrated Calculus I for Engineers

Class Number: 15686

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Ulman,Hanna

Response Rate: 5/32

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	20.0%						80.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	40.0%						60.0%		5
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%			4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%			4
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.2		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%			5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.8		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%			5
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.8		20.0%		40.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.5	20.0%			40.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	2.8	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		20.0%			5
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	1.5	60.0%		20.0%				20.0%	5
Q29	I need more HW problems.	1.4	80.0%		20.0%					5
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0			20.0%	60.0%	20.0%			5
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	2.8	40.0%		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%			5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%			5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.5	20.0%			40.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		2
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.0				100.0%			2
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0				100.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0				100.0%			2
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	5.0					100.0%		2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	2.5		50.0%	50.0%				2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	3.0			100.0%				2
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0				50.0%		50.0%	2
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.5		50.0%			50.0%		2



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 6083: Quantitative Methods in Finance

Class Number: 14905

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Ivan,Mirela M

Response Rate: 5/12

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	20.0%					80.0%		5
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.6	20.0%	20.0%			60.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.6	20.0%	20.0%			60.0%		5
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.8		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.2	40.0%			20.0%	40.0%		5
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	2.8	40.0%	20.0%			40.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.2	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		40.0%		5
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	2.8	40.0%	20.0%			40.0%		5
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.4	20.0%	20.0%		20.0%	40.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.2	40.0%			20.0%	40.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.4	20.0%	20.0%		20.0%	40.0%		5

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CM-GY 5021: Information Sources for the Chemical Sciences

Class Number: 14879

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Green, Mark M

Response Rate: 15/19

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	26.7%				66.7%	6.7%	15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	26.7%				66.7%	6.7%	15
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5			13.3%	26.7%	60.0%	15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.4			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%	15
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3			20.0%	26.7%	53.3%	15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3			20.0%	33.3%	46.7%	15
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4		6.7%	6.7%	20.0%	60.0%	15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0			40.0%	13.3%	40.0%	15
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6			13.3%	13.3%	73.3%	15
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%	15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%	15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%	15



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM-UY 2213: ORGANIC CHEMISTRY I

Class Number: 15966

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Green, Mark M

Response Rate: 53/126

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	28.3%				69.8%	1.9%	53	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	44.2%				48.1%	7.7%	52	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.4	1.9%	3.8%	5.7%	28.3%	58.5%	1.9%	53
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.0	3.8%	11.3%	11.3%	28.3%	43.4%	1.9%	53
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0	1.9%	5.7%	17.0%	41.5%	32.1%	1.9%	53
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.3	9.8%	19.6%	21.6%	27.5%	19.6%	2.0%	51
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.6	7.5%	13.2%	20.8%	28.3%	28.3%	1.9%	53
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0	5.7%	7.5%	13.2%	22.6%	49.1%	1.9%	53
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.9		9.4%	18.9%	35.8%	32.1%	3.8%	53
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.6	13.2%	15.1%	5.7%	30.2%	35.8%		53
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.7	11.3%	13.2%	17.0%	15.1%	43.4%		53
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.5	17.0%	11.3%	15.1%	20.8%	35.8%		53
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	3.6	9.8%	11.8%	19.6%	23.5%	35.3%		51

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CM-UY 2223: ORGANIC CHEMISTRY II

Class Number: 16103

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Green, Mark M

Response Rate: 9/11

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	22.2%						77.8%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	22.2%						77.8%		9
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%			9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.2			33.3%	11.1%	55.6%			9
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.1		11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	44.4%			9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4			11.1%	33.3%	44.4%	11.1%		9
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0		12.5%	25.0%	12.5%	50.0%			8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0		12.5%	25.0%	12.5%	50.0%			8
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0		11.1%		66.7%	22.2%			9
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3			22.2%	22.2%	55.6%			9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.9		11.1%	33.3%	11.1%	44.4%			9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.1			33.3%	22.2%	44.4%			9
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.3			11.1%	44.4%	44.4%			9

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Class Number: 16124

General Studies
GS-UY 105: MATH SEMINAR

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Lellis, Paulo

Response Rate: 5/49

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	60.0%				20.0%	20.0%	5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	40.0%				40.0%	20.0%	5
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.5	20.0%		20.0%		40.0%	20.0%
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.5	20.0%		20.0%		40.0%	20.0%
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.5	20.0%		20.0%		40.0%	20.0%
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.5	20.0%		20.0%		40.0%	20.0%
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.5	20.0%		20.0%		40.0%	20.0%
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.3	20.0%		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.3	20.0%		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.5	20.0%		20.0%		40.0%	20.0%
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.5	20.0%		20.0%		40.0%	20.0%
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.5	20.0%		20.0%		40.0%	20.0%

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6013: ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOR

Class Number: 14974

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Russo,Paul

Response Rate: 32/34

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	12.5%				87.5%			32
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	18.8%				81.3%			32
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5			15.6%	15.6%	68.8%		32
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5		6.5%	9.7%	16.1%	67.7%		31
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.8	6.3%	9.4%	21.9%	18.8%	43.8%		32
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.0	3.1%	6.3%	18.8%	28.1%	43.8%		32
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4		3.1%	6.3%	34.4%	56.3%		32
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4	3.1%		9.4%	31.3%	56.3%		32
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.1	3.3%	6.7%	10.0%	36.7%	43.3%		30
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.3		3.3%	16.7%	23.3%	56.7%		30
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.2	3.3%	3.3%	16.7%	20.0%	56.7%		30
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.4		3.3%	10.0%	30.0%	56.7%		30
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4			18.8%	21.9%	59.4%		32
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3	3.1%	6.3%	9.4%	21.9%	59.4%		32
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.2	6.3%	9.4%	3.1%	21.9%	59.4%		32
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.4		12.5%	6.3%	12.5%	65.6%	3.1%	32

Class Number: 14838

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS-GY 6613: Artificial Intelligence I

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Togelius, Julian

Response Rate: 44/60

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	9.1%				90.9%			44
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.0%				93.0%			43
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6			2.3%	36.4%	59.1%	2.3%	44
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3		9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	52.3%	2.3%	44
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.9		2.3%	36.4%	25.0%	29.5%	6.8%	44
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.9	2.3%	9.1%	25.0%	22.7%	38.6%	2.3%	44
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.1	2.3%	6.8%	9.1%	38.6%	43.2%		44
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0	4.5%	2.3%	20.5%	25.0%	38.6%	9.1%	44
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.9		20.5%	6.8%	36.4%	34.1%	2.3%	44
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4		4.5%	6.8%	36.4%	52.3%		44
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.4		4.5%	11.4%	20.5%	61.4%	2.3%	44
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.2		6.8%	15.9%	25.0%	52.3%		44

Class Number: 16354

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-UY 4613: Artificial Intelligence

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Togelius, JulianResponse Rate: 44/60

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	9.1%				90.9%			44
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.0%				93.0%			43
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6			2.3%	36.4%	59.1%	2.3%	44
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3		9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	52.3%	2.3%	44
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.9		2.3%	36.4%	25.0%	29.5%	6.8%	44
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.9	2.3%	9.1%	25.0%	22.7%	38.6%	2.3%	44
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.1	2.3%	6.8%	9.1%	38.6%	43.2%		44
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0	4.5%	2.3%	20.5%	25.0%	38.6%	9.1%	44
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.9		20.5%	6.8%	36.4%	34.1%	2.3%	44
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4		4.5%	6.8%	36.4%	52.3%		44
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.4		4.5%	11.4%	20.5%	61.4%	2.3%	44
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.2		6.8%	15.9%	25.0%	52.3%		44

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 7801: TOPICS IN FINANCE AND FINANCIAL MARKETS I

Class Number: 20675

Section: K1

Primary Instructor: Paul,Asha

Response Rate: 4/16

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			4
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.3	25.0%			75.0%			4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3				75.0%	25.0%		4
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.5	25.0%			50.0%	25.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.0		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.5	25.0%			50.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.5	25.0%			50.0%	25.0%		4
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.5	25.0%			50.0%	25.0%		4
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.8	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.0	25.0%				75.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0	25.0%				75.0%		4

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS-GY 6923: Machine Learning

Class Number: 15440

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Li,Gang

Response Rate: 31/38

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.2%						96.8%		31
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	10.0%						90.0%		30
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.8	3.2%	16.1%	9.7%	35.5%	35.5%			31
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.7	13.3%	10.0%	10.0%	23.3%	40.0%	3.3%		30
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5		6.5%	6.5%	19.4%	67.7%			31
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4	3.3%	3.3%	3.3%	30.0%	60.0%			30
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.5	9.7%	22.6%	12.9%	19.4%	35.5%			31
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.7	6.7%	20.0%	10.0%	26.7%	36.7%			30
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.7		16.1%	25.8%	32.3%	25.8%			31
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.8	9.7%	12.9%	9.7%	25.8%	41.9%			31
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.2	22.6%	16.1%	6.5%	25.8%	29.0%			31
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.8	6.5%	12.9%	19.4%	12.9%	48.4%			31

Class Number: 15684

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 1024: Calculus I for Engineers

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Sher,Abraham

Response Rate: 5/35

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		5	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		5	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.0			20.0%	60.0%	20.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.0	20.0%		40.0%	40.0%			5
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.4			80.0%		20.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.2			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.8			60.0%		40.0%		5
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	3.4			80.0%		20.0%		5
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	1.8	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%				5
Q29	I need more HW problems.	1.8	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%				5
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.2			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.8			20.0%	80.0%			5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.0			20.0%	60.0%	20.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.2			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 2034: Linear Algebra and Differential Equations

Class Number: 16190

Section: F

Primary Instructor: Sher,Abraham

Response Rate: 1/23

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		1
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.0				100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%	1
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0				100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.0				100.0%		1
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0					100.0%	1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.0			100.0%			1
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	4.0				100.0%		1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	3.0			100.0%			1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	2.0		100.0%				1
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.0			100.0%			1
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0				100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%	1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0					100.0%	1

Class Number: 25395

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-GY 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Section: INT3

Primary Instructor: Kannan,Raman

Response Rate: 5/9

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		5
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.4		20.0%			80.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.2	20.0%				80.0%		5
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.8		20.0%	20.0%		40.0%	20.0%	5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.2		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.2		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0	20.0%			20.0%	60.0%		5
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.2		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	4.0	20.0%			20.0%	60.0%		5
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.2	20.0%				80.0%		5
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	3.8	20.0%		20.0%		60.0%		5
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	4.0	20.0%			20.0%	60.0%		5
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	3.8	20.0%		20.0%		60.0%		5
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.0		20.0%	20.0%		60.0%		5
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	4.2			40.0%		60.0%		5
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.0		20.0%	20.0%		60.0%		5
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.8	20.0%		20.0%		60.0%		5
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	3.8	20.0%		20.0%		60.0%		5
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	3.8	20.0%		20.0%		60.0%		5
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.2		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.2	20.0%				80.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.2	20.0%				80.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.2	20.0%				80.0%		5

Class Number: 14919

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 7173: Enterprise Data Systems

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Kannan,Raman

Response Rate: 21/24

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	38.1%					57.1%	4.8%	21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	28.6%					66.7%	4.8%	21
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.2		14.3%	4.8%	28.6%	52.4%		21
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.4		9.5%	4.8%	23.8%	61.9%		21
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.7	9.5%	9.5%	14.3%	38.1%	28.6%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.7	10.0%	20.0%	10.0%	15.0%	45.0%		20
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.6	19.0%	9.5%		38.1%	33.3%		21
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.7	9.5%	14.3%	9.5%	33.3%	33.3%		21
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	3.8	9.5%	14.3%	9.5%	19.0%	47.6%		21
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	3.9	4.8%	9.5%	9.5%	42.9%	33.3%		21
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.0	4.8%	9.5%	9.5%	28.6%	47.6%		21
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	3.8	9.5%	19.0%		28.6%	42.9%		21
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0	4.8%	14.3%	4.8%	28.6%	47.6%		21
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.1	9.5%	9.5%		23.8%	57.1%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.6	19.0%	14.3%	4.8%	9.5%	52.4%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.5	23.8%	9.5%		28.6%	38.1%		21



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FIN-UY 2103: Creating and Understanding Financial Statements

Class Number: 15823

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Cheng,Jierong

Response Rate: 2/14

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		2
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%	2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.0				100.0%		2
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0				100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.0				100.0%		2
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%	2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.5			50.0%	50.0%		2
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%	2
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%	2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%	2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%	2

Class Number: 21036

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Ferrara, Philip

Response Rate: 6/6

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		6	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		6	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	20.0%				80.0%		5	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	20.0%				80.0%		5	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		6
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		6
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7			16.7%		83.3%		6
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7			16.7%		83.3%		6
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					83.3%	16.7%	6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					83.3%	16.7%	6
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0					100.0%		6
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0					100.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		6
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.6				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.6				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	5.0					100.0%		5
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	5.0					100.0%		5
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.6			20.0%		80.0%		5
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.6			20.0%		80.0%		5
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6163: Job and Workplace Design

Class Number: 21036

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Ferrara, Philip

Response Rate: 6/6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%		6
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0					100.0%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0					100.0%		6

Class Number: 23531

Dept. of Technology Management
MG-GY 6163: Job and Workplace Design

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Ferrara, Philip

Response Rate: 5/9

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		5
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		5
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		5
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		5
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0					100.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6			20.0%		80.0%		5
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.6				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.4				60.0%	40.0%		5
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	5.0					100.0%		5
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		5
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		5
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		5
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	5.0					100.0%		5
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	5.0					100.0%		5
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		5
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	4.4			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.6			20.0%		80.0%		5
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.6			20.0%		80.0%		5
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	5.0					100.0%		5
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	5.0					100.0%		5
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6				40.0%	60.0%		5



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Class Number: 23531

Dept. of Technology Management
MG-GY 6163: Job and Workplace Design

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Ferrara, Philip

Response Rate: 5/9

H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0					100.0%		5



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6401: Employee Engagement: Theory and Practice

Class Number: 21328

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Ferrara, Philip

Response Rate: 1/6

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		1	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		1	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		1
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%		1
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0					100.0%		1
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.0				100.0%			1
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.0				100.0%			1
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	5.0					100.0%		1
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	5.0					100.0%		1
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	5.0					100.0%		1
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	5.0					100.0%		1
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	5.0					100.0%		1
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	5.0					100.0%		1
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	5.0					100.0%		1
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.0				100.0%			1
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	5.0					100.0%		1
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	5.0					100.0%		1
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.0			100.0%				1
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	5.0					100.0%		1
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	5.0					100.0%		1
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%		1



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6401: Employee Engagement: Theory and Practice

Class Number: 21328

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Ferrara, Philip

Response Rate: 1/6

H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0					100.0%		1

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 6153: FOUNDATIONS OF FINANCIAL TECHNOLOGY

Class Number: 15392

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Freedman,Roy

Response Rate: 6/20

		<i>No</i>					<i>Yes</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		6
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7			16.7%		83.3%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		6
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		6
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.2	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.3	16.7%		33.3%	33.3%	16.7%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.5	16.7%		33.3%	16.7%	33.3%		6



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 7211: FORENSIC FINANCIAL TECHNOLOGY AND REGULATORY SYSTEMS

Class Number: 15402

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Freedman,Roy

Response Rate: 3/20

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3				66.7%	33.3%		3
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.3				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3				66.7%	33.3%		3
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3				66.7%	33.3%		3



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 7251: ALGORITHMIC TRADING & HIGH-FREQUENCY FINANCE

Class Number: 15404

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Freedman,Roy

Response Rate: 6/25

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	3.8			33.3%	50.0%	16.7%		6
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.0			16.7%	66.7%	16.7%		6
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.0			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		6
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.3			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.2			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		6
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		6
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0		16.7%		50.0%	33.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3				66.7%	33.3%		6
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.7	16.7%			66.7%	16.7%		6
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.2			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		6
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0		16.7%		50.0%	33.3%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.2			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		6

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-GY 6133: Computer Architecture I

Class Number: 15406

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Hadimioglu, Haldun

Response Rate: 59/68

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			59
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.1%				94.9%			59
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				3.4%	96.6%		59
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.9			3.4%	3.4%	93.2%		59
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0				3.4%	96.6%		59
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.9		1.7%		1.7%	96.6%		59
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.9		1.7%		6.8%	91.5%		59
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8		1.7%	1.7%	8.5%	88.1%		59
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.7	1.7%	3.4%		16.9%	78.0%		59
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8	1.7%	1.7%		6.8%	89.8%		59
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0				1.7%	98.3%		59
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8	1.7%	3.4%		3.4%	91.5%		59



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS-UY 2204: DIGITAL LOGIC AND STATE MACHINE DESIGN

Class Number: 15698

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Hadimioglu,Haldun

Response Rate: 55/73

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		54
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						98.1%	1.9%	52
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				12.7%	87.3%		55
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7		1.8%	5.5%	16.4%	74.5%	1.8%	55
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5		5.5%	3.6%	25.5%	65.5%		55
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%	20.0%	74.5%		55
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6			9.1%	21.8%	69.1%		55
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0	3.6%	14.5%	16.4%	12.7%	52.7%		55
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	Understanding binary and hexadecimal number systems.	4.7	1.9%		1.9%	18.5%	75.9%	1.9%	54
Q28	Ability to design and analyze combinational circuits by using switching algebra and Karnaugh maps.	4.5	1.9%	3.7%	3.7%	22.2%	68.5%		54
Q29	Understanding sequential circuits and their analysis.	4.4	1.9%	1.9%	7.4%	35.2%	53.7%		54
Q30	Ability to use the finite-state machine technique to design and analyze digital systems	4.4		1.9%	9.3%	35.2%	53.7%		54
Q31	Ability to use programmable logic components and to analyze circuits with programmable components.	4.4		1.9%	7.4%	38.9%	51.9%		54
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3			20.0%	29.1%	50.9%		55
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6		1.8%	5.5%	20.0%	72.7%		55
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8	1.8%		1.8%	10.9%	85.5%		55
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5		5.5%	7.3%	18.2%	69.1%		55
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	4.3		3.7%	9.3%	44.4%	42.6%		54
A09	I have enhanced my ability to design a component or system to meet realistic constraints.	4.4		3.7%	5.6%	38.9%	51.9%		54
A21	I have enhanced my ability to learn independently.	4.3		3.7%	9.3%	38.9%	48.1%		54
A22	I have enhanced my ability to continuously seek to acquire new knowledge	4.3		1.9%	14.8%	31.5%	51.9%		54
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.5			7.4%	33.3%	59.3%		54
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.5		1.9%	9.3%	24.1%	64.8%		54
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.6			5.6%	31.5%	63.0%		54



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS-UY 2204: DIGITAL LOGIC AND STATE MACHINE DESIGN

Class Number: 15698

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Hadimioglu, Haldun

Response Rate: 55/73

Y. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6		1.9%	1.9%	27.8%	66.7%	1.9%	54
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.6			3.7%	35.2%	59.3%	1.9%	54
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.4	1.9%	3.7%	9.3%	25.9%	57.4%	1.9%	54
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.5	1.9%	1.9%	3.7%	25.9%	64.8%	1.9%	54
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.6			3.7%	33.3%	61.1%	1.9%	54
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.6	1.9%		1.9%	27.8%	66.7%	1.9%	54



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS-UY 2214: COMPUTER ARCHITECTURE AND ORGANIZATION

Class Number: 15699

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Hadimioglu,Haldun

Response Rate: 49/74

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	2.0%				98.0%			49
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			48
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0			2.0%	98.0%			49
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7	2.1%	6.3%		12.5%	79.2%		48
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7			4.1%	22.4%	73.5%		49
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5	4.1%		4.1%	30.6%	61.2%		49
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5	2.0%	10.2%		18.4%	69.4%		49
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.2	2.0%	4.1%	16.3%	22.4%	55.1%		49
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	Ability to design and analyze computer architecture.	4.0	4.1%	4.1%	14.3%	38.8%	38.8%		49
Q28	Ability to design and analyze an unpipelined central processing unit.	4.2	4.1%	2.0%	16.3%	24.5%	53.1%		49
Q29	Understanding measuring computer performance.	4.2	6.1%		14.3%	28.6%	51.0%		49
Q30	Understanding pipelined central processing units.	4.2	2.0%	6.1%	12.2%	28.6%	51.0%		49
Q31	Understanding a computer memory hierarchy.	4.3	6.1%		12.2%	30.6%	51.0%		49
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1	2.0%	8.2%	8.2%	34.7%	44.9%	2.0%	49
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3	2.1%	2.1%	18.8%	16.7%	60.4%		48
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8			8.3%	8.3%	83.3%		48
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.4	4.2%		12.5%	25.0%	56.3%	2.1%	48
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A04	I have enhanced my ability to identify and formulate engineering problems.	4.1	2.0%	4.1%	20.4%	24.5%	49.0%		49
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	4.3	6.1%		16.3%	18.4%	59.2%		49
A09	I have enhanced my ability to design a component or system to meet realistic constraints.	4.0	4.1%	6.1%	22.4%	20.4%	46.9%		49
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.0	4.1%	6.1%	20.4%	20.4%	49.0%		49
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	4.2	2.0%	6.1%	16.3%	20.4%	55.1%		49
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
X. The Recitation Instructor									
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8			2.0%	16.3%	81.6%		49

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS-UY 2214: COMPUTER ARCHITECTURE AND ORGANIZATION

Class Number: 15699

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Hadimioglu,Haldun

Response Rate: 49/74

X. The Recitation Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	4.9			2.0%	6.1%	91.8%		49
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.6			8.3%	22.9%	68.8%		48
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	4.8			4.1%	8.2%	87.8%		49

Class Number: 15219

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS-GY 6133: Computer Architecture I

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Chung,Ping-Tsai

Response Rate: 22/25

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.5%						95.5%		22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.5%						95.5%		22
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.8	18.2%		13.6%	22.7%	45.5%			22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.7	9.1%	13.6%	13.6%	27.3%	36.4%			22
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.1	4.5%	9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	50.0%			22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4		4.5%	4.5%	36.4%	54.5%			22
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.7	13.6%	13.6%	4.5%	27.3%	40.9%			22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.7	13.6%	4.5%	9.1%	40.9%	31.8%			22
E1. Online Course Module		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	3.6	13.6%	9.1%	9.1%	40.9%	27.3%			22
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	3.8	9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	18.2%	45.5%			22
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.1	4.5%	4.5%	13.6%	31.8%	45.5%			22
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	4.1	4.5%	9.1%	9.1%	22.7%	54.5%			22
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	3.6	13.6%	18.2%	4.5%	22.7%	40.9%			22
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.2	9.1%		4.5%	36.4%	50.0%			22
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	3.9	9.1%		13.6%	45.5%	31.8%			22
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	3.6	13.6%		27.3%	27.3%	31.8%			22
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.8	9.1%		31.8%	22.7%	36.4%			22
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.6	9.1%	4.5%	36.4%	13.6%	36.4%			22
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	3.8	18.2%		13.6%	18.2%	50.0%			22
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	3.6	22.7%		9.1%	27.3%	40.9%			22
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0	4.5%	9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	40.9%			22
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.8	13.6%	9.1%		36.4%	40.9%			22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.6	22.7%		13.6%	22.7%	40.9%			22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.7	18.2%	4.5%	9.1%	27.3%	40.9%			22

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 6083: Quantitative Methods in Finance

Class Number: 15146

Section: I4

Primary Instructor: Tourin, Agnes

Response Rate: 5/28

		<i>No</i>					<i>Yes</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		5
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6				40.0%	60.0%		5
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%		5
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6			20.0%		80.0%		5
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	5.0					100.0%		5
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0					100.0%		5

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 6083: Quantitative Methods in Finance

Class Number: 15634

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Tourin, Agnes

Response Rate: 5/29

		<i>No</i>			<i>Yes</i>			<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				100.0%				5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				100.0%				5
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.4			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.4			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6			20.0%		80.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%		5
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0					100.0%		5
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.2			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.2		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6				40.0%	60.0%		5

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 6233: OPTIONS PRICING & STOCHASTIC CALCULUS

Class Number: 22825

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Tourin, Agnes

Response Rate: 3/23

		<i>No</i>					<i>Yes</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
A. Procedures and Policies								<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		3
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		3
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%		3
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0					100.0%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0					100.0%		3
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	5.0					100.0%		3
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0					100.0%		3

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering
EL-GY 9143: SELECTED TOPICS IN SIGNAL PROC

Class Number: 25044

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Fang,Yi

Response Rate: 27/29

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						96.2%	3.8%	26
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9			3.7%	3.7%	92.6%		27
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.9			3.7%	3.7%	92.6%		27
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6			3.7%	33.3%	63.0%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8			3.7%	11.1%	85.2%		27
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6			7.4%	22.2%	70.4%		27
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4			14.8%	29.6%	55.6%		27
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6			7.4%	22.2%	70.4%		27
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.9			3.7%	3.7%	92.6%		27
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9			3.7%	3.7%	92.6%		27
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9			3.7%	7.4%	88.9%		27

Class Number: 15482

Dept. of Interdisciplinary Studies

BI-GY 7633: MICROARRAY DATA ANALYSIS

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Seto,Jeremy

Response Rate: 7/11

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		7
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.9		14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	42.9%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.0			42.9%	14.3%	42.9%		7
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.2			14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	14.3%	7
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		7
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		7
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.4			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	4.6			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	4.3			28.6%	14.3%	57.1%		7
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.3			28.6%	14.3%	57.1%		7
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.3			28.6%	14.3%	57.1%		7
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	3.7			57.1%	14.3%	28.6%		7
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.9			57.1%		42.9%		7
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.7			57.1%	14.3%	28.6%		7
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	4.1	14.3%		14.3%		71.4%		7
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	3.4	14.3%		42.9%	14.3%	28.6%		7
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6				42.9%	57.1%		7



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 3213: Mechanics of Materials

Class Number: 16107

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Porfiri, Maurizio

Response Rate: 21/36

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.3%					94.7%		19
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				4.8%	95.2%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3		4.8%	19.0%	14.3%	61.9%		21
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.2	4.8%	4.8%	9.5%	23.8%	57.1%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4		4.8%		47.6%	47.6%		21
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5		9.5%		23.8%	66.7%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4			9.5%	38.1%	52.4%		21
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	Understood the concept of Stress and Strain, Normal stress & strain, Shear stress & strain, General state of stress, Design considerations, design of simple corrections	4.6			5.0%	30.0%	65.0%		20
Q28	Understood Stress analysis, Materials behavior, constitutive relationship, Hooke’s law, stress concentration, Transformation equations, Mohr’s circle	4.5		5.0%		40.0%	55.0%		20
Q29	Learned about axially loaded members, Torsion, Change of length, angle of twist, transmission of power by shafts statically indeterminate structure	4.6			5.0%	30.0%	65.0%		20
Q30	Understood bending, Shear and moment diagram, shear force, transverse loading relationship, flexure formula	4.6		5.0%		25.0%	70.0%		20
Q31	Learned about Deflection of beams, Differential equation of deflection curve, method of superposition, Castigliano’s theorem	4.5			15.0%	20.0%	65.0%		20
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3			14.3%	38.1%	47.6%		21
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6		4.8%		28.6%	66.7%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5		4.8%	4.8%	23.8%	66.7%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6			4.8%	28.6%	66.7%		21
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.6				40.0%	60.0%		20
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	4.6			5.0%	35.0%	60.0%		20
A48	I have enhanced my ability to apply principles of engineering, basic science, and mathematics (including multivariate calculus and differential equations) to model, analyze, design, and realize physical systems, components or processes	4.5			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		20

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			14
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.1		7.1%	14.3%	42.9%	35.7%		14
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.0	7.1%		21.4%	28.6%	42.9%		14
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5			7.1%	35.7%	57.1%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.1		14.3%	21.4%	7.1%	57.1%		14
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.4	7.1%	28.6%	7.1%	35.7%	21.4%		14
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.5	15.4%	7.7%	23.1%	23.1%	30.8%		13
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	3.9		14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	42.9%		14
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.0	7.1%		14.3%	42.9%	35.7%		14
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.0	7.1%		21.4%	28.6%	42.9%		14
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	3.9	7.1%		14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	7.1%	14
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1			21.4%	50.0%	28.6%		14
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.9		14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.5	7.1%	14.3%	21.4%	35.7%	21.4%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.6	7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	42.9%	21.4%		14

X. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.9	5.3%	5.3%	21.1%	26.3%	36.8%	5.3%	19
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	3.1	21.1%	10.5%	31.6%		31.6%	5.3%	19
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	3.3	15.8%	15.8%	15.8%	21.1%	26.3%	5.3%	19
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	2.9	21.1%	21.1%	21.1%	5.3%	26.3%	5.3%	19

Class Number: 16253

Dept. of Applied Physics
PH-UY 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Section: J

Primary Instructor: Chowdhury,Saumitra

Response Rate: 30/31

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.2	3.3%	3.3%	10.0%	40.0%	43.3%		30
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.1		3.3%	10.0%	56.7%	30.0%		30
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.1		6.7%	6.7%	56.7%	30.0%		30
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	3.5	13.3%	13.3%	13.3%	23.3%	33.3%	3.3%	30
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	2.5	20.0%	40.0%	16.7%	20.0%	3.3%		30
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	2.0	46.7%	23.3%	10.0%	20.0%			30
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.1	20.0%	10.0%	23.3%	36.7%	10.0%		30
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.5	13.3%	10.0%	16.7%	36.7%	23.3%		30
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.0		33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	10.0%	6.7%	30
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	2.6	23.3%	26.7%	20.0%	23.3%	6.7%		30
Q40	Value was added to my education.	2.5	23.3%	30.0%	26.7%	13.3%	6.7%		30
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	2.2	30.0%	36.7%	16.7%	10.0%	3.3%	3.3%	30
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	3.3	18.5%	11.1%	14.8%	37.0%	18.5%		27
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	3.3	14.8%	11.1%	25.9%	29.6%	18.5%		27

Class Number: 16254

Dept. of Applied Physics
PH-UY 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Section: K

Primary Instructor: Chowdhury,Saumitra

Response Rate: 32/32

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.6		3.1%	3.1%	25.0%	59.4%	9.4%	32
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.5		3.1%	3.1%	34.4%	53.1%	6.3%	32
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.2		9.4%	15.6%	12.5%	56.3%	6.3%	32
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	3.8	3.1%	25.0%	9.4%	9.4%	46.9%	6.3%	32
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	3.2	12.9%	19.4%	16.1%	29.0%	16.1%	6.5%	31
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	2.5	21.9%	31.3%	15.6%	18.8%	6.3%	6.3%	32
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.6	12.5%	3.1%	15.6%	40.6%	18.8%	9.4%	32
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1	3.1%		18.8%	31.3%	40.6%	6.3%	32
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.5		15.6%	34.4%	21.9%	21.9%	6.3%	32
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	3.3	15.6%	9.4%	18.8%	21.9%	25.0%	9.4%	32
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.4	18.8%	6.3%	15.6%	28.1%	25.0%	6.3%	32
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.0	15.6%	18.8%	21.9%	18.8%	15.6%	9.4%	32
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	3.6	6.5%	16.1%	9.7%	38.7%	25.8%	3.2%	31
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	3.7	3.2%	19.4%	9.7%	35.5%	29.0%	3.2%	31



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM-GY 6043: Theories and Cultural Impact of Media & Technology

Class Number: 14968

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Toolin, Jack Craig

Response Rate: 1/10

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning							100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.							100.0%		1
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%			1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%			1
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%			1
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0					100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0					100.0%			1
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	5.0					100.0%			1
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%			1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0					100.0%			1

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM-GY 6043: Theories and Cultural Impact of Media & Technology

Class Number: 15445

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Toolin, Jack Craig

Response Rate: 15/17

		<i>No</i>				<i>Yes</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	6.7%				93.3%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		14
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.1		6.7%	20.0%	33.3%	40.0%	15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.9	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	53.3%	26.7%	15
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.9	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	40.0%	33.3%	15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.9		13.3%	26.7%	20.0%	40.0%	15
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.4	13.3%	20.0%		46.7%	20.0%	15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.5	26.7%		6.7%	26.7%	40.0%	15
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.5	6.7%		46.7%	26.7%	20.0%	15
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.1	33.3%	6.7%		33.3%	26.7%	15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.1	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	6.7%	33.3%	15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	2.9	26.7%	13.3%	26.7%	6.7%	26.7%	15

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering
EL-GY 6063: Information Theory

Class Number: 15296

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Maddah,Mohammad

Response Rate: 12/17

		<i>No</i>			<i>Yes</i>			<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				100.0%				12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				100.0%				12
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		12
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.9	16.7%		16.7%	8.3%	58.3%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4		8.3%		33.3%	58.3%		12
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7			8.3%	16.7%	75.0%		12
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.6	8.3%	16.7%	16.7%	25.0%	33.3%		12
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7			8.3%	16.7%	75.0%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.4			8.3%	41.7%	50.0%		12

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-GY 7233: Groundwater Hydrology and Pollution

Class Number: 20704

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Ronan, Anne D

Response Rate: 22/23

		<i>No</i>			<i>Yes</i>			<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				100.0%				22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				100.0%				22
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				4.5%	95.5%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7			9.1%	13.6%	77.3%		22
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4			9.1%	40.9%	50.0%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5		4.5%	4.5%	31.8%	59.1%		22
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.9				13.6%	86.4%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6				36.4%	63.6%		22
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5			9.1%	31.8%	59.1%		22
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8			4.5%	9.1%	86.4%		22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				9.1%	86.4%	4.5%	22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8			4.5%	13.6%	77.3%	4.5%	22

Class Number: 15719

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Ronan,Anne D

Response Rate: 21/27

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		21
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				19.0%	81.0%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3	5.0%		15.0%	25.0%	55.0%		20
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%	57.1%	28.6%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.6	9.5%	14.3%	4.8%	52.4%	19.0%		21
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0	4.8%	4.8%	19.0%	33.3%	38.1%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.7	4.8%	14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	23.8%		21
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I now have a thorough understanding of the sub-disciplines of civil engineering and professional roles of civil engineers.	4.4			6.3%	43.8%	50.0%		16
Q28	I now have an understanding of the importance of professional ethics, and the many ways it impacts the profession, its practice, and society in general.	4.6		6.3%		25.0%	68.8%		16
Q29	I have gained an understanding of the different types of roles and employers that civil engineers may be engaged in the profession.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		16
Q30	I developed computational and communication skills.	4.1		6.3%	12.5%	50.0%	31.3%		16
Q31	I now have a general understanding of the fundamental concepts of GIS and AutoCAD.	4.6			6.3%	31.3%	62.5%		16
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.8	4.8%	9.5%	9.5%	47.6%	23.8%	4.8%	21
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.2	4.8%	4.8%	9.5%	23.8%	52.4%	4.8%	21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.1	4.8%	14.3%		28.6%	52.4%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.8	4.8%	14.3%	9.5%	42.9%	28.6%		21
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A32	I have enhanced my ability to understand the professional and ethical obligations of engineers/scientists	4.6				37.5%	62.5%		16
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	4.5			6.3%	37.5%	56.3%		16
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	4.3		6.3%	12.5%	31.3%	50.0%		16

Class Number: 15720

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-UY 1002: Introduction to Civil Engineering

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Ronan,Anne D

Response Rate: 21/21

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	14.3%				76.2%	9.5%	21	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	14.3%				76.2%	9.5%	21	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.0%				85.0%	10.0%	20	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.0%				85.0%	10.0%	20	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3			4.8%	57.1%	38.1%		21
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3			4.8%	57.1%	38.1%		21
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.2		4.8%	4.8%	57.1%	28.6%	4.8%	21
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.2		4.8%	4.8%	57.1%	28.6%	4.8%	21
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.8	9.5%	14.3%	4.8%	28.6%	42.9%		21
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.8	9.5%	14.3%	4.8%	28.6%	42.9%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.6	4.8%	19.0%	19.0%	23.8%	33.3%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.6	4.8%	19.0%	19.0%	23.8%	33.3%		21
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0	4.8%	9.5%	9.5%	28.6%	47.6%		21
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0	4.8%	9.5%	9.5%	28.6%	47.6%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.6	4.8%	9.5%	23.8%	38.1%	19.0%	4.8%	21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.6	4.8%	9.5%	23.8%	38.1%	19.0%	4.8%	21
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I now have a thorough understanding of the sub-disciplines of civil engineering and professional roles of civil engineers.	4.1		12.5%	6.3%	37.5%	43.8%		16
Q27	I now have a thorough understanding of the sub-disciplines of civil engineering and professional roles of civil engineers.	4.1		12.5%	6.3%	37.5%	43.8%		16
Q28	I now have an understanding of the importance of professional ethics, and the many ways it impacts the profession, its practice, and society in general.	4.1		6.3%	12.5%	43.8%	37.5%		16
Q28	I now have an understanding of the importance of professional ethics, and the many ways it impacts the profession, its practice, and society in general.	4.1		6.3%	12.5%	43.8%	37.5%		16
Q29	I have gained an understanding of the different types of roles and employers that civil engineers may be engaged in the profession.	4.4		6.3%	6.3%	31.3%	56.3%		16
Q29	I have gained an understanding of the different types of roles and employers that civil engineers may be engaged in the profession.	4.4		6.3%	6.3%	31.3%	56.3%		16
Q30	I developed computational and communication skills.	4.1		6.3%	18.8%	37.5%	37.5%		16
Q30	I developed computational and communication skills.	4.1		6.3%	18.8%	37.5%	37.5%		16
Q31	I now have a general understanding of the fundamental concepts of GIS and AutoCAD.	4.6		6.3%		18.8%	75.0%		16
Q31	I now have a general understanding of the fundamental concepts of GIS and AutoCAD.	4.6		6.3%		18.8%	75.0%		16



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-UY 1002: Introduction to Civil Engineering

Class Number: 15720

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Ronan, Anne D

Response Rate: 21/21

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.9	9.5%	9.5%	4.8%	38.1%	38.1%		21
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.9	9.5%	9.5%	4.8%	38.1%	38.1%		21
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.3		4.8%	4.8%	42.9%	42.9%	4.8%	21
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.3		4.8%	4.8%	42.9%	42.9%	4.8%	21
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6			4.8%	28.6%	66.7%		21
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6			4.8%	28.6%	66.7%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.9	9.5%	4.8%	4.8%	47.6%	33.3%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.9	9.5%	4.8%	4.8%	47.6%	33.3%		21
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A32	I have enhanced my ability to understand the professional and ethical obligations of engineers/scientists	4.3		6.3%	12.5%	31.3%	50.0%		16
A32	I have enhanced my ability to understand the professional and ethical obligations of engineers/scientists	4.3		6.3%	12.5%	31.3%	50.0%		16
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	4.4			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		16
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	4.4			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		16
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	3.9		18.8%	12.5%	25.0%	43.8%		16
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	3.9		18.8%	12.5%	25.0%	43.8%		16

Class Number: 15967

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-UY 1002: Introduction to Civil Engineering

Section: BLAB

Primary Instructor: Ronan,Anne D

Response Rate: 21/21

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.8%					85.7%	9.5%	21
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.8%					85.7%	9.5%	21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						90.5%	9.5%	21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						90.5%	9.5%	21
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				23.8%	76.2%		21
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				23.8%	76.2%		21
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8				23.8%	76.2%		21
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8				23.8%	76.2%		21
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7			4.8%	23.8%	71.4%		21
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7			4.8%	23.8%	71.4%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7		4.8%		19.0%	76.2%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7		4.8%		19.0%	76.2%		21
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6			9.5%	19.0%	71.4%		21
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6			9.5%	19.0%	71.4%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4		4.8%	4.8%	23.8%	47.6%	19.0%	21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4		4.8%	4.8%	23.8%	47.6%	19.0%	21
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I now have a thorough understanding of the sub-disciplines of civil engineering and professional roles of civil engineers.	4.3		6.3%	6.3%	43.8%	43.8%		16
Q27	I now have a thorough understanding of the sub-disciplines of civil engineering and professional roles of civil engineers.	4.3		6.3%	6.3%	43.8%	43.8%		16
Q28	I now have an understanding of the importance of professional ethics, and the many ways it impacts the profession, its practice, and society in general.	4.1		6.3%	18.8%	31.3%	43.8%		16
Q28	I now have an understanding of the importance of professional ethics, and the many ways it impacts the profession, its practice, and society in general.	4.1		6.3%	18.8%	31.3%	43.8%		16
Q29	I have gained an understanding of the different types of roles and employers that civil engineers may be engaged in the profession.	4.3		6.3%	12.5%	25.0%	56.3%		16
Q29	I have gained an understanding of the different types of roles and employers that civil engineers may be engaged in the profession.	4.3		6.3%	12.5%	25.0%	56.3%		16
Q30	I developed computational and communication skills.	4.1		6.3%	12.5%	43.8%	37.5%		16
Q30	I developed computational and communication skills.	4.1		6.3%	12.5%	43.8%	37.5%		16
Q31	I now have a general understanding of the fundamental concepts of GIS and AutoCAD.	4.7		6.3%		12.5%	81.3%		16
Q31	I now have a general understanding of the fundamental concepts of GIS and AutoCAD.	4.7		6.3%		12.5%	81.3%		16

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-UY 1002: Introduction to Civil Engineering

Class Number: 15967

Section: BLAB

Primary Instructor: Ronan, Anne D

Response Rate: 21/21

G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5		4.8%		38.1%	57.1%		21
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5		4.8%		38.1%	57.1%		21
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		21
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		21
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8			4.8%	9.5%	85.7%		21
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8			4.8%	9.5%	85.7%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7			4.8%	23.8%	71.4%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7			4.8%	23.8%	71.4%		21
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A32	I have enhanced my ability to understand the professional and ethical obligations of engineers/scientists	4.1		6.3%	12.5%	43.8%	37.5%		16
A32	I have enhanced my ability to understand the professional and ethical obligations of engineers/scientists	4.1		6.3%	12.5%	43.8%	37.5%		16
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	4.3			12.5%	43.8%	43.8%		16
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	4.3			12.5%	43.8%	43.8%		16
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	4.3		6.3%	6.3%	37.5%	50.0%		16
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	4.3		6.3%	6.3%	37.5%	50.0%		16



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-UY 3243: WATER RESOURCE ENGINEERING

Class Number: 15824

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Ronan, Anne D

Response Rate: 20/28

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			20
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8			5.0%	15.0%	80.0%		20
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5		5.0%	5.0%	30.0%	60.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5		5.0%	5.0%	30.0%	60.0%		20
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3		5.3%	15.8%	26.3%	52.6%		19
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I continued and extended fluid mechanics, with a focus on applications of hydraulic and hydrologic engineering.	4.4	5.9%		5.9%	23.5%	64.7%		17
Q28	I have developed the fundamental principles of surface and ground-water hydrology.	4.4	5.9%			41.2%	52.9%		17
Q29	I know how to analyze pipe flows, pipe networks, open channel flow with elementary design applications.	4.5	5.9%			29.4%	64.7%		17
Q30	I have explored water resources engineering professional challenges and ethical issues.	4.3		5.9%	5.9%	41.2%	47.1%		17
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4		5.3%	5.3%	26.3%	57.9%	5.3%	19
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8				15.0%	85.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7			5.0%	25.0%	70.0%		20
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A32	I have enhanced my ability to understand the professional and ethical obligations of engineers/scientists	4.4		5.9%		41.2%	52.9%		17
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	4.5	5.9%			23.5%	70.6%		17
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	4.3		5.9%	5.9%	41.2%	47.1%		17

Class Number: 15681

Dept. of Mathematics
 MA-UY 914: Precalculus for Engineers

Section: A2

Primary Instructor: Liu,Shizhu

Response Rate: 2/20

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		2	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		2	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		2
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.0		50.0%		50.0%			2
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.0		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.5			50.0%	50.0%			2
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	3.0			100.0%				2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	3.5			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	2.0		100.0%					2
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.0		50.0%		50.0%			2
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.5			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.5		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.0		50.0%		50.0%			2

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 3503: Introduction to Radiation Physics and Dosimetry

Class Number: 15821

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Sheverev,Valery A

Response Rate: 3/8

		<i>No</i>			<i>Yes</i>			<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				100.0%				3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				100.0%				3
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.0		66.7%			33.3%		3
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.7		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0					100.0%		3
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3				66.7%	33.3%		3
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.7		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.7		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3

		No			Yes			Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					80.0%	20.0%		5
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.0			40.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.4			80.0%		20.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4				60.0%	40.0%		5
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.8			40.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	4.6			20.0%		80.0%		5
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	2.5	20.0%		60.0%			20.0%	5
Q29	I need more HW problems.	2.6	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%			5
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4				60.0%	40.0%		5
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.4			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.6		20.0%	40.0%		40.0%		5



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 2034: Linear Algebra and Differential Equations

Class Number: 16187

Section: D

Primary Instructor: Van Wagenen,Lindsey

Response Rate: 5/28

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		5
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					80.0%	20.0%	5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		4
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0			40.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.6		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.6		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.2		20.0%	40.0%	40.0%			5
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	4.2				80.0%	20.0%		5
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	3.3			60.0%	20.0%		20.0%	5
Q29	I need more HW problems.	1.8	60.0%	20.0%		20.0%			5
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.6		20.0%		80.0%			5
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.8	20.0%			40.0%	40.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8				20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.4	20.0%		20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management
IE-GY 6213: Facility Planning and Design

Class Number: 15147

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Posner, Michael

Response Rate: 30/31

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		30
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		30
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7		3.3%		23.3%	73.3%	30
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5		3.3%	10.0%	20.0%	66.7%	30
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0				3.3%	96.7%	30
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7		3.3%	3.3%	13.3%	80.0%	30
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7			3.3%	23.3%	73.3%	30
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5		6.7%	6.7%	16.7%	70.0%	30
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.2	6.9%	3.4%	6.9%	31.0%	51.7%	29
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.5		3.4%	6.9%	27.6%	62.1%	29
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.5			10.3%	27.6%	62.1%	29
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.6		3.4%	6.9%	20.7%	69.0%	29
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4		6.7%	6.7%	30.0%	56.7%	30
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6		3.3%	6.7%	16.7%	73.3%	30
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6		6.7%		20.0%	73.3%	30
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.4	3.3%	3.3%	6.7%	20.0%	66.7%	30



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-UY 3333: Transportation Systems and Software

Class Number: 20802

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Vu,Tra Thu

Response Rate: 16/19

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	12.5%						87.5%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%						87.5%		16
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7	6.3%			6.3%	87.5%			16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.4	6.3%		6.3%	25.0%	62.5%			16
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3	6.3%			50.0%	43.8%			16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5	6.3%			25.0%	68.8%			16
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.1	6.3%	6.3%		43.8%	43.8%			16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.3	12.5%	6.3%	37.5%	18.8%	18.8%	6.3%		16
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q27	I understand how traffic signal systems are coordinated for better driving experience and reduced delay.	4.2	6.3%			56.3%	37.5%			16
Q28	I know how to calculate an ideal offset between traffic signals.	3.9		12.5%	6.3%	56.3%	25.0%			16
Q29	I am able to read and interpret a time-space diagram in order to find bandwidth and delay.	4.4			6.3%	50.0%	43.8%			16
Q30	I know how to use and run HCS to evaluate a signalized intersection.	4.6				43.8%	56.3%			16
Q31	I have learned how to run the simulation program, CORSIM, and I am able to create a network from start to finish.	3.1	12.5%	6.3%	43.8%	6.3%	18.8%	12.5%		16
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.2	6.3%		6.3%	43.8%	43.8%			16
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5	6.3%			25.0%	68.8%			16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6	6.3%			12.5%	81.3%			16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6	6.3%			18.8%	75.0%			16
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.2			6.3%	68.8%	25.0%			16
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.3			6.3%	56.3%	37.5%			16
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	4.1		6.3%	6.3%	56.3%	31.3%			16

Class Number: 14956

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 8203: PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Section: MOT

Primary Instructor: Mazzone,Thomas

Response Rate: 12/15

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			12
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.9				8.3%	91.7%		12
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3		8.3%	16.7%	16.7%	58.3%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5			25.0%		75.0%		12
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.9				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		12
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.9				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.9				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.9				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.9				9.1%	90.9%		11
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.9				8.3%	91.7%		12
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.9				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9				8.3%	91.7%		12

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 9753: SELECTED TOPICS IN MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 25110

Section: MOT

Primary Instructor: Mazzone, Thomas

Response Rate: 7/9

		<i>No</i>			<i>Yes</i>			<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				100.0%				7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	14.3%			85.7%				7
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		7
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		7
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%		7
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0					100.0%		7
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	5.0					100.0%		6
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	5.0					100.0%		7
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0					100.0%		7

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

TR-GY 6333: TRANSPORTATION & TRAFFIC CONCEPTS, CHARACTERISTICS & STUDIES

Class Number: 15293

Section: BKLN

Primary Instructor: Prassas,Elena S.

Response Rate: 15/18

		<i>No</i>			<i>Yes</i>			<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	13.3%			80.0%			6.7%	15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				92.9%			7.1%	14
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7			6.7%	13.3%	80.0%		15
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5		13.3%		13.3%	73.3%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		14
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.9				13.3%	86.7%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7			6.7%	13.3%	80.0%		15
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6			6.7%	26.7%	66.7%		15
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.9				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9			6.7%		93.3%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7			13.3%	6.7%	80.0%		15

Class Number: 16195

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 2224: Data Analysis

Section: E2

Primary Instructor: Constantine,Adam

Response Rate: 4/32

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		4	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		4	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3		25.0%			75.0%		4
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%		4
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	2.8	25.0%		50.0%	25.0%			4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	2.0	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%				4
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	3.0			100.0%				4
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	3.5		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
Q29	I need more HW problems.	2.0	50.0%	25.0%		25.0%			4
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	2.8	25.0%	25.0%		50.0%			4
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	2.8	25.0%	25.0%		50.0%			4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	2.8	50.0%			25.0%	25.0%		4

Class Number: 20015

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 2224: Data Analysis

Section: B2

Primary Instructor: Constantine,Adam

Response Rate: 6/33

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		6	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		6	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.5		33.3%		50.0%	16.7%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.5		33.3%		50.0%	16.7%		6
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.2	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.2	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%		6
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	4.2			33.3%	16.7%	50.0%		6
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	1.7	50.0%	33.3%	16.7%				6
Q29	I need more HW problems.	2.3	33.3%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%			6
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0		16.7%		50.0%	33.3%		6
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0			16.7%	66.7%	16.7%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.3		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0		16.7%		50.0%	33.3%		6

Class Number: 15165

Dept. of Technology Management
MG-GY 7733: SERVICES INNOVATION

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Sitten,Marshall S

Response Rate: 5/6

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	20.0%						80.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	20.0%						80.0%		5
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%			5
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%			5
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.2				80.0%	20.0%			5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%			5
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0					100.0%			5
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8				20.0%	60.0%	20.0%		5
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%			5
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	5.0					100.0%			5
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%			5
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	5.0					100.0%			5
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	5.0					100.0%			5
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%			5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%			5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0					100.0%			5

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-GY 5493: Physics of Nanoelectronics

Class Number: 14872

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Wolf,Edward

Response Rate: 6/9

		<i>No</i>			<i>Yes</i>			<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	16.7%			83.3%				6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				100.0%				6
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3				66.7%	33.3%		6
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		6
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%		6
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0		16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0		33.3%			66.7%		6
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.5	16.7%		16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		6
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.2		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.7		16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		6

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering
EL-GY 5533: Physics of Nanoelectronics

Class Number: 14886

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Wolf,Edward

Response Rate: 6/9

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	16.7%						83.3%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.							100.0%		6
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3				66.7%	33.3%			6
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%			6
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%			6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%			6
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0		16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%			6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0		33.3%			66.7%			6
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.5	16.7%		16.7%	50.0%	16.7%			6
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%			6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.2		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%			6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.7		16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%			6



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 8653: MANAGING TECHNOLOGICAL CHANGE & INNOVATION

Class Number: 14967

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Nov,Oded

Response Rate: 22/26

		No			Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp		
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	23.8%			76.2%		21		
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	38.1%			61.9%		21		
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.7	9.1%	13.6%	13.6%	22.7%	40.9%		22
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.8	4.5%	9.1%	27.3%	22.7%	36.4%		22
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.2		36.4%	22.7%	22.7%	18.2%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.4	4.5%	18.2%	36.4%	18.2%	22.7%		22
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.5		31.8%	13.6%	22.7%	31.8%		22
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.6	9.1%	13.6%	13.6%	36.4%	27.3%		22
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	3.5	18.2%	13.6%	18.2%	4.5%	45.5%		22
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.0	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	22.7%	50.0%		22
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	3.9	9.1%	9.1%	13.6%	22.7%	45.5%		22
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	3.9	4.5%	9.1%	18.2%	27.3%	40.9%		22
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.6	4.5%	18.2%	22.7%	18.2%	36.4%		22
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.7	13.6%	4.5%	18.2%	22.7%	40.9%		22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.2	18.2%	22.7%	13.6%	9.1%	36.4%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.5	22.7%	13.6%	9.1%	4.5%	50.0%		22

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 9703: PROJECT IN STRATEGY & INNOVATION MGMT

Class Number: 15475

Section: BKLN

Primary Instructor: Nov,Oded

Response Rate: 13/15

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	15.4%						84.6%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.7%						84.6%	7.7%	13
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.8	7.7%	7.7%	23.1%	23.1%	38.5%			13
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.9		15.4%	30.8%		53.8%			13
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.1	7.7%		15.4%	23.1%	46.2%	7.7%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.8	7.7%		30.8%	23.1%	30.8%	7.7%		13
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.1	7.7%			61.5%	30.8%			13
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4			15.4%	30.8%	53.8%			13
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.0	7.7%		30.8%	7.7%	53.8%			13
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.0	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	30.8%	46.2%			13
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	3.9	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	38.5%	38.5%			13
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	3.8	15.4%		15.4%	23.1%	46.2%			13
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0		15.4%	23.1%	7.7%	53.8%			13
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.1		15.4%	23.1%		61.5%			13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.9	7.7%		7.7%	61.5%	23.1%			13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.6	7.7%	23.1%		38.5%	30.8%			13

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-GY 8333: Marketing for Construction Management and Engineering Services

Class Number: 15455

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Mintzer, Lewis J

Response Rate: 28/28

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	7.1%				92.9%			28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			28
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6			3.6%	32.1%	64.3%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5	3.6%		3.6%	28.6%	64.3%		28
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8				17.9%	82.1%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5		3.6%		35.7%	60.7%		28
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6				39.3%	60.7%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4		3.7%	3.7%	37.0%	55.6%		27
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5			3.6%	39.3%	57.1%		28
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7				32.1%	67.9%		28
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		28

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	16.0%					80.0%	4.0%	25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.0%					84.0%	4.0%	25
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.5	4.0%	16.0%	20.0%	44.0%	16.0%		25
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	2.7	8.0%	36.0%	40.0%	12.0%	4.0%		25
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.8	8.0%	8.0%	16.0%	32.0%	36.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3	4.2%	4.2%	4.2%	33.3%	54.2%		24
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.0	4.0%	28.0%	28.0%	40.0%			25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0	4.0%	8.0%	16.0%	28.0%	40.0%	4.0%	25
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.6		4.0%	36.0%	52.0%	4.0%	4.0%	25
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.1	12.0%	12.0%	20.0%	36.0%	4.0%	16.0%	25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	2.3	20.0%	32.0%	28.0%	12.0%		8.0%	25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	2.8	16.0%	8.0%	44.0%	20.0%		12.0%	25

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CM-UY 2211: ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY I

Class Number: 16116

Section: A5LB

Primary Instructor: Barinov, Victor

Response Rate: 11/12

		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.8				18.2%	81.8%		11
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.0		9.1%	9.1%	54.5%	27.3%		11
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.4			18.2%	27.3%	54.5%		11
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.5			18.2%	18.2%	63.6%		11
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.6			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.4			18.2%	27.3%	54.5%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4			9.1%	45.5%	45.5%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.1		9.1%	18.2%	27.3%	45.5%		11
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.3			18.2%	36.4%	45.5%		11
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	4.3		9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	54.5%		11
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	3.7	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	45.5%	27.3%		11

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CM-UY 2211: ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY I

Class Number: 19989

Section: A3LB

Primary Instructor: Barinov, Victor

Response Rate: 6/10

		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	3.6	16.7%			50.0%	16.7%	16.7%	6
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.4			16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%	6
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0					100.0%		6
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		6
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		6
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		6
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	4.3			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6

Class Number: 16287

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society
DM-UY 2263: Still and Moving Images

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Dyer,Maria G

Response Rate: 15/19

A. Procedures and Policies		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	20.0%					80.0%		15
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.1		20.0%		26.7%	53.3%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.9		13.3%	20.0%	26.7%	33.3%	6.7%	15
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5		6.7%		26.7%	66.7%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5			7.1%	35.7%	57.1%		14
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.5	13.3%	26.7%		20.0%	40.0%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.7	13.3%	6.7%	20.0%	13.3%	46.7%		15
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.7		20.0%	13.3%	40.0%	26.7%		15
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.1	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	26.7%	53.3%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.8	13.3%	13.3%	13.3%		60.0%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.9	13.3%	6.7%	13.3%	13.3%	53.3%		15

Class Number: 15973

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 954: PRECALCULUS B

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Wielaard,James

Response Rate: 6/25

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	33.3%						66.7%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	33.3%						66.7%		6
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	2.0	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%					6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	2.3	50.0%		16.7%	33.3%				6
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.0		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%				6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	2.7		66.7%		33.3%				6
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	2.5	50.0%	16.7%			33.3%			6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.0	33.3%	16.7%		16.7%	33.3%			6
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	4.3			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%			6
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	2.8	16.7%		33.3%	16.7%		33.3%		6
Q29	I need more HW problems.	3.7	16.7%		16.7%	33.3%	33.3%			6
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	1.2	83.3%	16.7%						6
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	2.0	50.0%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%				6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	1.3	66.7%	33.3%						6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	2.0	33.3%	50.0%		16.7%				6
M. The Recitation Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	2.4	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%		16.7%	16.7%		6

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 6123: Financial Risk Management and Asset Pricing

Class Number: 15636

Section: I4

Primary Instructor: Maymin, Philip Z

Response Rate: 11/30

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					90.9%	9.1%		11
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8			9.1%		90.9%		11
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.9				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5		9.1%		18.2%	72.7%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.5	9.1%	36.4%			54.5%		11
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.2		18.2%	9.1%	9.1%	63.6%		11
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5		9.1%		27.3%	63.6%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.4	9.1%		9.1%	9.1%	72.7%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8				18.2%	81.8%		11

Class Number: 14987

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 6451: BEHAVIORAL FINANCE

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Maymin, Philip Z

Response Rate: 6/25

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			6
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	5.0					100.0%		6
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7			16.7%		83.3%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering
FRE-GY 6511: DERIVATIVES ALGORITHMS

Class Number: 15451

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Maymin, Philip Z

Response Rate: 6/25

		<i>No</i>					<i>Yes</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
A. Procedures and Policies								<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		6
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		6
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7			16.7%		83.3%		6
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0					100.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0					100.0%		6
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	5.0					100.0%		6
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0					100.0%		6

Class Number: 15721

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering
CE-UY 2113: Statics

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Maloof,Roula A.

Response Rate: 12/18

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		16.7%				83.3%		12
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6		8.3%		16.7%	75.0%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3			8.3%	50.0%	41.7%		12
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.1		8.3%		66.7%	25.0%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.8		8.3%	16.7%	58.3%	16.7%		12
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.8	8.3%	16.7%		33.3%	41.7%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3		8.3%	8.3%	25.0%	58.3%		12
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an understanding of the principles of mechanics and its application to solve engineering problems.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		12
Q28	I developed the skills of analysis of equilibrium of rigid bodies subject to static and dynamic loads.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		12
Q29	I developed an understanding of applications of vector mathematics.	4.1		8.3%	8.3%	50.0%	33.3%		12
Q30	I can conceptualize physical objects in two and three dimensions.	4.3		8.3%		41.7%	50.0%		12
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	2.8	25.0%	16.7%	16.7%	41.7%			12
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.5	16.7%		16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.4	16.7%	8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	25.0%		12
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.4		8.3%		33.3%	58.3%		12
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	4.4				58.3%	41.7%		12

Class Number: 15992

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering
CE-UY 2113: Statics

Section: BLEC

Primary Instructor: Maloof,Roula A.

Response Rate: 11/16

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		10	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		10	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.2			22.2%	33.3%	44.4%		9
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0			10.0%	80.0%	10.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.6	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	50.0%	20.0%		10
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.8	10.0%		20.0%	40.0%	30.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0			20.0%	60.0%	20.0%		10
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an understanding of the principles of mechanics and its application to solve engineering problems.	3.8	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	36.4%		11
Q28	I developed the skills of analysis of equilibrium of rigid bodies subject to static and dynamic loads.	4.0	9.1%	9.1%		36.4%	45.5%		11
Q29	I developed an understanding of applications of vector mathematics.	3.6	18.2%		9.1%	36.4%	27.3%	9.1%	11
Q30	I can conceptualize physical objects in two and three dimensions.	4.0	9.1%			54.5%	27.3%	9.1%	11
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.1	30.0%	10.0%		40.0%	20.0%		10
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.6	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	50.0%	20.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.1		10.0%	10.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.5	10.0%		40.0%	30.0%	20.0%		10
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.1	9.1%		9.1%	36.4%	45.5%		11
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	4.0	9.1%		18.2%	27.3%	45.5%		11

Class Number: 16075

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-UY 3133: Structural Analysis

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Maloof,Roula A.

Response Rate: 14/15

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		14
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				7.1%	92.9%	14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6		7.1%		14.3%	78.6%	14
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.8	7.1%	14.3%		50.0%	28.6%	14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.1	7.1%	7.1%		35.7%	50.0%	14
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3	7.1%			42.9%	50.0%	14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.2	7.1%	7.1%		28.6%	57.1%	14
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q27	I received a clear and thorough presentation of the theory and application of structural analysis as it applies to trusses, beams and frames.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%	14
Q28	Your background in mechanics of materials was adequate in preparation for this course.	4.4			7.1%	50.0%	42.9%	14
Q29	You have a good understanding of the role structural analysis plays in design of structures.	4.4		7.1%		42.9%	50.0%	14
Q30	Use of computer methods was instructive.	3.2	7.1%	7.1%	42.9%	42.9%		14
Q31	A balance of simplified methods was introduced in addition to the more sophisticated analysis techniques.	4.0		21.4%		35.7%	42.9%	14
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.8	7.1%	14.3%	21.4%	7.1%	50.0%	14
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%	14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.0		21.4%		35.7%	42.9%	14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.9		14.3%	14.3%	35.7%	35.7%	14
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.9				7.1%	92.9%	14
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.6		7.1%		21.4%	71.4%	14
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	4.7				28.6%	71.4%	14

Class Number: 16076

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-UY 3133: Structural Analysis

Section: BLEC

Primary Instructor: Maloof,Roula A.

Response Rate: 14/19

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	7.7%						92.3%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	16.7%						83.3%		12
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5		7.1%	7.1%	14.3%	71.4%			14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.4	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%			14
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.3	7.1%	28.6%	7.1%	42.9%	14.3%			14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.0	7.1%	35.7%	21.4%	21.4%	14.3%			14
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.1	14.3%	28.6%	7.1%	35.7%	14.3%			14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.0	14.3%	28.6%	21.4%	14.3%	21.4%			14
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q27	I received a clear and thorough presentation of the theory and application of structural analysis as it applies to trusses, beams and frames.	3.7	14.3%		7.1%	57.1%	21.4%			14
Q28	Your background in mechanics of materials was adequate in preparation for this course.	2.7	7.1%	50.0%	14.3%	21.4%	7.1%			14
Q29	You have a good understanding of the role structural analysis plays in design of structures.	3.9		14.3%		64.3%	21.4%			14
Q30	Use of computer methods was instructive.	2.6	21.4%	28.6%	28.6%	14.3%	7.1%			14
Q31	A balance of simplified methods was introduced in addition to the more sophisticated analysis techniques.	3.3	7.1%	28.6%	14.3%	28.6%	21.4%			14
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	2.8	21.4%	35.7%	7.1%	14.3%	21.4%			14
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.8	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	42.9%	28.6%	7.1%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	2.9	28.6%	7.1%	14.3%	42.9%	7.1%			14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.3		35.7%	14.3%	21.4%	21.4%	7.1%		14
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	3.9		14.3%	7.1%	50.0%	28.6%			14
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	3.4		21.4%	28.6%	42.9%	7.1%			14
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	3.6		14.3%	21.4%	57.1%	7.1%			14



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-GY 8243: Construction Modeling Techniques

Class Number: 15105

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Aslani, Pooyan

Response Rate: 29/30

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	10.3%						89.7%		29
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.9%						93.1%		29
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3		6.9%	10.3%	31.0%	51.7%			29
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.5	6.9%	20.7%	10.3%	41.4%	20.7%			29
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	2.0	51.7%	27.6%		10.3%	10.3%			29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.4	6.9%	31.0%	6.9%	27.6%	27.6%			29
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.8	3.4%	13.8%	10.3%	44.8%	27.6%			29
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.5	10.3%	13.8%	17.2%	31.0%	27.6%			29
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q27	I understand the concept of BIM and their associated database, using state-of-the-art design and management systems.	3.9		14.8%	7.4%	55.6%	22.2%			27
Q28	I can successfully develop a 3D model with all information in the model.	4.1	3.7%	7.4%	3.7%	40.7%	40.7%	3.7%		27
Q29	Using the Building Information Model I can successfully extract the design Documents.	3.6	7.4%	14.8%	18.5%	33.3%	25.9%			27
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.8	3.4%	3.4%	27.6%	37.9%	27.6%			29
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3	3.4%	3.4%		44.8%	48.3%			29
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.5	6.9%	20.7%	10.3%	41.4%	20.7%			29
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.9	6.9%	6.9%	10.3%	41.4%	34.5%			29

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS-UY 2512: Biostatistics

Class Number: 15779

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Asam,Kesava Reddy

Response Rate: 16/18

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	6.3%						93.8%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.3%						93.8%		16
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7			6.3%	18.8%	68.8%		6.3%	16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8				18.8%	75.0%		6.3%	16
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4		6.3%	6.3%	31.3%	56.3%			16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%			16
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4		12.5%		18.8%	68.8%			16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0	6.3%	12.5%		37.5%	43.8%			16
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.2		12.5%	6.3%	31.3%	50.0%			16
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4		6.3%	12.5%	18.8%	62.5%			16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5		6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	68.8%			16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.1		12.5%	25.0%	6.3%	56.3%			16



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
BMS-UY 4324: Advanced Cell and Molecular Biology II

Class Number: 16290

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Asam,Kesava Reddy

Response Rate: 13/14

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		13
A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.9				7.7%	92.3%		13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.5		7.7%	7.7%	15.4%	69.2%		13
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8			7.7%		92.3%		13
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8			7.7%		92.3%		13
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	4.7			15.4%		84.6%		13
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8				23.1%	76.9%		13
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6				38.5%	61.5%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6				38.5%	61.5%		13
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5		7.7%		23.1%	69.2%		13
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7				30.8%	69.2%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6				38.5%	61.5%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.9	7.7%	15.4%		30.8%	46.2%		13



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
BMS-UY 4324: Advanced Cell and Molecular Biology II

Class Number: 16292

Section: A2LB

Primary Instructor: Asam,Kesava Reddy

Response Rate: 6/6

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		6
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%		6
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		6
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.2		16.7%	16.7%		66.7%		6
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.3		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		6
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-UY 4812: Civil Engineering Design I: Site Planning and Design

Class Number: 16236

Section: LCLB

Primary Instructor: Abou Moussa, Walid Amin

Response Rate: 41/41

		No			Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp		
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	7.7%			92.3%		39		
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.8%			82.1%	5.1%	39		
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5		5.0%	7.5%	25.0%	62.5%		40
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.3	5.0%	20.0%	27.5%	35.0%	12.5%		40
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.4	7.5%	15.0%	27.5%	27.5%	20.0%	2.5%	40
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.3	7.5%	20.0%	27.5%	30.0%	15.0%		40
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.0	7.5%	35.0%	15.0%	32.5%	10.0%		40
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.6		12.8%	25.6%	46.2%	15.4%		39
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.4	7.5%	20.0%	17.5%	37.5%	17.5%		40
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.2		5.0%	7.5%	50.0%	37.5%		40
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.4	10.3%	12.8%	23.1%	30.8%	23.1%		39
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.5	10.0%	12.5%	22.5%	32.5%	22.5%		40
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.1		5.3%	10.5%	50.0%	34.2%		38
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	3.8	2.6%	10.5%	10.5%	55.3%	21.1%		38
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.0		10.5%	7.9%	52.6%	28.9%		38
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	4.0		7.9%	13.2%	50.0%	28.9%		38
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	4.0	2.6%	5.3%	7.9%	57.9%	26.3%		38
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	3.7	2.7%	18.9%	8.1%	43.2%	27.0%		37
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	3.8		13.2%	18.4%	44.7%	23.7%		38
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	3.6	2.6%	18.4%	18.4%	42.1%	18.4%		38
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	3.7	2.6%	7.9%	28.9%	39.5%	21.1%		38
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	3.7	2.6%	13.2%	21.1%	42.1%	21.1%		38
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.0		5.3%	18.4%	47.4%	28.9%		38

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-UY 4822: Civil Engineering Design II: Structural Design

Class Number: 20696

Section: LCLB

Primary Instructor: Abou Moussa, Walid Amin

Response Rate: 8/8

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning							100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%						87.5%		8
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				12.5%	87.5%			8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.5	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	25.0%			8
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3		12.5%		37.5%	50.0%			8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.5	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	25.0%			8
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.9		12.5%	12.5%	50.0%	25.0%			8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.7	14.3%		14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	14.3%		7
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3	12.5%			25.0%	62.5%			8
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4				62.5%	37.5%			8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.0		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%			8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3		12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	62.5%			8

Class Number: 14902

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 6003: Financial Accounting

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Marshall,Ingrid M

Response Rate: 13/30

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		13	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		13	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6	7.7%			7.7%	84.6%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.0	7.7%		15.4%	38.5%	38.5%		13
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.1	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	23.1%	53.8%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4		7.7%	7.7%	23.1%	61.5%		13
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4	7.7%		7.7%	15.4%	69.2%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6			15.4%	7.7%	76.9%		13
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3	7.7%		7.7%	23.1%	61.5%		13
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.8	23.1%		7.7%	7.7%	61.5%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.2	15.4%			23.1%	61.5%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.8	23.1%	7.7%		7.7%	61.5%		13



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Class Number: 14952

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 6003: Financial Accounting

Section: J

Primary Instructor: Marshall, Ingrid M

Response Rate: 7/29

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		7
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.4	14.3%				85.7%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.9	14.3%		28.6%		57.1%		7
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4	14.3%				85.7%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4	14.3%				85.7%		7
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.9	14.3%			57.1%	28.6%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0	14.3%		14.3%	14.3%	57.1%		7
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.4		28.6%	28.6%	14.3%	28.6%		7
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.6	14.3%	14.3%		42.9%	28.6%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.9	14.3%	14.3%		14.3%	57.1%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.9	14.3%	14.3%		14.3%	57.1%		7

Class Number: 16270

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

EN-UY 2204W: SCIENCE JOURNALISM

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Cherry,Steven M

Response Rate: 16/18

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.3%				93.8%			16
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8			6.3%	6.3%	87.5%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6		6.3%		25.0%	68.8%		16
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.8		25.0%	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		16
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5		6.7%		33.3%	60.0%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0		7.1%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	7.1%	14
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to effectively communicate including being able to give oral presentations that increase knowledge, foster understanding, or encourage change.	4.5		7.1%		21.4%	64.3%	7.1%	14
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	4.3		7.7%	7.7%	30.8%	46.2%	7.7%	13
Q29	I developed perspectives of the humanities and social sciences to bear within technical discourse.	4.6			7.7%	23.1%	69.2%		13
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6			6.3%	25.0%	68.8%		16
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5		6.3%		31.3%	62.5%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6		6.3%		25.0%	68.8%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5		6.3%		31.3%	62.5%		16

Class Number: 20016

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 2224: Data Analysis

Section: B3

Primary Instructor: Arakelian,Alex

Response Rate: 2/32

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		2	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		2	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.0				100.0%			2
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0				100.0%			2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.5			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.5		50.0%			50.0%		2
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	3.5			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	3.0			100.0%				2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	2.0		100.0%					2
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.5			50.0%	50.0%			2
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.5			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0				100.0%			2

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering
EL-GY 5613: Introduction to Electric Power Systems

Class Number: 14818

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Zabar,Zivan

Response Rate: 31/37

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			31
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		6.7%			93.3%			30
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8			3.2%	16.1%	80.6%		31
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.2			23.3%	30.0%	40.0%	6.7%	30
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5		3.2%	6.5%	22.6%	67.7%		31
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.8	3.2%	19.4%	6.5%	32.3%	38.7%		31
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3	3.2%	6.5%	6.5%	25.8%	54.8%	3.2%	31
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.9	6.5%	3.2%	19.4%	32.3%	32.3%	6.5%	31
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.8	6.5%	16.1%	9.7%	29.0%	38.7%		31
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.9	3.2%	6.5%	19.4%	35.5%	35.5%		31
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.4		6.5%	9.7%	22.6%	61.3%		31
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.1	3.2%	6.5%	12.9%	29.0%	48.4%		31

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering
EL-GY 6663: Distributed Generation Systems

Class Number: 20650

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Zabar,Zivan

Response Rate: 22/26

		<i>No</i>				<i>Yes</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		22
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5	4.5%			27.3%	68.2%	22
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.2	4.5%		18.2%	22.7%	54.5%	22
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%	22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3		9.1%	4.5%	31.8%	54.5%	22
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6				36.4%	63.6%	22
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1		4.5%	22.7%	27.3%	45.5%	22
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3			4.5%	59.1%	36.4%	22
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7				31.8%	68.2%	22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6				40.9%	59.1%	22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6				36.4%	63.6%	22



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE-UY 2024: Fundamentals of Electric Circuits II

Class Number: 15739

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Campisi,Matthew S.

Response Rate: 22/32

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.5%						95.5%		22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.5%						90.9%	4.5%	22
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		22	
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6		4.5%	9.1%	4.5%	81.8%		22	
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.9	9.1%	13.6%		36.4%	40.9%		22	
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5			9.1%	31.8%	59.1%		22	
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		22	
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.9	13.6%	9.1%	4.5%	22.7%	50.0%		22	
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1		4.5%	9.1%	54.5%	31.8%		22	
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6				36.4%	63.6%		22	
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8				18.2%	81.8%		22	
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5		4.5%	9.1%	18.2%	68.2%		22	
H3. TA Evaluation		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	4.3		9.1%	4.5%	36.4%	50.0%		22	
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	4.4		4.5%	9.1%	31.8%	54.5%		22	
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	4.1		4.5%	22.7%	31.8%	40.9%		22	

Class Number: 15741

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering
EE-UY 3054: Signals and Systems

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Campisi,Matthew S.

Response Rate: 50/70

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	2.0%						98.0%		49
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.4%						89.4%	4.3%	47
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				6.1%	93.9%			49
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6		2.0%	4.1%	26.5%	65.3%		2.0%	49
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.4	4.1%	26.5%	10.2%	44.9%	14.3%			49
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.1		10.4%	8.3%	37.5%	41.7%		2.1%	48
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5		4.1%	6.1%	28.6%	59.2%		2.0%	49
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.8	6.1%	4.1%	18.4%	40.8%	28.6%		2.0%	49
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.2		8.2%	4.1%	49.0%	38.8%			49
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5		2.0%	6.0%	28.0%	64.0%			50
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8			2.0%	12.0%	86.0%			50
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5		2.0%	4.0%	32.0%	62.0%			50
H3. TA Evaluation		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	2.8	16.0%	20.0%	30.0%	32.0%	2.0%			50
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	2.2	30.0%	30.0%	28.0%	10.0%	2.0%			50
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	2.8	14.0%	20.0%	44.0%	18.0%	4.0%			50



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE-UY 3824: Electric Energy Conversion Systems

Class Number: 15786

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Campisi, Matthew S.

Response Rate: 16/27

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			16
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3			6.3%	62.5%	31.3%		16
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3			6.3%	56.3%	37.5%		16
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3			6.3%	56.3%	37.5%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4				56.3%	43.8%		16
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4				62.5%	37.5%		16
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4				56.3%	43.8%		16
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4				62.5%	37.5%		16
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4			6.3%	43.8%	50.0%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		16
H3. TA Evaluation		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	4.2		12.5%	6.3%	31.3%	50.0%		16
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	4.3		6.3%	6.3%	37.5%	50.0%		16
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	4.3		6.3%	6.3%	37.5%	50.0%		16

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 6811: FINANCIAL SOFTWARE LABORATORY

Class Number: 14908

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Yarmish, Jason Andrew

Response Rate: 5/13

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.6			20.0%		80.0%		5
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.6			20.0%		80.0%		5
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6			20.0%		80.0%		5
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.6			20.0%		80.0%		5
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.4		20.0%			80.0%		5
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0		20.0%		40.0%	40.0%		5
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.2	20.0%				80.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.6	20.0%	20.0%			60.0%		5
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.2	20.0%	20.0%		40.0%	20.0%		5
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.2	20.0%				80.0%		5
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0	20.0%			20.0%	60.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.2	20.0%				80.0%		5



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-GY 7853: Concepts and Implementation of Infrastructure Management Systems

Class Number: 23819

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Juran,Ilan

Response Rate: 7/7

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	14.3%				85.7%		7	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	14.3%				85.7%		7	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	42.9%				57.1%		7	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	42.9%				57.1%		7	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3				71.4%	28.6%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3				71.4%	28.6%		7
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.7		14.3%	14.3%	57.1%	14.3%		7
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.7		14.3%	14.3%	57.1%	14.3%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3				71.4%	28.6%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3				71.4%	28.6%		7
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I understand the typical vulnerabilities in design, construction management, and the role of failures, critical, essential and unique structures.	4.3			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
Q27	I understand the typical vulnerabilities in design, construction management, and the role of failures, critical, essential and unique structures.	4.3			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
Q28	I understand the management of infrastructure assets: project and network level lifecycle cost analysis, expected useful life and the maintenance options of reconstruction or repair.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q28	I understand the management of infrastructure assets: project and network level lifecycle cost analysis, expected useful life and the maintenance options of reconstruction or repair.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q29	I can evaluate the performance monitoring and system-condition assessment as part of an infrastructure management system such as: structural condition, load rating, potential hazards, and serviceability.	4.3				71.4%	28.6%		7
Q29	I can evaluate the performance monitoring and system-condition assessment as part of an infrastructure management system such as: structural condition, load rating, potential hazards, and serviceability.	4.3				71.4%	28.6%		7
Q30	I learned how to use information technologies and apply this to remote sensing and database development for urban systems management such as: data acquisition, storage and evaluation, deterioration rates, needs assessment, forecasts, and economic assessme	3.7		14.3%		85.7%			7



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-GY 7853: Concepts and Implementation of Infrastructure Management Systems

Class Number: 23819

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Yanev,Bojidar

Response Rate: 7/7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q30	I learned how to use information technologies and apply this to remote sensing and database development for urban systems management such as: data acquisition, storage and evaluation, deterioration rates, needs assessment, forecasts, and economic assessme	3.7		14.3%		85.7%			7
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4				57.1%	42.9%		7
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4				57.1%	42.9%		7
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		7



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME-GY 5643: Mechatronics

Class Number: 15289

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Kapila,Vikram

Response Rate: 26/27

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					96.2%	3.8%		26
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8			3.8%	15.4%	80.8%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.4	3.8%		3.8%	34.6%	57.7%		26
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.2	7.7%	3.8%	7.7%	19.2%	61.5%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.2	3.8%	3.8%	3.8%	38.5%	42.3%	7.7%	26
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3		7.7%	3.8%	38.5%	50.0%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1		15.4%	15.4%	11.5%	57.7%		26
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.8		19.2%	15.4%	34.6%	30.8%		26
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4	4.0%	4.0%	8.0%	16.0%	68.0%		25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.2	7.7%	3.8%	7.7%	23.1%	57.7%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5	4.0%		4.0%	28.0%	64.0%		25
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.5		4.3%	13.0%	13.0%	69.6%		23
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.6		4.3%	4.3%	21.7%	69.6%		23
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	4.3		4.3%	4.3%	47.8%	43.5%		23
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	4.1		4.3%	17.4%	39.1%	39.1%		23
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.4		4.3%	8.7%	30.4%	56.5%		23

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

PL-UY 2144: Ethics and Technology

Class Number: 16275

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Linden,Patrick I

Response Rate: 26/39

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.8%				96.2%			26
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				15.4%	84.6%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.4	3.8%	3.8%		26.9%	61.5%	3.8%	26
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3	3.8%		7.7%	34.6%	46.2%	7.7%	26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.0		15.4%	7.7%	38.5%	38.5%		26
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3	3.8%	3.8%	3.8%	30.8%	57.7%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.2		3.8%	19.2%	23.1%	50.0%	3.8%	26
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1		11.5%	11.5%	34.6%	42.3%		26
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4			15.4%	26.9%	57.7%		26
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5	3.8%		7.7%	23.1%	65.4%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3	3.8%		7.7%	34.6%	53.8%		26

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

PL-UY 2144: Ethics and Technology

Class Number: 16276

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Linden,Patrick I

Response Rate: 28/40

		<i>No</i>			<i>Yes</i>			<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				100.0%				28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				100.0%				28
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				17.9%	82.1%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5			7.1%	32.1%	60.7%		28
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.9		11.1%	14.8%	51.9%	22.2%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.2			22.2%	37.0%	37.0%	3.7%	27
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.2			10.7%	57.1%	32.1%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.2		3.6%	10.7%	46.4%	39.3%		28
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1	3.7%		7.4%	55.6%	33.3%		27
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.2		3.6%	10.7%	46.4%	39.3%		28
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.2		3.6%	17.9%	35.7%	42.9%		28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0		7.1%	14.3%	46.4%	32.1%		28

Class Number: 16295

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

STS-UY 2904: Special Topics in STS

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Linden,Patrick I

Response Rate: 20/26

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						95.0%	5.0%	20
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8			5.0%	5.0%	90.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8			5.0%	10.0%	85.0%		20
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5			5.0%	40.0%	55.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		20
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5			20.0%	10.0%	70.0%		20
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate the relationship between content of scientific and technological knowledge, and the social and intellectual context in which it is created.	4.6			5.9%	29.4%	64.7%		17
Q28	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how social processes frame scientific and technological enterprises, including theory construction, invention, and innovation.	4.4			11.8%	35.3%	52.9%		17
Q29	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how science and technology shape society (in historical, philosophical, sociological, cultural and technical ways).	4.8			5.9%	11.8%	82.4%		17
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5		5.0%	5.0%	25.0%	65.0%		20
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7		5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	85.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8			10.0%	5.0%	85.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		20



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 2034: Linear Algebra and Differential Equations

Class Number: 16312

Section: C3

Primary Instructor: Miller,Edward

Response Rate: 3/32

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		3	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	33.3%				66.7%		3	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.0			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.7	33.3%				66.7%		3
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.5		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	2.7	33.3%	33.3%			33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.3		33.3%	33.3%		33.3%		3
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	4.3				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	2.7	33.3%	33.3%			33.3%		3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	1.7	66.7%		33.3%				3
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	5.0					66.7%	33.3%	3
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.7	33.3%				66.7%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.7	33.3%				66.7%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0		33.3%			66.7%		3

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering
ME-UY 4393: Nuclear Power Plant Systems

Class Number: 20106

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Speyer, Daniel M.

Response Rate: 15/21

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	13.3%						86.7%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.							100.0%		15
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				6.7%	93.3%			15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7		6.7%		13.3%	80.0%			15
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7			13.3%	6.7%	80.0%			15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5		13.3%		13.3%	73.3%			15
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.1		13.3%		46.7%	40.0%			15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3		6.7%	6.7%	33.3%	53.3%			15
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1		20.0%		33.3%	46.7%			15
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5			20.0%	6.7%	73.3%			15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6			20.0%		80.0%			15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3			33.3%		66.7%			15

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-GY 8253: Project Management for Construction

Class Number: 14959

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Rozenberg, Salvador Julius

Response Rate: 28/30

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	7.1%				89.3%	3.6%	28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	15.4%				76.9%	7.7%	26
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3		7.1%	7.1%	32.1%	53.6%	28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7			3.7%	25.9%	70.4%	27
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%	28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5		7.4%	3.7%	22.2%	66.7%	27
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3	3.6%	3.6%	3.6%	39.3%	50.0%	28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5		3.6%		35.7%	60.7%	28
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1	3.6%	3.6%	10.7%	46.4%	35.7%	28
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4	3.6%	3.6%		35.7%	57.1%	28
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.4	3.6%	3.6%	3.6%	28.6%	60.7%	28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.4	3.6%	3.6%	7.1%	25.0%	60.7%	28



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL-GY 6473: Introduction to VLSI System Design

Class Number: 14887

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Rakheja,Shaloo

Response Rate: 82/85

		No			Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp		
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	7.3%			89.0%	3.7%	82		
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.2%			91.4%	2.5%	81		
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7	1.2%	3.7%		12.2%	81.7%	1.2%	82
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7	1.2%	2.4%	4.9%	8.5%	80.5%	2.4%	82
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3	2.4%	4.9%	9.8%	29.3%	53.7%		82
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.1	3.7%	9.8%	7.3%	26.8%	51.2%	1.2%	82
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.1	6.1%	4.9%	8.5%	29.3%	48.8%	2.4%	82
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3	6.1%	1.2%	6.1%	30.5%	54.9%	1.2%	82
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	2.6	34.1%	26.8%	7.3%	8.5%	22.0%	1.2%	82
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.2	6.1%	3.7%	9.8%	26.8%	52.4%	1.2%	82
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.2	6.1%	4.9%	7.3%	23.2%	57.3%	1.2%	82
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.9	9.8%	6.1%	12.2%	28.0%	41.5%	2.4%	82
H3. TA Evaluation		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	3.4	10.7%	16.0%	20.0%	32.0%	20.0%	1.3%	75
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	3.4	10.7%	17.3%	18.7%	26.7%	25.3%	1.3%	75
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	3.7	5.3%	13.3%	14.7%	34.7%	29.3%	2.7%	75



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CBE-UY 3153: Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering Thermodynamics

Class Number: 15714

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Kim, Jin Ryoun

Response Rate: 39/50

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			39
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.6%				97.4%			38
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8			2.6%	12.8%	84.6%		39
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.4			20.5%	23.1%	56.4%		39
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.2		2.6%	10.3%	48.7%	38.5%		39
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4		5.3%	5.3%	36.8%	52.6%		38
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.2	5.1%	5.1%	2.6%	35.9%	51.3%		39
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.2		5.1%	17.9%	30.8%	43.6%	2.6%	39
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1		15.4%	5.1%	38.5%	41.0%		39
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4			5.1%	48.7%	46.2%		39
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.3	2.6%		15.4%	30.8%	51.3%		39
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.1		10.3%	10.3%	38.5%	41.0%		39
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.5			5.4%	40.5%	54.1%		37

Class Number: 15658

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-GY 6823: Network Security

Section: INT4

Primary Instructor: Mak,Phillip

Response Rate: 13/22

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		13
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		13
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0		15.4%	7.7%	38.5%	38.5%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7				30.8%	69.2%		13
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7				30.8%	69.2%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7				30.8%	69.2%		13
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		12
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	4.9				8.3%	91.7%		12
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.7			8.3%	16.7%	75.0%		12
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	4.6			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		12
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		12
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		12
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	3.7			50.0%	16.7%	25.0%	8.3%	12
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.8			50.0%	8.3%	33.3%	8.3%	12
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.8			50.0%	8.3%	33.3%	8.3%	12
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	4.1	16.7%			25.0%	58.3%		12
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	4.0	8.3%		25.0%	16.7%	50.0%		12
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3		8.3%		50.0%	41.7%		12
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		12



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering
ME-UY 3333: THERMODYNAMICS

Class Number: 15976

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Benbelkacem,Ghania

Response Rate: 16/37

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						93.8%	6.3%	16
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						93.8%	6.3%	16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%					68.8%	6.3%	16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%					68.8%	6.3%	16
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	2.9	18.8%	31.3%	6.3%	31.3%	12.5%		16
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	2.9	18.8%	31.3%	6.3%	31.3%	12.5%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.2	12.5%	18.8%	18.8%	37.5%	12.5%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.2	12.5%	18.8%	18.8%	37.5%	12.5%		16
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	2.9	25.0%	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%		16
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	2.9	25.0%	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	2.5	18.8%	43.8%	18.8%	6.3%	12.5%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	2.5	18.8%	43.8%	18.8%	6.3%	12.5%		16
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	2.4	31.3%	37.5%		25.0%	6.3%		16
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	2.4	31.3%	37.5%		25.0%	6.3%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.9		6.3%	12.5%	62.5%	18.8%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.9		6.3%	12.5%	62.5%	18.8%		16
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned the basic principles of thermodynamics, first and second law, mass conservation, and energy equations.	3.7	6.3%	18.8%		50.0%	25.0%		16
Q27	Learned the basic principles of thermodynamics, first and second law, mass conservation, and energy equations.	3.7	6.3%	18.8%		50.0%	25.0%		16
Q28	Learned the properties of pure substances, ideal gas law, phase diagrams, closed and open systems.	3.8	6.3%	12.5%	6.3%	50.0%	25.0%		16
Q28	Learned the properties of pure substances, ideal gas law, phase diagrams, closed and open systems.	3.8	6.3%	12.5%	6.3%	50.0%	25.0%		16
Q29	Learned the principles of entropy, reversible and irreversible processes, heat engines and refrigerators and isentropic efficiency	3.5	6.3%	18.8%	6.3%	56.3%	12.5%		16
Q29	Learned the principles of entropy, reversible and irreversible processes, heat engines and refrigerators and isentropic efficiency	3.5	6.3%	18.8%	6.3%	56.3%	12.5%		16
Q30	Learned the concepts of thermodynamic efficiency and coefficient of performance and their impact on energy policy.	3.3	6.3%	31.3%	6.3%	43.8%	12.5%		16
Q30	Learned the concepts of thermodynamic efficiency and coefficient of performance and their impact on energy policy.	3.3	6.3%	31.3%	6.3%	43.8%	12.5%		16
Q31	Analyzed and designed gas power cycles.	3.2		43.8%	6.3%	37.5%	12.5%		16
Q31	Analyzed and designed gas power cycles.	3.2		43.8%	6.3%	37.5%	12.5%		16
Q32	Learned about the need for continuous learning to remain professionally relevant in a fast changing field	2.6	25.0%	25.0%	18.8%	25.0%	6.3%		16
Q32	Learned about the need for continuous learning to remain professionally relevant in a fast changing field	2.6	25.0%	25.0%	18.8%	25.0%	6.3%		16



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 3333: THERMODYNAMICS

Class Number: 15976

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Benbelkacem, Ghania

Response Rate: 16/37

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	2.8		62.5%	6.3%	25.0%	6.3%		16
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	2.8		62.5%	6.3%	25.0%	6.3%		16
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	2.9	18.8%	31.3%		31.3%	12.5%	6.3%	16
Q40	Value was added to my education.	2.9	18.8%	31.3%		31.3%	12.5%	6.3%	16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	2.2	37.5%	37.5%		18.8%	6.3%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	2.2	37.5%	37.5%		18.8%	6.3%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.3	25.0%	6.3%	12.5%	31.3%	25.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.3	25.0%	6.3%	12.5%	31.3%	25.0%		16
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	3.0	13.3%	33.3%		46.7%	6.7%		15
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	3.0	13.3%	33.3%		46.7%	6.7%		15
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	2.7	6.7%	53.3%		40.0%			15
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	2.7	6.7%	53.3%		40.0%			15
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	2.9	13.3%	26.7%	26.7%	26.7%	6.7%		15
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	2.9	13.3%	26.7%	26.7%	26.7%	6.7%		15
A41	I have enhanced my ability to recognize the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	2.9	20.0%	26.7%	13.3%	26.7%	13.3%		15
A41	I have enhanced my ability to recognize the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	2.9	20.0%	26.7%	13.3%	26.7%	13.3%		15
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	2.5	26.7%	20.0%	33.3%	20.0%			15
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	2.5	26.7%	20.0%	33.3%	20.0%			15
A48	I have enhanced my ability to apply principles of engineering, basic science, and mathematics (including multivariate calculus and differential equations) to model, analyze, design, and realize physical systems, components or processes	2.5	33.3%	20.0%	6.7%	40.0%			15
A48	I have enhanced my ability to apply principles of engineering, basic science, and mathematics (including multivariate calculus and differential equations) to model, analyze, design, and realize physical systems, components or processes	2.5	33.3%	20.0%	6.7%	40.0%			15

Class Number: 15694

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Sterling,John

Response Rate: 31/49

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						96.7%	3.3%	30
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		29
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8			6.7%	6.7%	86.7%		30
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6		3.4%	10.3%	6.9%	79.3%		29
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5		3.3%	6.7%	26.7%	63.3%		30
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3		6.7%	13.3%	26.7%	53.3%		30
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6			6.7%	23.3%	70.0%		30
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0			33.3%	26.7%	33.3%	6.7%	30
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	You were taught the fundamentals of object-oriented thinking, design and programming.	4.7			3.3%	20.0%	76.7%		30
Q28	You were taught the details and correct use of the C programming language.	4.5	3.3%		6.7%	16.7%	53.3%	20.0%	30
Q29	Your ability to program has increased.	4.7			6.7%	20.0%	73.3%		30
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.2		6.7%	10.0%	40.0%	43.3%		30
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8			3.3%	13.3%	80.0%	3.3%	30
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6			10.0%	16.7%	73.3%		30
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5	3.3%	3.3%	3.3%	23.3%	66.7%		30
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.5			10.0%	23.3%	60.0%	6.7%	30
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	4.6			6.7%	26.7%	66.7%		30
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	4.2		3.3%	23.3%	26.7%	46.7%		30
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.6			6.7%	23.3%	70.0%		30
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Y. Regarding The Laboratory									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		30
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.7			3.3%	26.7%	70.0%		30
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.6		3.3%	6.7%	20.0%	70.0%		30
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.4		6.7%	10.0%	13.3%	60.0%	10.0%	30



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-UY 1124: Object Oriented Programming

Class Number: 15694

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Sterling, John

Response Rate: 31/49

Y. Regarding The Laboratory		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.3			30.0%	6.7%	53.3%	10.0%	30
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.4			16.7%	20.0%	60.0%	3.3%	30

Class Number: 15695

Section: BLEC

Primary Instructor: Sterling,John

Response Rate: 19/47

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					94.7%	5.3%	19
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				100.0%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0				100.0%		19
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6				42.1%	57.9%	19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4			5.6%	50.0%	44.4%	18
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5			5.3%	42.1%	52.6%	19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1		5.3%	21.1%	21.1%	36.8%	15.8%
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q27	You were taught the fundamentals of object-oriented thinking, design and programming.	4.8				15.8%	84.2%	19
Q28	You were taught the details and correct use of the C programming language.	4.7				26.3%	68.4%	5.3%
Q29	Your ability to program has increased.	4.6				36.8%	63.2%	19
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1		5.3%		63.2%	21.1%	10.5%
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8				21.1%	78.9%	19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				5.3%	89.5%	5.3%
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8				21.1%	73.7%	5.3%
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.4			5.3%	52.6%	42.1%	19
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	4.4			5.3%	47.4%	47.4%	19
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	3.6		15.8%	31.6%	31.6%	21.1%	19
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.3			15.8%	42.1%	42.1%	19
Y. Regarding The Laboratory		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5			5.3%	42.1%	52.6%	19
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.5			5.3%	36.8%	57.9%	19
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.2		5.3%	5.3%	57.9%	31.6%	19
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	3.9			31.6%	36.8%	26.3%	5.3%



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-UY 1124: Object Oriented Programming

Class Number: 15695

Section: BLEC

Primary Instructor: Sterling, John

Response Rate: 19/47

Y. Regarding The Laboratory		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	3.6	5.3%	10.5%	21.1%	26.3%	26.3%	10.5%	19
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.3		5.3%	15.8%	26.3%	52.6%		19

Class Number: 20042

Section: CLEC

Primary Instructor: Sterling,John

Response Rate: 28/43

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			28
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				3.6%	96.4%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6		3.6%	3.6%	25.0%	67.9%		28
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4			7.1%	46.4%	46.4%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.2		10.7%	10.7%	21.4%	53.6%	3.6%	28
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5			7.1%	35.7%	57.1%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.2		7.4%	14.8%	29.6%	48.1%		27
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	You were taught the fundamentals of object-oriented thinking, design and programming.	4.7		3.6%		17.9%	78.6%		28
Q28	You were taught the details and correct use of the C programming language.	4.5		3.6%	3.6%	28.6%	64.3%		28
Q29	Your ability to program has increased.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		28
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0		17.9%	10.7%	21.4%	50.0%		28
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7			3.6%	21.4%	75.0%		28
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6			7.1%	25.0%	67.9%		28
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.4		3.6%	7.1%	35.7%	53.6%		28
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	4.5			3.6%	39.3%	57.1%		28
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	4.0		3.6%	25.0%	42.9%	28.6%		28
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.3		7.1%	3.6%	39.3%	50.0%		28
Y. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5		3.6%	3.6%	28.6%	64.3%		28
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.5	3.6%		7.1%	21.4%	67.9%		28
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.3		7.1%	17.9%	14.3%	60.7%		28
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.5			7.4%	37.0%	55.6%		27



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-UY 1124: Object Oriented Programming

Class Number: 20042

Section: CLEC

Primary Instructor: Sterling, John

Response Rate: 28/43

Y. Regarding The Laboratory		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.2		3.6%	28.6%	14.3%	53.6%		28
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.4	3.6%	3.6%	3.6%	25.0%	64.3%		28

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-UY 3393: UNIX System Programming

Class Number: 15998

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Sterling, John

Response Rate: 22/29

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning							100.0%		22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.5%						95.5%		22
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%			22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	81.8%			22
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6		4.5%		27.3%	68.2%			22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6		4.5%		22.7%	72.7%			22
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.9				9.1%	90.9%			22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7				31.8%	63.6%	4.5%		22
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.9	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	22.7%	45.5%	4.5%		22
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.9				9.1%	90.9%			22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9		4.5%			95.5%			22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7		4.5%		13.6%	81.8%			22

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		15
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		15
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7			6.7%	20.0%	73.3%		15
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.9				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.9				13.3%	86.7%		15
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.1		13.3%	13.3%	20.0%	53.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.3	6.7%	33.3%	13.3%	6.7%	33.3%	6.7%	15
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.0		13.3%	13.3%	33.3%	40.0%		15
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.3			6.7%	60.0%	33.3%		15
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.1		6.7%	20.0%	33.3%	40.0%		15
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.3		6.7%	13.3%	20.0%	60.0%		15
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6			6.7%	26.7%	66.7%		15
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6				40.0%	60.0%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.3			13.3%	46.7%	40.0%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.1		6.7%	20.0%	26.7%	46.7%		15

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6303: OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 14916

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Helling, Thomas E.

Response Rate: 34/37

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		34
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		34
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				15.2%	84.8%		33
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8			3.0%	12.1%	84.8%		33
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0	5.9%	14.7%	5.9%	23.5%	50.0%		34
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4			23.5%	14.7%	61.8%		34
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8			2.9%	17.6%	79.4%		34
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		32
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.6			5.9%	23.5%	70.6%		34
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.6			2.9%	32.4%	64.7%		34
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.6			2.9%	38.2%	58.8%		34
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.6		5.9%		17.6%	76.5%		34
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1	2.9%	5.9%	2.9%	50.0%	38.2%		34
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.9				14.7%	85.3%		34
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7			3.0%	21.2%	75.8%		33
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8				18.2%	81.8%		33

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		25
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7		4.0%		16.0%	80.0%		25
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7			4.0%	20.0%	76.0%		25
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.2	4.0%	8.0%	4.0%	32.0%	52.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5			12.5%	20.8%	62.5%	4.2%	24
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5			4.0%	40.0%	56.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		25
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.3	4.0%	8.0%	4.0%	20.0%	64.0%		25
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.5		4.0%		36.0%	60.0%		25
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.5				48.0%	52.0%		25
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.6		4.0%		32.0%	64.0%		25
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.2	4.0%			60.0%	36.0%		25
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5		8.0%		24.0%	68.0%		25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8				24.0%	76.0%		25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6		4.0%	4.0%	16.0%	76.0%		25

Class Number: 14954

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 7953: Global Innovation

Section: MOT

Primary Instructor: Helling,Thomas E.

Response Rate: 9/11

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		9
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		9
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		9
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.2			22.2%	33.3%	44.4%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3			22.2%	22.2%	44.4%	11.1%	9
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%		9
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.4			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		8
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.6				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.4			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		8
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.6				37.5%	62.5%		8
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6				44.4%	55.6%		9
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9

Class Number: 15632

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Giura,Paul

Response Rate: 10/13

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		10.0%			90.0%			10
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3		10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	60.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3	10.0%		10.0%	10.0%	70.0%		10
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5		10.0%		20.0%	70.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		10
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6		10.0%		10.0%	80.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		10
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.4	10.0%			20.0%	70.0%		10
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	4.5	10.0%			10.0%	80.0%		10
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.5	10.0%			10.0%	80.0%		10
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	4.3	10.0%			30.0%	60.0%		10
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		10
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.7		10.0%			90.0%		10
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		10
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	4.6			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		10
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		10
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.6			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		10
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	4.9				10.0%	90.0%		10
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	4.7				30.0%	70.0%		10
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	60.0%		10
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.4	10.0%			20.0%	70.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		10

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering
ME-UY 1012: Introduction to Mechanical Engineering

Class Number: 15800

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Vradis, George C.

Response Rate: 11/22

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			11
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.4			18.2%	27.3%	54.5%		11
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4			9.1%	36.4%	45.5%	9.1%	11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5		9.1%		18.2%	63.6%	9.1%	11
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.2		18.2%		27.3%	54.5%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.9			36.4%	27.3%	27.3%	9.1%	11
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned consistent units; forces and moments.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q28	Learned about the materials response to application of force and engineering materials.	4.3				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q29	Learned about fluids engineering and thermal & energy systems.	4.3				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q30	Learned about motion and transmission.	4.3			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q31	Learned about ethical responsibilities of engineers.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3		9.1%		45.5%	45.5%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.4			18.2%	18.2%	54.5%	9.1%	11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.1		18.2%		27.3%	45.5%	9.1%	11
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	3.8			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	4.3			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	4.5			25.0%		75.0%		4



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering
ME-UY 1012: Introduction to Mechanical Engineering

Class Number: 15995

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Vradis, George C.

Response Rate: 15/27

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					93.3%	6.7%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.1%				92.9%			14
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				93.3%	6.7%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.4	6.7%		6.7%	13.3%	66.7%	6.7%	15
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6		6.7%		13.3%	66.7%	13.3%	15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6		6.7%		13.3%	66.7%	13.3%	15
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4			13.3%	26.7%	53.3%	6.7%	15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1		6.7%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	13.3%	15
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned consistent units; forces and moments.	4.3			7.1%	50.0%	28.6%	14.3%	14
Q28	Learned about the materials response to application of force and engineering materials.	4.4				50.0%	35.7%	14.3%	14
Q29	Learned about fluids engineering and thermal & energy systems.	4.4			7.1%	35.7%	42.9%	14.3%	14
Q30	Learned about motion and transmission.	4.1			14.3%	50.0%	21.4%	14.3%	14
Q31	Learned about ethical responsibilities of engineers.	4.8				21.4%	64.3%	14.3%	14
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3		6.7%		46.7%	40.0%	6.7%	15
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5		6.7%		26.7%	66.7%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5		6.7%	13.3%	6.7%	73.3%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.4		13.3%		13.3%	66.7%	6.7%	15
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.0	7.1%		7.1%	50.0%	28.6%	7.1%	14
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	3.9	7.1%		14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	7.1%	14
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	4.3	7.1%			35.7%	50.0%	7.1%	14



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 4313: Heat Transfer

Class Number: 16196

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Vradis, George C.

Response Rate: 21/39

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp				
A. Procedures and Policies													
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			21				
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			21				
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp				
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.					4.7			4.8%	19.0%	76.2%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.					4.2	4.8%	4.8%	14.3%	19.0%	52.4%	4.8%	21
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp				
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.					4.3		14.3%		23.8%	61.9%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.					4.2	9.5%	4.8%		28.6%	57.1%		21
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp				
D. Instruction													
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.					4.3	4.8%	4.8%	9.5%	19.0%	61.9%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.					4.8				23.8%	76.2%		21
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp				
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:													
Q27	Understood the various mechanisms of heat transfer					4.8				23.8%	76.2%		21
Q28	Understood the fundamental laws governing transport, storage and conservation of thermal energy					4.7				28.6%	71.4%		21
Q29	Was able to analyze and solve well-posed heat transfer problems					4.7		4.8%		14.3%	81.0%		21
Q30	Was able to design heat transfer systems such as fins and heat exchangers					4.6			4.8%	28.6%	66.7%		21
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp				
G1. Course Difficulty													
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.					4.2		9.5%	9.5%	28.6%	52.4%		21
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp				
H. Overall Evaluations													
Q40	Value was added to my education.					4.5		9.5%	4.8%	14.3%	71.4%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.					4.2	9.5%	4.8%	4.8%	19.0%	61.9%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.					4.2	4.8%	9.5%	4.8%	19.0%	61.9%		21
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp				
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:													
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering					4.8				19.0%	81.0%		21
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs					4.8				19.0%	81.0%		21
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems					4.7		4.8%		19.0%	76.2%		21
A48	I have enhanced my ability to apply principles of engineering, basic science, and mathematics (including multivariate calculus and differential equations) to model, analyze, design, and realize physical systems, components or processes					4.8				23.8%	76.2%		21

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

STS-UY 2314: IT'S ABOUT TIME

Class Number: 19756

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Phillips,Donald S

Response Rate: 24/28

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		9.5%			90.5%			21
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5			20.8%	4.2%	75.0%		24
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5			8.3%	29.2%	62.5%		24
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.1		8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	41.7%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1		12.5%	4.2%	45.8%	37.5%		24
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3		8.3%	4.2%	37.5%	50.0%		24
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5			4.2%	37.5%	58.3%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6			16.7%	8.3%	75.0%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5		4.2%		33.3%	62.5%		24



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

URB-UY 2034: Humans in the Urban Environment

Class Number: 16363

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Phillips,Donald S

Response Rate: 17/23

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	6.3%						87.5%	6.3%	16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.9%						88.2%	5.9%	17
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>	
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6	5.9%			11.8%	76.5%	5.9%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.2	5.9%		23.5%	5.9%	58.8%	5.9%		17
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>	
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.1	5.9%		17.6%	23.5%	47.1%	5.9%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.1	5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	35.3%	41.2%	5.9%		17
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>	
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0	5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	29.4%	41.2%	5.9%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3	5.9%		5.9%	35.3%	47.1%	5.9%		17
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>	
Q27	This course provided you with helpful feedback on your writing and communication skills.	3.7			41.2%	29.4%	17.6%	11.8%		17
Q28	This course has taught you how to participate more actively in class discussion.	4.1			29.4%	23.5%	35.3%	11.8%		17
Q29	This course helped you develop an understanding of how to do library research and evaluate sources.	3.3		12.5%	50.0%	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%		16
Q30	This course helped you understand the impact of technology on society.	4.3			11.8%	41.2%	41.2%	5.9%		17
Q31	This course used humanities or social science perspectives to examine issues tied to science or technology.	4.4			5.9%	41.2%	47.1%	5.9%		17
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>	
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.9	5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	29.4%	35.3%	11.8%		17
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>	
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3			17.6%	35.3%	41.2%	5.9%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.4			11.8%	29.4%	52.9%	5.9%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.2		5.9%	11.8%	35.3%	41.2%	5.9%		17
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>	
A18	I have enhanced my ability to use modern software tools.	2.6	17.6%	17.6%	41.2%	5.9%	5.9%	11.8%		17
A20	I have enhanced my ability to acquire and process information from multiple sources	3.6		11.8%	29.4%	29.4%	17.6%	11.8%		17
A21	I have enhanced my ability to learn independently.	4.1		5.9%	23.5%	17.6%	41.2%	11.8%		17
A22	I have enhanced my ability to continuously seek to acquire new knowledge	4.3			17.6%	23.5%	47.1%	11.8%		17
A23	I have enhanced my ability to bring in outside experiences to provide solutions to problems	4.1			23.5%	29.4%	35.3%	11.8%		17
A25	I have enhanced my ability to articulate ideas in a clear and concise fashion.	4.1			23.5%	29.4%	35.3%	11.8%		17
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	3.2	5.9%	5.9%	52.9%	11.8%	11.8%	11.8%		17

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

URB-UY 2034: Humans in the Urban Environment

Class Number: 16363

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Phillips,Donald S

Response Rate: 17/23

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	3.3		12.5%	50.0%	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	16
A28	I have enhanced my ability to use technology and graphics to present ideas and decisions	2.9	12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	6.3%	12.5%	18.8%	16
A31	I have enhanced my ability to understand some important contemporary events/issues	4.0		6.3%	18.8%	31.3%	31.3%	12.5%	16
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	3.6		6.3%	37.5%	18.8%	18.8%	18.8%	16
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	3.2		12.5%	50.0%	6.3%	12.5%	18.8%	16
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	2.8	12.5%	6.3%	56.3%		6.3%	18.8%	16
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	3.4	6.3%	6.3%	37.5%	18.8%	18.8%	12.5%	16
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	4.1		6.3%	18.8%	18.8%	37.5%	18.8%	16
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	4.0	6.3%		18.8%	18.8%	37.5%	18.8%	16
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	4.2			18.8%	25.0%	37.5%	18.8%	16
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	4.4			12.5%	31.3%	50.0%	6.3%	16
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	3.5	6.3%	12.5%	25.0%	12.5%	25.0%	18.8%	16



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management
MG-GY 6093: ACCOUNTING & FINANCE

Class Number: 14915

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Watson,Edward G

Response Rate: 16/29

		No		Yes		Decline To Answer		# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning			100.0%				16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.3%		93.8%				16
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3		12.5%		31.3%	56.3%	16
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.4		6.3%	12.5%	12.5%	68.8%	16
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4		12.5%		25.0%	62.5%	16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.2	6.3%	12.5%	6.3%	6.3%	68.8%	16
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.7	6.3%	31.3%		12.5%	50.0%	16
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1		12.5%	6.3%	37.5%	43.8%	16
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.3	12.5%			25.0%	62.5%	16
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.2		12.5%	6.3%	31.3%	50.0%	16
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.3		6.3%	12.5%	31.3%	50.0%	16
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.3		12.5%		37.5%	50.0%	16
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1		25.0%		18.8%	56.3%	16
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.1	6.3%	6.3%	6.3%	31.3%	50.0%	16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.0	6.3%	18.8%		18.8%	56.3%	16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3	6.3%	6.3%	6.3%	12.5%	68.8%	16



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS-GY 6573: Penetration Testing and Vulnerability Analysis

Class Number: 15360

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Antoniewicz,Brad

Response Rate: 12/14

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		12
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5		16.7%			83.3%		12
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.9	8.3%	16.7%		25.0%	50.0%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7			16.7%		83.3%		12
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1	8.3%		25.0%	8.3%	58.3%		12
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E1. Online Course Module									
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.3	8.3%		8.3%	16.7%	66.7%		12
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	4.8			8.3%		91.7%		12
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	3.8		16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		12
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	4.4			8.3%	41.7%	50.0%		12
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	4.3	8.3%		8.3%	16.7%	66.7%		12
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.4			16.7%	25.0%	58.3%		12
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.3	8.3%		16.7%	8.3%	66.7%		12
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	3.4			75.0%	8.3%	16.7%		12
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.4			75.0%	8.3%	16.7%		12
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.4			75.0%	8.3%	16.7%		12
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	4.3			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		12
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	4.1			33.3%	25.0%	41.7%		12
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1		18.2%		36.4%	45.5%		11
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5	8.3%			16.7%	75.0%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		12



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering
ME-GY 6913: Introduction to Robot Mechanics

Class Number: 20097

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Kim,Joo Hyun

Response Rate: 11/11

		No				Yes		Decline To	#
A. Procedures and Policies								Answer	Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			11
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.9				9.1%	90.9%		11
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.9				9.1%	90.9%		11
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0					100.0%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8				18.2%	81.8%		11
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.7			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.9				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0					100.0%		11

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		8	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		8	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.1	12.5%			37.5%	50.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.1	12.5%	37.5%		25.0%	25.0%		8
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5		12.5%		12.5%	75.0%		8
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4		12.5%		25.0%	62.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6		12.5%			87.5%		8
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned algebra of vectors	4.8			12.5%		87.5%		8
Q28	Learned about particle and rigid body equilibrium in two and three dimensions	4.8			12.5%		87.5%		8
Q29	Learned how to carry out equilibrium analysis of structures; trusses, frames, &beams	4.8			12.5%		87.5%		8
Q30	Learned about friction and the method of virtual work	3.6	25.0%		12.5%	12.5%	50.0%		8
Q31	Learned about the need for life-long learning	4.7			14.3%		85.7%		7
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4		12.5%		25.0%	62.5%		8
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4	12.5%			12.5%	75.0%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.9	12.5%	12.5%		25.0%	50.0%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.6		12.5%			87.5%		8
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	4.6		12.5%			87.5%		8
A41	I have enhanced my ability to recognize the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	4.6		12.5%			87.5%		8

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 6123: Financial Risk Management and Asset Pricing

Class Number: 15390

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Rodriguez, Daniel

Response Rate: 7/28

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning							100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	28.6%						71.4%		7
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%			7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.7	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%		57.1%			7
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.6	14.3%		42.9%		42.9%			7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%			7
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.1	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%			7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7			14.3%		85.7%			7
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%			7
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.9		14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	42.9%			7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.9	14.3%	14.3%		14.3%	57.1%			7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.9	14.3%	14.3%		14.3%	57.1%			7

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 2823: INTRODUCTION TO GEOPHYSICS

Class Number: 16162

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Blauvelt, Robert

Response Rate: 13/19

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.1%				90.9%			11
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7				30.8%	69.2%		13
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4		15.4%		15.4%	69.2%		13
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3		7.7%		46.2%	46.2%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	2.8	46.2%	7.7%		15.4%	30.8%		13
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3		15.4%		23.1%	61.5%		13
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3		7.7%		46.2%	46.2%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5				46.2%	53.8%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5			7.7%	38.5%	53.8%		13



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BE-GY 6303: Bio-optics

Class Number: 14853

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Arnold,Stephen

Response Rate: 19/24

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			19
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				10.5%	89.5%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8			5.3%	5.3%	89.5%		19
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6			5.3%	26.3%	57.9%	10.5%	19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6		5.3%		26.3%	68.4%		19
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5			5.3%	42.1%	52.6%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1	5.3%	15.8%	5.3%	15.8%	57.9%		19
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1		15.8%		42.1%	42.1%		19
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5			5.3%	36.8%	57.9%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6			5.3%	31.6%	63.2%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.4			10.5%	36.8%	52.6%		19
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.4			21.1%	21.1%	57.9%		19
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	4.4			15.8%	26.3%	57.9%		19



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-GY 6813: Information, Security and Privacy

Class Number: 14841

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Cappos, Justin

Response Rate: 66/76

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	1.5%						98.5%		66
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.0%						95.5%	1.5%	66
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9			1.5%	4.5%	93.9%			66
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7	1.5%		1.5%	21.2%	74.2%		1.5%	66
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6			4.5%	28.8%	66.7%			66
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4	1.5%	4.5%	4.5%	27.3%	62.1%			66
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5		4.5%	4.5%	28.8%	62.1%			66
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.9		13.6%	19.7%	24.2%	36.4%		6.1%	66
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1	1.5%	6.1%	10.6%	40.9%	40.9%			66
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5		3.0%	4.5%	30.3%	62.1%			66
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7			4.5%	19.7%	75.8%			66
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6	1.5%		6.1%	22.7%	69.7%			66

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS-GY 9163: Application Security

Class Number: 15198

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Cappos, Justin

Response Rate: 8/15

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			8
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		8
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.1	14.3%			28.6%	57.1%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		7
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3			12.5%	50.0%	37.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1		12.5%		50.0%	37.5%		8
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		8
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8			12.5%		87.5%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8

Class Number: 15692

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-UY 3923: COMPUTER SECURITY

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Cappos,JustinResponse Rate: 66/76

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	1.5%				98.5%		66	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.0%				95.5%	1.5%	66	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9			1.5%	4.5%	93.9%		66
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7	1.5%		1.5%	21.2%	74.2%	1.5%	66
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6			4.5%	28.8%	66.7%		66
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4	1.5%	4.5%	4.5%	27.3%	62.1%		66
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5		4.5%	4.5%	28.8%	62.1%		66
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.9		13.6%	19.7%	24.2%	36.4%	6.1%	66
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1	1.5%	6.1%	10.6%	40.9%	40.9%		66
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5		3.0%	4.5%	30.3%	62.1%		66
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7			4.5%	19.7%	75.8%		66
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6	1.5%		6.1%	22.7%	69.7%		66

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS-UY 4753: Application Security

Class Number: 16357

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Cappos, Justin

Response Rate: 8/15

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			8
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		8
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.1	14.3%			28.6%	57.1%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		7
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3			12.5%	50.0%	37.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1		12.5%		50.0%	37.5%		8
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		8
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8			12.5%		87.5%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-GY 6373: Programming Languages

Class Number: 14998

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Reddington, Thomas B

Response Rate: 44/61

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	2.3%						97.7%		44
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.							100.0%		44
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3	2.3%	4.5%	4.5%	43.2%	45.5%			44
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3	2.3%		6.8%	47.7%	43.2%			44
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4	2.3%	4.5%	4.5%	25.0%	63.6%			44
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.1		15.9%	9.1%	20.5%	54.5%			44
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.1	2.3%	9.1%	11.4%	27.3%	50.0%			44
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3	2.3%	2.3%	13.6%	29.5%	52.3%			44
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1		9.1%	11.4%	36.4%	43.2%			44
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3	4.5%	2.3%	4.5%	38.6%	50.0%			44
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.1	4.5%	9.1%	9.1%	22.7%	52.3%	2.3%		44
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0	2.3%	11.6%	14.0%	20.9%	48.8%	2.3%		43

Class Number: 15363

Section: INT2

Primary Instructor: Reddington,Thomas B

Response Rate: 4/5

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		4
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.5		25.0%		75.0%			4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3				75.0%	25.0%		4
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.0		50.0%		50.0%			4
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.3				75.0%	25.0%		4
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.0				100.0%			4
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	3.8			25.0%	75.0%			4
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	3.8		25.0%	25.0%		50.0%		4
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.3			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.3			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	4.0			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%		4
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7				25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-GY 6823: Network Security

Class Number: 15496

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Reddington, Thomas B

Response Rate: 26/31

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			26
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.4		7.7%		34.6%	53.8%	3.8%	26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.1		7.7%	26.9%	11.5%	50.0%	3.8%	26
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.7	3.8%	19.2%	7.7%	38.5%	26.9%	3.8%	26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.1		11.5%	11.5%	26.9%	46.2%	3.8%	26
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4		3.8%	3.8%	34.6%	50.0%	7.7%	26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3		7.7%	7.7%	30.8%	53.8%		26
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1		11.5%	7.7%	34.6%	42.3%	3.8%	26
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3		3.8%	11.5%	30.8%	53.8%		26
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.2		7.7%	15.4%	26.9%	50.0%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.2		11.5%	15.4%	15.4%	57.7%		26



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS-UY 3314: Design and Implementation of Programming Languages

Class Number: 20051

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Reddington, Thomas B

Response Rate: 4/9

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		4
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.8			25.0%	75.0%			4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.3		25.0%	25.0%	50.0%			4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.8	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3		25.0%			75.0%		4
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.8		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.7				25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	4
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	3.7		25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	4
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	3.7		25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	4
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	3.0	25.0%		25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	4
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	4.0			25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	4
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	4.0			25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	4
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	3.7			50.0%		25.0%	25.0%	4
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	3.7			50.0%		25.0%	25.0%	4
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	4.0			25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	4
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	3.7			50.0%		25.0%	25.0%	4
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.7				25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	4

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-UY 3933: NETWORK SECURITY

Class Number: 16298

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Reddington, Thomas B

Response Rate: 26/31

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		26	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		26	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.4		7.7%		34.6%	53.8%	3.8%	26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.1		7.7%	26.9%	11.5%	50.0%	3.8%	26
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.7	3.8%	19.2%	7.7%	38.5%	26.9%	3.8%	26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.1		11.5%	11.5%	26.9%	46.2%	3.8%	26
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4		3.8%	3.8%	34.6%	50.0%	7.7%	26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3		7.7%	7.7%	30.8%	53.8%		26
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1		11.5%	7.7%	34.6%	42.3%	3.8%	26
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3		3.8%	11.5%	30.8%	53.8%		26
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.2		7.7%	15.4%	26.9%	50.0%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.2		11.5%	15.4%	15.4%	57.7%		26

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BE-GY 6463: Statistics for Biomedical Engineers

Class Number: 15638

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Teraoka,Iwao

Response Rate: 12/13

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	16.7%						83.3%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.							100.0%		12
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6				41.7%	58.3%			12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.5	8.3%	16.7%	25.0%	16.7%	33.3%			12
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6		8.3%		16.7%	75.0%			12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.6	8.3%	16.7%	16.7%	25.0%	33.3%			12
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.9		8.3%	25.0%	33.3%	33.3%			12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0		8.3%	16.7%	41.7%	33.3%			12
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.2		8.3%	8.3%	41.7%	41.7%			12
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.1		16.7%	8.3%	25.0%	50.0%			12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.6	8.3%	16.7%	8.3%	41.7%	25.0%			12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.6	8.3%	16.7%	8.3%	41.7%	25.0%			12

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CM-GY 7043: Statistical Thermodynamics and Kinetics

Class Number: 14881

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Teraoka,Iwao

Response Rate: 15/17

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		21.4%			78.6%			14
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6		6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	80.0%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.0	6.7%		26.7%	20.0%	46.7%		15
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6		7.1%		14.3%	78.6%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.6		28.6%	7.1%	35.7%	28.6%		14
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.7	6.7%	20.0%	6.7%	26.7%	40.0%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.5	13.3%	20.0%	6.7%	20.0%	40.0%		15
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	2.7	6.7%	46.7%	20.0%	26.7%			15
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	40.0%	40.0%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.7	6.7%	20.0%	6.7%	26.7%	40.0%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.1	20.0%	20.0%	13.3%	20.0%	26.7%		15

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-GY 6673: Neural Network Computing

Class Number: 15484

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Leung,Kok-Ming

Response Rate: 34/38

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	2.9%						97.1%		34
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.0%						97.0%		33
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				2.9%	97.1%		34	
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6			15.2%	12.1%	72.7%		33	
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4		5.9%	8.8%	29.4%	55.9%		34	
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6		3.0%	3.0%	24.2%	69.7%		33	
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7			5.9%	17.6%	76.5%		34	
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5		6.1%	6.1%	15.2%	72.7%		33	
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5			17.6%	11.8%	70.6%		34	
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7			5.9%	20.6%	73.5%		34	
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7			6.1%	18.2%	75.8%		33	
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5		3.0%	9.1%	18.2%	69.7%		33	



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS-UY 1133: Engineering Problem Solving and Programming

Class Number: 15817

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Leung,Kok-Ming

Response Rate: 19/68

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					94.7%	5.3%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.3%				94.7%			19
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				5.3%	94.7%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.1		10.5%	10.5%	36.8%	42.1%		19
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3			10.5%	52.6%	36.8%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.8	5.3%	10.5%	10.5%	42.1%	31.6%		19
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4		5.3%	5.3%	36.8%	52.6%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.5		15.8%	42.1%	15.8%	26.3%		19
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Ability to use MAT LAB to solve Engineering problems.	4.3		5.6%	11.1%	27.8%	55.6%		18
Q28	Proficiency in using 1D and 2D numeric and logical arrays.	4.5		5.6%		33.3%	61.1%		18
Q29	Proficiency in using branching mechanisms in programming.	4.6				44.4%	55.6%		18
Q30	Proficiency in using looping mechanisms in programming.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		18
Q31	Using functions in top-down approach to problem solving.	4.0		22.2%	5.6%	22.2%	50.0%		18
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1		15.8%	5.3%	36.8%	42.1%		19
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5			10.5%	31.6%	57.9%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6			5.3%	26.3%	68.4%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.1		15.8%	10.5%	21.1%	52.6%		19
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	4.3		5.6%	11.1%	33.3%	50.0%		18
A18	I have enhanced my ability to use modern software tools.	4.5		5.6%		33.3%	61.1%		18
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.2		11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	55.6%		18
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	4.3		5.6%	11.1%	27.8%	55.6%		18
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.3		5.6%	5.6%	38.9%	50.0%		18
Y. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3		5.6%	5.6%	44.4%	44.4%		18
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.6			5.6%	27.8%	66.7%		18

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS-UY 1133: Engineering Problem Solving and Programming

Class Number: 15817

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Leung,Kok-Ming

Response Rate: 19/68

Y. Regarding The Laboratory		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.5	5.6%			27.8%	66.7%		18
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.1		5.6%	27.8%	22.2%	44.4%		18
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	3.6	5.6%		44.4%	11.1%	27.8%	11.1%	18
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.3		5.6%	11.1%	27.8%	55.6%		18



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS-UY 1133: Engineering Problem Solving and Programming

Class Number: 15893

Section: BLEC

Primary Instructor: Leung,Kok-Ming

Response Rate: 14/54

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			14
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6			14.3%	7.1%	78.6%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.4			7.1%	42.9%	50.0%		14
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.9		21.4%	7.1%	35.7%	35.7%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.6	7.1%	21.4%	21.4%	7.1%	42.9%		14
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.1	7.1%		14.3%	28.6%	50.0%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.9		21.4%	21.4%	7.1%	50.0%		14
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Ability to use MAT LAB to solve Engineering problems.	4.1		7.1%	14.3%	42.9%	35.7%		14
Q28	Proficiency in using 1D and 2D numeric and logical arrays.	4.1		7.1%	7.1%	50.0%	35.7%		14
Q29	Proficiency in using branching mechanisms in programming.	4.4		7.1%		35.7%	57.1%		14
Q30	Proficiency in using looping mechanisms in programming.	4.3		7.1%	7.1%	35.7%	50.0%		14
Q31	Using functions in top-down approach to problem solving.	3.9		14.3%	21.4%	28.6%	35.7%		14
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.4		28.6%	21.4%	28.6%	21.4%		14
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.6	14.3%		21.4%	42.9%	21.4%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.9	14.3%		28.6%		57.1%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.1	28.6%	14.3%	7.1%	21.4%	28.6%		14
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	3.7	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	42.9%	28.6%		14
A18	I have enhanced my ability to use modern software tools.	4.1	7.1%	7.1%		42.9%	42.9%		14
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	3.9	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	50.0%	28.6%		14
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	3.9	14.3%			50.0%	35.7%		14
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	4.0	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	35.7%	42.9%		14
Y. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5		7.1%		28.6%	64.3%		14
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.6				35.7%	64.3%		14

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-UY 1133: Engineering Problem Solving and Programming

Class Number: 15893

Section: BLEC

Primary Instructor: Leung,Kok-Ming

Response Rate: 14/54

Y. Regarding The Laboratory		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.3	7.1%		14.3%	14.3%	64.3%		14
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.2	7.1%		14.3%	21.4%	57.1%		14
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.0			35.7%	14.3%	35.7%	14.3%	14
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.4		7.1%	14.3%	14.3%	64.3%		14



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE-UY 4313: Computer Engineering Design Project I

Class Number: 15784

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Karri,Ramesh

Response Rate: 10/18

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		10
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.2			10.0%	60.0%	30.0%		10
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.4	10.0%	30.0%		30.0%	30.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.8		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		10
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.1		10.0%	20.0%	20.0%	50.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.5		20.0%	40.0%	10.0%	30.0%		10
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1			20.0%	50.0%	30.0%		10
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8			10.0%		90.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6			20.0%		80.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.4			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		10
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H3. TA Evaluation									
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	4.3		10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	60.0%		10
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	4.1	10.0%		20.0%	10.0%	60.0%		10
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	3.6	10.0%	20.0%	20.0%		50.0%		10



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Class Number: 15685

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 1024: Calculus I for Engineers

Section: D

Primary Instructor: Spizzirri, Nicholas E

Response Rate: 2/22

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	50.0%						50.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.							100.0%		2
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%			2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%			2
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	2.5	50.0%			50.0%				2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	2.5	50.0%			50.0%				2
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.0		50.0%		50.0%				2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	2.5		50.0%	50.0%					2
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	3.5			50.0%	50.0%				2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	1.0	100.0%							2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%			2
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	2.0	50.0%		50.0%					2
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%			2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%			2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%			2



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 1132: Numerical Methods for Calculus

Class Number: 20018

Section: R

Primary Instructor: Spizzirri, Nicholas E

Response Rate: 2/16

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		2
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		2
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.5		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	3.5			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	3.5			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	3.5			50.0%	50.0%			2
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%		2
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.5		50.0%			50.0%		2
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	3.5		50.0%			50.0%		2



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 1324: Integrated Calculus I for Engineers

Class Number: 16342

Section: D

Primary Instructor: Spizzirri, Nicholas E

Response Rate: 5/29

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					80.0%	20.0%	5	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		5	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.4			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.6		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.6		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.4		20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.3	20.0%		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	5
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	3.0	20.0%		40.0%	40.0%			5
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	1.8	60.0%		40.0%				5
Q29	I need more HW problems.	1.6	40.0%	60.0%					5
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.2		40.0%		60.0%			5
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.4	20.0%		20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0		20.0%		40.0%	40.0%		5

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.0%				96.0%			25
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				16.0%	84.0%		25
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8			4.0%	8.0%	88.0%		25
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4		8.0%	8.0%	16.0%	68.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6		4.0%	8.0%	16.0%	72.0%		25
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8		4.0%		8.0%	88.0%		25
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.4	4.0%			48.0%	48.0%		25
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.7				32.0%	68.0%		25
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.6				40.0%	60.0%		25
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.6			8.0%	24.0%	68.0%		25
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.7			4.2%	25.0%	70.8%		24
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7				28.0%	72.0%		25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8				24.0%	76.0%		25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6			4.0%	28.0%	68.0%		25

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
BT-GY 7013: Special Topics in Biotechnology

Class Number: 19972

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Cardozo, Timothy J

Response Rate: 13/13

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		13	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		13	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		13
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.9				7.7%	92.3%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%		13
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8				23.1%	76.9%		13
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6				38.5%	61.5%		13
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				7.7%	92.3%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9				7.7%	92.3%		13

Class Number: 16008

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 1124: Calculus II for Engineers

Section: D

Primary Instructor: Gurtas,Yusuf

Response Rate: 4/30

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		4	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		4	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.8			25.0%	75.0%			4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.0			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.8		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.3			75.0%	25.0%			4
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	4.0			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	1.5	50.0%	50.0%					4
Q29	I need more HW problems.	1.8	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%				4
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.3		25.0%	25.0%	50.0%			4
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.8			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering
ME-UY 3333: THERMODYNAMICS

Class Number: 16036

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Chen, Weiqiang

Response Rate: 32/38

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.1%						93.8%	3.1%	32
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.2%						96.8%		31
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3	3.1%	3.1%	6.3%	34.4%	53.1%			32
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.1	3.2%	6.5%	16.1%	19.4%	51.6%		3.2%	31
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6	3.1%		6.3%	12.5%	78.1%			32
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7	3.1%		3.1%	9.4%	84.4%			32
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3	3.1%	6.3%		43.8%	46.9%			32
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5	3.2%		9.7%	16.1%	71.0%			31
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q27	Learned the basic principles of thermodynamics, first and second law, mass conservation, and energy equations.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%			32
Q28	Learned the properties of pure substances, ideal gas law, phase diagrams, closed and open systems.	4.7		3.1%		25.0%	71.9%			32
Q29	Learned the principles of entropy, reversible and irreversible processes, heat engines and refrigerators and isentropic efficiency	4.6		3.1%	6.3%	21.9%	68.8%			32
Q30	Learned the concepts of thermodynamic efficiency and coefficient of performance and their impact on energy policy.	4.6		3.2%		25.8%	71.0%			31
Q31	Analyzed and designed gas power cycles.	4.5			9.7%	29.0%	58.1%	3.2%		31
Q32	Learned about the need for continuous learning to remain professionally relevant in a fast changing field	4.3	3.2%	3.2%	12.9%	22.6%	58.1%			31
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3	3.1%		6.3%	46.9%	43.8%			32
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4	3.1%	3.1%		37.5%	56.3%			32
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.3	3.1%	6.3%	9.4%	25.0%	56.3%			32
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.4	3.1%	3.1%	6.3%	28.1%	59.4%			32
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.7		3.1%		25.0%	71.9%			32
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.2		6.3%	12.5%	31.3%	46.9%	3.1%		32
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	4.5			6.3%	40.6%	53.1%			32
A41	I have enhanced my ability to recognize the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	4.4			12.5%	31.3%	56.3%			32
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	3.9		15.6%	18.8%	21.9%	43.8%			32



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 3333: THERMODYNAMICS

Class Number: 16036

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Chen,Weiqiang

Response Rate: 32/38

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A48	I have enhanced my ability to apply principles of engineering, basic science, and mathematics (including multivariate calculus and differential equations) to model, analyze, design, and realize physical systems, components or processes	4.3			12.9%	41.9%	45.2%		31



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
BE-GY 6453: Probability and Stochastic Processes

Class Number: 15507

Section: A2

Primary Instructor: Erkip, Elza

Response Rate: 63/72

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	1.6%						96.8%	1.6%	63
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.2%						95.2%	1.6%	63
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>	
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6	4.8%	3.2%		11.1%	79.4%	1.6%		63
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6	3.2%		9.5%	11.1%	74.6%	1.6%		63
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>	
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.2	3.2%	7.9%	7.9%	23.8%	55.6%	1.6%		63
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5	3.2%	3.2%	3.2%	17.5%	71.4%	1.6%		63
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>	
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3	3.2%	4.8%	3.2%	31.7%	55.6%	1.6%		63
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.2	9.5%	3.2%	6.3%	19.0%	60.3%	1.6%		63
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>	
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.8	7.9%	19.0%	6.3%	19.0%	46.0%	1.6%		63
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>	
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.2	3.2%	7.9%	7.9%	22.2%	57.1%	1.6%		63
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.4	4.8%	6.3%	4.8%	14.3%	68.3%	1.6%		63
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.1	3.2%	9.5%	12.7%	19.0%	54.0%	1.6%		63
H3. TA Evaluation		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>	
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	4.4	1.7%	3.4%	15.5%	12.1%	63.8%	3.4%		58
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	4.3	1.7%	3.4%	17.2%	13.8%	60.3%	3.4%		58
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	4.2	1.7%	8.6%	13.8%	13.8%	58.6%	3.4%		58



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL-GY 6303: Probability and Stochastic Processes

Class Number: 15297

Section: A2

Primary Instructor: Erkip,Elza

Response Rate: 63/72

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	1.6%						96.8%	1.6%	63
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.2%						95.2%	1.6%	63
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6	4.8%	3.2%		11.1%	79.4%	1.6%	63	
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6	3.2%		9.5%	11.1%	74.6%	1.6%	63	
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.2	3.2%	7.9%	7.9%	23.8%	55.6%	1.6%	63	
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5	3.2%	3.2%	3.2%	17.5%	71.4%	1.6%	63	
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3	3.2%	4.8%	3.2%	31.7%	55.6%	1.6%	63	
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.2	9.5%	3.2%	6.3%	19.0%	60.3%	1.6%	63	
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.8	7.9%	19.0%	6.3%	19.0%	46.0%	1.6%	63	
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.2	3.2%	7.9%	7.9%	22.2%	57.1%	1.6%	63	
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.4	4.8%	6.3%	4.8%	14.3%	68.3%	1.6%	63	
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.1	3.2%	9.5%	12.7%	19.0%	54.0%	1.6%	63	
H3. TA Evaluation		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	4.4	1.7%	3.4%	15.5%	12.1%	63.8%	3.4%	58	
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	4.3	1.7%	3.4%	17.2%	13.8%	60.3%	3.4%	58	
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	4.2	1.7%	8.6%	13.8%	13.8%	58.6%	3.4%	58	



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-GY 7673: Environmental Impact Assessment

Class Number: 15142

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Hossein, Mohsen

Response Rate: 25/25

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			23
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6		4.2%		25.0%	70.8%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.4		4.3%	4.3%	34.8%	56.5%		23
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0	8.3%	4.2%	12.5%	25.0%	50.0%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8			4.3%	13.0%	82.6%		23
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3	4.3%		8.7%	34.8%	52.2%		23
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4		4.2%	4.2%	41.7%	50.0%		24
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8			4.2%	16.7%	79.2%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5			12.5%	20.8%	66.7%		24

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		17	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		17	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				5.9%	94.1%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6				41.2%	58.8%		17
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0	5.9%	17.6%		23.5%	52.9%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.2		11.8%		41.2%	47.1%		17
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.3		5.9%		52.9%	41.2%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6			5.9%	23.5%	70.6%		17
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I can understand the basic principles of soil mechanics and foundation Engineering.	4.5				47.1%	52.9%		17
Q28	I have developed the knowledge of relationships and classifications of soils.	4.6				41.2%	58.8%		17
Q29	I have developed the knowledge of permeability, effective stress, seepage and consolidation of soil.	4.5				52.9%	47.1%		17
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0		5.9%	17.6%	47.1%	29.4%		17
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3		11.8%		35.3%	52.9%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.4		5.9%	5.9%	35.3%	52.9%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.1	5.9%		11.8%	47.1%	35.3%		17
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	4.2		5.9%	5.9%	52.9%	35.3%		17
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	4.3		5.9%		52.9%	41.2%		17
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	4.0	5.9%		5.9%	64.7%	23.5%		17

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering
CE-UY 3153: Geotechnical Engineering

Class Number: 15951

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Hossein, Mohsen

Response Rate: 11/11

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		27.3%			72.7%			11
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.1		9.1%		63.6%	27.3%		11
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.4	9.1%			27.3%	63.6%		11
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	2.8	27.3%	27.3%		27.3%	18.2%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.6		27.3%	9.1%	36.4%	27.3%		11
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.8		18.2%	9.1%	45.5%	27.3%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4				63.6%	36.4%		11
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I can understand the basic principles of soil mechanics and foundation Engineering.	4.4				63.6%	36.4%		11
Q28	I have developed the knowledge of relationships and classifications of soils.	4.4				63.6%	36.4%		11
Q29	I have developed the knowledge of permeability, effective stress, seepage and consolidation of soil.	4.2		9.1%		54.5%	36.4%		11
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3			9.1%	54.5%	36.4%		11
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	3.8	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	36.4%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3			9.1%	54.5%	36.4%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.9		18.2%	9.1%	36.4%	36.4%		11
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	4.3			9.1%	54.5%	36.4%		11
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	4.3				72.7%	27.3%		11
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	3.9		10.0%	10.0%	60.0%	20.0%		10

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering
CE-UY 3153: Geotechnical Engineering

Class Number: 15952

Section: BLAB

Primary Instructor: Hossein, Mohsen

Response Rate: 5/14

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					80.0%	20.0%	5	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	20.0%				80.0%		5	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.6		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.2	40.0%			20.0%	40.0%		5
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	2.2	40.0%	40.0%			20.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.0		40.0%	20.0%	40.0%			5
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	2.8	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%			5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.2			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I can understand the basic principles of soil mechanics and foundation Engineering.	4.2				80.0%	20.0%		5
Q28	I have developed the knowledge of relationships and classifications of soils.	4.2				80.0%	20.0%		5
Q29	I have developed the knowledge of permeability, effective stress, seepage and consolidation of soil.	4.2				80.0%	20.0%		5
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0			20.0%	60.0%	20.0%		5
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	2.8	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		20.0%		5
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.6		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.0	20.0%		60.0%		20.0%		5
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	3.8		20.0%		60.0%	20.0%		5
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	3.5		25.0%		75.0%			4
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	3.2	20.0%		40.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5

Class Number: 14825

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Coskun,Baris

Response Rate: 9/14

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		9	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					88.9%	11.1%	9	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.4				55.6%	33.3%	11.1%	9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8				22.2%	77.8%		9
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.9		11.1%	11.1%	55.6%	22.2%		9
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.6				44.4%	55.6%		9
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		9
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.8				22.2%	77.8%		9
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	4.4				55.6%	44.4%		9
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	4.4				55.6%	44.4%		9
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		9
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.3			11.1%	44.4%	44.4%		9
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	3.4			66.7%	22.2%	11.1%		9
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.4			66.7%	22.2%	11.1%		9
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.6			55.6%	33.3%	11.1%		9
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	4.4			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		9
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	4.3			22.2%	22.2%	55.6%		9
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.2			11.1%	55.6%	33.3%		9
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.4			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3		11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	66.7%		9

Class Number: 15696

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Sellie,Linda M

Response Rate: 36/47

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		36	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		36	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		36
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7			2.8%	27.8%	66.7%	2.8%	36
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0	2.8%	8.3%	11.1%	44.4%	33.3%		36
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.2		8.3%	11.1%	36.1%	44.4%		36
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6	2.8%		2.8%	22.2%	72.2%		36
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1	2.8%		25.0%	30.6%	38.9%	2.8%	36
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Understanding of rudiments of asymptotic analysis of algorithms.	4.5		2.8%		38.9%	55.6%	2.8%	36
Q28	Understanding of applications of fundamental data structures, including ability to design and implement programs that use these data structures.	4.7			2.8%	25.0%	69.4%	2.8%	36
Q29	Familiarity with implementations of fundamental data structures.	4.7				27.8%	69.4%	2.8%	36
Q30	Understanding of several algorithms for searching (sequential search, binary search, binary search trees) and sorting (insertion sort, merge sort, and quicksort),	4.6		2.8%		33.3%	61.1%	2.8%	36
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3		5.6%		50.0%	41.7%	2.8%	36
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7				30.6%	69.4%		36
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6	2.8%	2.8%	2.8%	16.7%	75.0%		36
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7		2.8%		19.4%	77.8%		36
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	4.2	2.8%		16.7%	36.1%	41.7%	2.8%	36
A20	I have enhanced my ability to acquire and process information from multiple sources	4.3		2.8%	8.3%	47.2%	38.9%	2.8%	36
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.2	2.8%	2.8%	11.1%	36.1%	44.4%	2.8%	36

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		25	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		8.0%			92.0%		25	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				8.0%	92.0%		25
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.9				8.0%	92.0%		25
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4		4.0%	4.0%	40.0%	52.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6			4.0%	28.0%	68.0%		25
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7				32.0%	68.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4	4.0%		12.0%	20.0%	64.0%		25
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Understanding of rudiments of asymptotic analysis of algorithms.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		24
Q28	Understanding of applications of fundamental data structures, including ability to design and implement programs that use these data structures.	4.8				20.8%	79.2%		24
Q29	Familiarity with implementations of fundamental data structures.	4.8				20.8%	79.2%		24
Q30	Understanding of several algorithms for searching (sequential search, binary search, binary search trees) and sorting (insertion sort, merge sort, and quicksort),	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		24
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4	4.0%		12.0%	24.0%	60.0%		25
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.9				8.0%	92.0%		25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8			4.0%	12.0%	84.0%		25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8			4.0%	12.0%	84.0%		25
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	4.8			4.2%	16.7%	79.2%		24
A20	I have enhanced my ability to acquire and process information from multiple sources	4.6			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		24
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		24

Class Number: 20046

Section: C

Primary Instructor: Sellie,Linda M

Response Rate: 22/46

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.8%				90.5%	4.8%	21	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					95.2%	4.8%	21	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8			4.5%	13.6%	77.3%	4.5%	22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8			4.5%	9.1%	86.4%		22
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0		9.1%	13.6%	40.9%	36.4%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5			4.5%	40.9%	54.5%		22
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4		4.5%	9.1%	27.3%	59.1%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1			31.8%	22.7%	36.4%	9.1%	22
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Understanding of rudiments of asymptotic analysis of algorithms.	4.7				30.0%	70.0%		20
Q28	Understanding of applications of fundamental data structures, including ability to design and implement programs that use these data structures.	4.7				35.0%	65.0%		20
Q29	Familiarity with implementations of fundamental data structures.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		20
Q30	Understanding of several algorithms for searching (sequential search, binary search, binary search trees) and sorting (insertion sort, merge sort, and quicksort),	4.7				30.0%	70.0%		20
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4			18.2%	22.7%	59.1%		22
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7		4.5%		13.6%	81.8%		22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8				22.7%	77.3%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7			4.5%	18.2%	77.3%		22
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	4.3			5.0%	65.0%	30.0%		20
A20	I have enhanced my ability to acquire and process information from multiple sources	4.2			15.8%	47.4%	31.6%	5.3%	19
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	4.4			5.3%	52.6%	42.1%		19



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CM-GY 5040: Chemical Laboratory Safety

Class Number: 14880

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Martucci, Charles P.

Response Rate: 57/68

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.3%						91.2%	3.5%	57
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	1.8%						96.4%	1.8%	55
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8			3.5%	15.8%	80.7%		57	
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5			19.6%	10.7%	69.6%		56	
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4		5.3%	8.8%	24.6%	59.6%	1.8%	57	
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7		1.8%	3.5%	15.8%	78.9%		57	
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6	1.8%	1.8%		24.6%	71.9%		57	
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6	1.8%		3.5%	21.1%	73.7%		57	
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6		1.8%	8.8%	15.8%	73.7%		57	
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5	1.8%	5.3%	1.8%	21.1%	68.4%	1.8%	57	
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6	1.8%		7.0%	17.5%	71.9%	1.8%	57	
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.4	3.5%	3.5%	7.0%	17.5%	66.7%	1.8%	57	
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A21	I have enhanced my ability to learn independently.	4.4	1.9%	1.9%	7.7%	26.9%	59.6%	1.9%	52	
A22	I have enhanced my ability to continuously seek to acquire new knowledge	4.4	1.9%	1.9%	9.6%	28.8%	57.7%		52	

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM-UY 3314: Biochemistry I

Class Number: 15780

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Martucci, Charles P.

Response Rate: 80/80

		<i>No</i>			<i>Yes</i>			<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	2.5%			97.5%				80
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.0%			95.0%				80
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7			5.0%	25.0%	70.0%		80
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.4		2.5%	15.0%	20.0%	62.5%		80
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7			7.5%	17.5%	75.0%		80
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6			7.5%	27.5%	65.0%		80
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4		2.5%	10.0%	32.5%	55.0%		80
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4		2.5%	10.0%	35.0%	52.5%		80
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4			12.5%	35.0%	52.5%		80
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4		2.5%	7.5%	35.0%	55.0%		80
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.4		2.5%	12.5%	27.5%	57.5%		80
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.4		2.5%	7.5%	40.0%	50.0%		80
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.4		2.6%	10.3%	35.9%	51.3%		78

Class Number: 20700

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE-GY 6183: Concrete Structures

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Lou,Jong J

Response Rate: 28/29

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	7.1%						92.9%		28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.							100.0%		28
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8	3.6%			7.1%	89.3%			28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.9				10.7%	89.3%			28
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0				3.6%	96.4%			28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4		10.7%	7.1%	17.9%	64.3%			28
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5		7.1%		28.6%	64.3%			28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7		3.6%		17.9%	78.6%			28
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q27	I know design principles and construction methods of reinforced concrete and introductory prestressed concrete structural elements.	4.6			8.3%	20.8%	70.8%			24
Q28	I understand how members response to axial loading, shear and flexure.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%			24
Q29	I can successfully design columns, deep beams and shear walls and also design and detailing for connection regions successfully.	4.0	4.2%	12.5%	4.2%	33.3%	45.8%			24
Q30	I can successfully design basic pre-tensioned beams and slabs.	3.7	8.3%	16.7%	8.3%	33.3%	33.3%			24
Q31	I understand the effect of short-term and long-term deformations.	4.6		4.2%		29.2%	66.7%			24
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.9	7.1%	17.9%	3.6%	25.0%	46.4%			28
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7		3.6%		21.4%	75.0%			28
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5		7.1%	3.6%	21.4%	67.9%			28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3		14.3%	3.6%	17.9%	64.3%			28

Class Number: 14948

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society
DM-GY 6103: Live Performance Studio

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Sicchio, Kate M

Response Rate: 8/9

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning							100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%						87.5%		8
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3	12.5%			25.0%	62.5%			8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.6	12.5%		25.0%	37.5%	25.0%			8
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.9		12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	37.5%			8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.5	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	12.5%	37.5%			8
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.6	12.5%	12.5%		50.0%	25.0%			8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.5	12.5%		37.5%	25.0%	25.0%			8
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.6		28.6%		57.1%	14.3%			7
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%			8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.9	12.5%		12.5%	37.5%	37.5%			8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.1			25.0%	37.5%	37.5%			8

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 6023: Economic Foundations in Finance

Class Number: 14903

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Nyambuu,Unurjargal

Response Rate: 6/30

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			6
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.2			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3				66.7%	33.3%		6
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		6
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.3	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.2			33.3%	16.7%	50.0%		6
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.3		50.0%		16.7%	33.3%		6
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0		16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0		16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		6

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 7801: TOPICS IN FINANCE AND FINANCIAL MARKETS I

Class Number: 15280

Section: J1

Primary Instructor: Nyambuu,Unurjargal

Response Rate: 2/12

		<i>No</i>					<i>Yes</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
A. Procedures and Policies								<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		2
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		2
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0					100.0%		2
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	5.0					100.0%		2
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0					100.0%		2



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
BMS-UY 1004: Introduction to Cell and Molecular Biology

Class Number: 15778

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Seidenstein,Alexandra Heather

Response Rate: 18/33

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning							100.0%		18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.6%						94.4%		18
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				5.6%	94.4%			18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8			5.6%	5.6%	88.9%			18
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3			22.2%	22.2%	55.6%			18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3		5.6%	11.1%	27.8%	55.6%			18
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7	5.6%			5.6%	88.9%			18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7			5.6%	22.2%	72.2%			18
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4		11.1%		27.8%	61.1%			18
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%			18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				5.6%	94.4%			18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6			11.1%	16.7%	72.2%			18
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.6			11.1%	16.7%	72.2%			18
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	4.6			11.1%	16.7%	72.2%			18
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	4.6		5.6%	5.6%	16.7%	72.2%			18
X. The Recitation Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7				27.8%	72.2%			18
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	4.9				11.1%	83.3%	5.6%		18
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.7			5.6%	22.2%	72.2%			18
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	4.8			5.6%	5.6%	88.9%			18



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS-UY 3114: Genetics

Class Number: 15797

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Seidenstein,Alexandra Heather

Response Rate: 14/22

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		14
A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.8				15.4%	84.6%		13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.6				38.5%	61.5%		13
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8			7.1%	7.1%	85.7%		14
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				15.4%	84.6%		13
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	4.8				15.4%	84.6%		13
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5		7.1%	7.1%	14.3%	71.4%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8				21.4%	78.6%		14
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		14
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6			14.3%	7.1%	78.6%		14
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6			14.3%	7.1%	78.6%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6			14.3%	7.1%	78.6%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6			21.4%		78.6%		14
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	4.6		7.7%		15.4%	76.9%		13
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	4.5	7.7%			15.4%	76.9%		13
A21	I have enhanced my ability to learn independently.	4.5	7.7%		7.7%	7.7%	76.9%		13



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS-UY 3114: Genetics

Class Number: 15798

Section: A1LB

Primary Instructor: Seidenstein,Alexandra Heather

Response Rate: 11/12

		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	5.0					100.0%		11
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.7				27.3%	72.7%		11
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		11
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	5.0					100.0%		11
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.6			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.9				9.1%	90.9%		11
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.7			9.1%	9.1%	72.7%	9.1%	11
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.8				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8			9.1%		90.9%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6			18.2%		81.8%		11
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	4.8				18.2%	81.8%		11
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	4.9				9.1%	90.9%		11
A21	I have enhanced my ability to learn independently.	4.9				9.1%	90.9%		11



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CBE-UY 4163: Chemical and Biomolecular Process Design I

Class Number: 15718

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Ziegler,Edward N

Response Rate: 22/26

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.5%						95.5%		22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.							100.0%		21
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.4		13.6%	4.5%	9.1%	72.7%			22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3		4.5%	13.6%	31.8%	50.0%			22
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.2		13.6%		36.4%	50.0%			22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.1	4.5%	9.1%	4.5%	36.4%	45.5%			22
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.5	18.2%	18.2%	4.5%	18.2%	40.9%			22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.2		9.1%	4.5%	40.9%	45.5%			22
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.9		13.6%	27.3%	13.6%	40.9%	4.5%		22
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.9	9.1%	13.6%	9.1%	18.2%	50.0%			22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.5	13.6%	13.6%	13.6%	18.2%	36.4%	4.5%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.6	4.5%	13.6%	31.8%	13.6%	36.4%			22
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	3.7	9.5%	19.0%	9.5%	19.0%	42.9%			21
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	4.3		4.8%		57.1%	38.1%			21



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CBE-UY 4163: Chemical and Biomolecular Process Design I

Class Number: 16106

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Ziegler,Edward N

Response Rate: 18/24

		No		Yes		Decline To Answer		# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning			100.0%				18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.			100.0%				18
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5			5.6%	38.9%	55.6%	18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.1	5.6%		16.7%	38.9%	38.9%	18
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6		5.6%		22.2%	72.2%	18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3		5.6%	11.1%	27.8%	55.6%	18
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.2	5.6%	44.4%	5.6%	11.1%	33.3%	18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.8	5.6%	16.7%		44.4%	33.3%	18
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1	5.6%		11.1%	50.0%	33.3%	18
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.9	11.1%	5.6%	5.6%	38.9%	38.9%	18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.6	11.1%	16.7%	5.6%	33.3%	33.3%	18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.7	5.6%	22.2%	11.1%	22.2%	38.9%	18
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	3.7	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	27.8%	38.9%	18
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	4.3		5.6%	5.6%	44.4%	44.4%	18

Class Number: 16256

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 2131: General Physics Laboratory II

Section: B1

Primary Instructor: Dulub,Olga

Response Rate: 19/29

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.7			5.3%	21.1%	73.7%		19
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.1		10.5%	10.5%	42.1%	36.8%		19
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5			10.5%	31.6%	57.9%		19
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.6		5.3%	10.5%	5.3%	78.9%		19
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	3.8		31.6%	5.3%	15.8%	47.4%		19
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.2		10.5%	15.8%	21.1%	52.6%		19
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.6	10.5%	10.5%	15.8%	31.6%	31.6%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1		10.5%	15.8%	26.3%	47.4%		19
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.6		21.1%	15.8%	42.1%	21.1%		19
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.1	5.3%	10.5%	5.3%	26.3%	52.6%		19
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.5	15.8%	15.8%	5.3%	31.6%	31.6%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.3	21.1%	5.3%	31.6%	10.5%	31.6%		19
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	3.9	10.5%	5.3%	5.3%	36.8%	42.1%		19
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	4.1	5.3%	5.3%	10.5%	36.8%	42.1%		19

Class Number: 16260

Dept. of Applied Physics
PH-UY 2131: General Physics Laboratory II

Section: D1

Primary Instructor: Dulub,Olga

Response Rate: 31/34

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.7			6.5%	19.4%	74.2%		31
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.3		6.5%	6.5%	41.9%	45.2%		31
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6		3.2%	6.5%	19.4%	71.0%		31
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.7			6.5%	19.4%	74.2%		31
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.1	3.3%	13.3%	10.0%	13.3%	56.7%	3.3%	30
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5		3.2%	6.5%	32.3%	58.1%		31
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.9	6.5%	9.7%	16.1%	25.8%	41.9%		31
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0	6.5%	6.5%	9.7%	38.7%	38.7%		31
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.9		16.1%	9.7%	41.9%	29.0%	3.2%	31
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.2	3.2%	12.9%	6.5%	16.1%	61.3%		31
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.8	6.5%	9.7%	16.1%	32.3%	32.3%	3.2%	31
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.2	19.4%	12.9%	12.9%	29.0%	22.6%	3.2%	31
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	4.3			14.3%	39.3%	46.4%		28
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	4.0	3.6%	7.1%	17.9%	28.6%	42.9%		28

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

CAM-UY 3004: Special Topics in Culture, Arts and Media

Class Number: 16309

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Mulcahy, Francis D

Response Rate: 21/28

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.8%				90.5%		4.8%	21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					95.2%		4.8%	21
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				100.0%			21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8			9.5%	4.8%	81.0%	4.8%	21
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8			4.8%	9.5%	85.7%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0				4.8%	95.2%		21
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7			4.8%	19.0%	76.2%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5			14.3%	19.0%	61.9%	4.8%	21
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		21
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				9.5%	90.5%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9				9.5%	90.5%		21

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

URB-UY 2054W: INTRODUCTION TO URBAN POLICY

Class Number: 16223

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Mian,Nadia A

Response Rate: 14/17

		<i>No</i>			<i>Yes</i>			<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				100.0%				14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				100.0%				14
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				21.4%	78.6%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		14
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7				28.6%	64.3%	7.1%	14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		14
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4		7.1%		35.7%	57.1%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4			14.3%	35.7%	50.0%		14
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1		14.3%	7.1%	28.6%	42.9%	7.1%	14
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6				42.9%	57.1%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.1		7.1%	14.3%	35.7%	42.9%		14

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 7801: TOPICS IN FINANCE AND FINANCIAL MARKETS I

Class Number: 15206

Section: I1

Primary Instructor: Matthei, Warren D.

Response Rate: 3/21

		<i>No</i>					<i>Yes</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
A. Procedures and Policies								<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		3
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Moderately</i>	<i>Strongly</i>	<i>Decline To</i>	<i>#</i>
		<i>Results</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Opinion</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Answer</i>	<i>Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.3				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3				66.7%	33.3%		3

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management
MG-GY 6093: ACCOUNTING & FINANCE

Class Number: 25039

Section: MOT

Primary Instructor: Biolsi, Robert

Response Rate: 17/20

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		5.9%			94.1%			17
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6				35.3%	64.7%		17
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3	5.9%	5.9%		29.4%	58.8%		17
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4	5.9%			41.2%	52.9%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4	5.9%			29.4%	58.8%	5.9%	17
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5		5.9%	5.9%	23.5%	64.7%		17
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4			11.8%	35.3%	52.9%		17
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.5		6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	68.8%		16
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.4			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		16
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.4			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		16
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.3		12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	62.5%		16
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4			11.8%	41.2%	47.1%		17
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7				29.4%	70.6%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5	5.9%	5.9%		11.8%	76.5%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.4		11.8%	5.9%	11.8%	70.6%		17

Class Number: 25068

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6093: ACCOUNTING & FINANCE

Section: MOT2

Primary Instructor: Biolsi,Robert

Response Rate: 13/16

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		13
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%	13
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8				23.1%	76.9%	13
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8				23.1%	76.9%	13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7				30.8%	69.2%	13
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.9				8.3%	91.7%	12
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6				38.5%	61.5%	13
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%	12
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.6				41.7%	58.3%	12
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.6				41.7%	58.3%	12
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%	12
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.8				15.4%	84.6%	13
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7	7.7%				92.3%	13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%	13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9				7.7%	92.3%	13

Class Number: 15682

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 914: Precalculus for Engineers

Section: C

Primary Instructor: Feklistova,Mariya

Response Rate: 5/28

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	20.0%						80.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.							100.0%		5
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%			5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%			5
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%			5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.8		20.0%		60.0%	20.0%			5
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%			5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.4	20.0%		40.0%		40.0%			5
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	4.6				40.0%	60.0%			5
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	2.8	40.0%		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%			5
Q29	I need more HW problems.	2.2	60.0%		20.0%		20.0%			5
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.8		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%			5
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%			5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%			5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.2		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%			5

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering
ME-GY 7863: SPECIAL TOPICS

Class Number: 20804

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Castillo,Alesha Bernedette

Response Rate: 9/9

		<i>No</i>			<i>Yes</i>			<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				100.0%				9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				100.0%				9
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8			11.1%		88.9%		9
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.2				77.8%	22.2%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8				22.2%	77.8%		9
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6			22.2%		77.8%		9
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.2		11.1%		44.4%	44.4%		9
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9				11.1%	88.9%		9

Class Number: 14833

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-GY 7033: Linear Algebra I

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Lutwak,Erwin

Response Rate: 2/13

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		2
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0				100.0%		2
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0				100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0				100.0%		2
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0				100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0				100.0%		2
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	5.0				100.0%		2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	4.0			50.0%	50.0%		2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	3.0			100.0%			2
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	5.0				100.0%		2
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0				100.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0				100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0				100.0%		2
M. The Recitation Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	5.0				100.0%		2

Class Number: 14930

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6113: Career Management

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Kaufman,Harold G

Response Rate: 7/10

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	14.3%				85.7%			7
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4				57.1%	42.9%		7
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	3.7		14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%		7
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	3.9			28.6%	57.1%	14.3%		7
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.3				71.4%	28.6%		7
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.4				57.1%	42.9%		7
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0			28.6%	42.9%	28.6%		7
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.1			28.6%	28.6%	42.9%		7

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-GY 6823: Network Security

Class Number: 20035

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: McCoy,Damon Liwanu

Response Rate: 8/22

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		8
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%	8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6				37.5%	62.5%	8
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%	8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%	7
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6				37.5%	62.5%	8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6				42.9%	57.1%	7
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	5.0					100.0%	8
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3			12.5%	50.0%	37.5%	8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%	8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%	8

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-UY 3933: NETWORK SECURITY

Class Number: 20876

Section: B

Primary Instructor: McCoy,Damon Liwanu

Response Rate: 8/22

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			8
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6				37.5%	62.5%		8
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		7
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6				42.9%	57.1%		7
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	5.0					100.0%		8
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3			12.5%	50.0%	37.5%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		8

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-GY 6033: Design and Analysis of Algorithms I

Class Number: 15413

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Chiang, Yi-jen

Response Rate: 43/50

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			43
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			42
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.4		7.1%	2.4%	31.0%	59.5%		42
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3		7.0%	9.3%	27.9%	53.5%	2.3%	43
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3	2.3%	9.3%	7.0%	23.3%	58.1%		43
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4	4.8%	2.4%	4.8%	28.6%	59.5%		42
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.2	7.0%	2.3%	11.6%	25.6%	53.5%		43
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.2	4.8%	7.1%	9.5%	23.8%	54.8%		42
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.9		16.3%	14.0%	34.9%	34.9%		43
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5	2.3%		7.0%	30.2%	60.5%		43
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.0	9.3%	7.0%	4.7%	34.9%	44.2%		43
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3	4.7%	7.0%	7.0%	18.6%	62.8%		43

Class Number: 14836

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Chiang, Yi-jen

Response Rate: 27/44

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			27
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.4%				92.6%			27
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6	7.4%			18.5%	74.1%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.2	7.4%		11.1%	25.9%	55.6%		27
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.6	11.1%	14.8%	11.1%	25.9%	37.0%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.2	18.5%			22.2%	59.3%		27
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.1	7.4%	7.4%	7.4%	25.9%	51.9%		27
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.9	3.7%	11.1%	3.7%	48.1%	29.6%	3.7%	27
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.9	18.5%		14.8%	29.6%	37.0%		27
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.2	7.4%	3.7%		44.4%	44.4%		27
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.1	7.4%	3.7%	7.4%	33.3%	48.1%		27
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0	11.1%	11.1%		37.0%	40.7%		27

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-UY 4533: Interactive Computer Graphics

Class Number: 16353

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Chiang, Yi-jen

Response Rate: 27/44

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			27
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		7.4%			92.6%			27
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6		7.4%		18.5%	74.1%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.2	7.4%		11.1%	25.9%	55.6%		27
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.6	11.1%	14.8%	11.1%	25.9%	37.0%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.2		18.5%		22.2%	59.3%		27
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.1	7.4%	7.4%	7.4%	25.9%	51.9%		27
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.9	3.7%	11.1%	3.7%	48.1%	29.6%	3.7%	27
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.9		18.5%	14.8%	29.6%	37.0%		27
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.2	7.4%		3.7%	44.4%	44.4%		27
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.1	7.4%	3.7%	7.4%	33.3%	48.1%		27
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0	11.1%		11.1%	37.0%	40.7%		27



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CBE-GY 6153: APPLIED MATHEMATICS IN ENGINEERING

Class Number: 14867

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Rogers, Joel Carle W

Response Rate: 21/41

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.8%						95.2%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%						75.0%		20
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.0	14.3%	4.8%	4.8%	14.3%	61.9%			21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.9	9.5%	14.3%	14.3%	4.8%	57.1%			21
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5			19.0%	14.3%	66.7%			21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.8	19.0%	4.8%	4.8%	19.0%	52.4%			21
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.9	9.5%	9.5%	14.3%	14.3%	52.4%			21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.7	14.3%	14.3%	4.8%	14.3%	47.6%	4.8%		21
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.6		28.6%	19.0%	14.3%	38.1%			21
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.9	19.0%	4.8%		23.8%	52.4%			21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.4	23.8%	4.8%	14.3%	14.3%	38.1%	4.8%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.5	23.8%	4.8%	9.5%	19.0%	38.1%	4.8%		21

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CBE-GY 6153: APPLIED MATHEMATICS IN ENGINEERING

Class Number: 25046

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Rogers, Joel Carle W

Response Rate: 17/35

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.9%						94.1%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.9%						94.1%		17
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.2	5.9%	5.9%		35.3%	52.9%			17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.9	5.9%	11.8%	11.8%	23.5%	47.1%			17
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7			5.9%	17.6%	76.5%			17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3		5.9%	11.8%	29.4%	52.9%			17
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	2.7	25.0%	31.3%	6.3%	25.0%	12.5%			16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.2		5.9%	5.9%	52.9%	35.3%			17
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.4		29.4%	17.6%	35.3%	17.6%			17
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.5	17.6%	5.9%	17.6%	29.4%	29.4%			17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	2.6	35.3%	11.8%	17.6%	23.5%	11.8%			17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.1	23.5%	11.8%	11.8%	41.2%	11.8%			17

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering
ME-GY 6003: Applied Mathematics in Mechanical Engineering

Class Number: 14891

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Rogers, Joel Carle W

Response Rate: 21/41

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.8%						95.2%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%						75.0%		20
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.0	14.3%	4.8%	4.8%	14.3%	61.9%			21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.9	9.5%	14.3%	14.3%	4.8%	57.1%			21
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5			19.0%	14.3%	66.7%			21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.8	19.0%	4.8%	4.8%	19.0%	52.4%			21
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.9	9.5%	9.5%	14.3%	14.3%	52.4%			21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.7	14.3%	14.3%	4.8%	14.3%	47.6%	4.8%		21
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.6		28.6%	19.0%	14.3%	38.1%			21
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.9	19.0%	4.8%		23.8%	52.4%			21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.4	23.8%	4.8%	14.3%	14.3%	38.1%	4.8%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.5	23.8%	4.8%	9.5%	19.0%	38.1%	4.8%		21

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering
ME-GY 6003: Applied Mathematics in Mechanical Engineering

Class Number: 25045

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Rogers, Joel Carle W

Response Rate: 17/35

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.9%						94.1%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.9%						94.1%		17
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.2	5.9%	5.9%		35.3%	52.9%			17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.9	5.9%	11.8%	11.8%	23.5%	47.1%			17
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7			5.9%	17.6%	76.5%			17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3		5.9%	11.8%	29.4%	52.9%			17
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	2.7	25.0%	31.3%	6.3%	25.0%	12.5%			16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.2		5.9%	5.9%	52.9%	35.3%			17
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.4		29.4%	17.6%	35.3%	17.6%			17
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.5	17.6%	5.9%	17.6%	29.4%	29.4%			17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	2.6	35.3%	11.8%	17.6%	23.5%	11.8%			17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.1	23.5%	11.8%	11.8%	41.2%	11.8%			17



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM-GY 7033: Media Law

Class Number: 25237

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Rosenberg, Beth L

Response Rate: 6/8

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			6
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0				100.0%			6
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7			16.7%		83.3%		6
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6			16.7%		66.7%	16.7%	6
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.7			16.7%		83.3%		6
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6

Class Number: 14973

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Mishken,Mark A

Response Rate: 25/32

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		8.0%			92.0%			25
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7				28.0%	72.0%		25
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.2		4.0%	16.0%	32.0%	48.0%		25
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3		8.0%	16.0%	12.0%	64.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4		4.0%	12.0%	20.0%	60.0%	4.0%	25
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4		4.0%	4.0%	36.0%	56.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5		4.0%	8.0%	24.0%	64.0%		25
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.0		16.0%	4.0%	40.0%	36.0%	4.0%	25
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.2		8.0%	8.0%	40.0%	44.0%		25
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.0	4.0%	8.0%	8.0%	40.0%	40.0%		25
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.2	4.0%		12.0%	44.0%	40.0%		25
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4			8.0%	44.0%	48.0%		25
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.2	4.0%		12.0%	40.0%	44.0%		25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.2	4.0%	8.0%		36.0%	52.0%		25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.4		4.0%	8.0%	36.0%	52.0%		25

Class Number: 15492

Dept. of Technology Management
MG-GY 6013: ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOR

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Mishken,Mark A

Response Rate: 12/16

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		12	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	8.3%				91.7%		12	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3				66.7%	33.3%		12
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.9		8.3%	25.0%	33.3%	33.3%		12
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.7		16.7%	25.0%	33.3%	25.0%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.8		8.3%	33.3%	25.0%	33.3%		12
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0		16.7%		50.0%	33.3%		12
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6		8.3%		16.7%	75.0%		12
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	3.7		25.0%		41.7%	25.0%	8.3%	12
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.2		8.3%		58.3%	33.3%		12
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.3		8.3%	8.3%	33.3%	50.0%		12
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.5		8.3%		25.0%	66.7%		12
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.3		8.3%		50.0%	41.7%		12
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	4.4			16.7%	25.0%	58.3%		12
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.0	8.3%		16.7%	33.3%	41.7%		12
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	4.1		8.3%		66.7%	25.0%		12
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	4.3			8.3%	50.0%	41.7%		12
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	3.9		8.3%	25.0%	33.3%	33.3%		12
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.2			25.0%	33.3%	41.7%		12
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	4.1			25.0%	25.0%	33.3%	16.7%	12
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.5			8.3%	33.3%	50.0%	8.3%	12
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.4		16.7%		8.3%	66.7%	8.3%	12
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	3.7	8.3%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	41.7%		12
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	3.3	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	25.0%	25.0%		12
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.9		8.3%	8.3%	66.7%	16.7%		12



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Class Number: 15492

Dept. of Technology Management
MG-GY 6013: ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOR

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Mishken, Mark A

Response Rate: 12/16

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.1		8.3%		66.7%	25.0%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.8	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	41.7%	33.3%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.0		8.3%	8.3%	58.3%	25.0%		12



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6223: Staffing Systems in Organizations

Class Number: 14932

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Mishken, Mark A

Response Rate: 14/16

		No			Yes			Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				92.9%			7.1%	14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				85.7%			14.3%	14
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				14.3%	78.6%	7.1%	14
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6			7.1%	21.4%	64.3%	7.1%	14
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5		7.1%		21.4%	64.3%	7.1%	14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8				21.4%	71.4%	7.1%	14
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4		7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	57.1%	7.1%	14
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5		7.1%		28.6%	57.1%	7.1%	14
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.7				30.8%	61.5%	7.7%	13
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.5			7.7%	30.8%	53.8%	7.7%	13
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.8				15.4%	76.9%	7.7%	13
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.8				23.1%	69.2%	7.7%	13
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.7			7.1%	14.3%	71.4%	7.1%	14
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7				28.6%	64.3%	7.1%	14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5			14.3%	14.3%	64.3%	7.1%	14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6			7.1%	21.4%	64.3%	7.1%	14



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6223: Staffing Systems in Organizations

Class Number: 15376

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Mishken, Mark A

Response Rate: 3/8

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		3
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.7	33.3%				66.7%		3
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%		3
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0					100.0%		3
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0					100.0%		3
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.3				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.3			33.3%		66.7%		3
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	5.0					100.0%		3
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	5.0					100.0%		3
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	5.0					100.0%		3
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	4.0			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.3			33.3%		66.7%		3
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.3				66.7%	33.3%		3
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	4.3				66.7%	33.3%		3
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0		33.3%			66.7%		3



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6223: Staffing Systems in Organizations

Class Number: 15376

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Mishken, Mark A

Response Rate: 3/8

H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0					100.0%		3

Class Number: 19742

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Lewis,James P

Response Rate: 26/30

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning							96.2%	3.8%	26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.0%						96.0%		25
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8		3.8%		11.5%	84.6%			26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7			15.4%	3.8%	80.8%			26
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.6	7.7%	19.2%	7.7%	38.5%	26.9%			26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5		7.7%	11.5%	7.7%	73.1%			26
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5	3.8%	3.8%	3.8%	15.4%	73.1%			26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3		3.8%	19.2%	23.1%	53.8%			26
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q27	This course used humanities or social science perspectives to examine issues tied to science or technology.	4.7			3.8%	26.9%	69.2%			26
Q28	This course helped to improve my written communication skills.	4.2	7.7%		11.5%	26.9%	53.8%			26
Q29	This course helped to improve my oral communication skills.	4.3	7.7%		11.5%	19.2%	61.5%			26
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3		7.7%	11.5%	19.2%	61.5%			26
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6	3.8%	3.8%		11.5%	80.8%			26
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7	3.8%	3.8%	3.8%		88.5%			26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7	3.8%		7.7%		88.5%			26

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society
PS-UY 3694: HUMOR AND MODERN MEDIA

Class Number: 16210

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Lewis, James P

Response Rate: 25/30

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						95.8%	4.2%	24
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				12.0%	88.0%		25
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6			16.0%	8.0%	72.0%	4.0%	25
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.1	4.0%	8.0%	12.0%	28.0%	48.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7				28.0%	72.0%		25
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5		8.0%	4.0%	20.0%	68.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3		12.0%	8.0%	16.0%	64.0%		25
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4		8.0%	12.0%	16.0%	64.0%		25
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6			4.0%	36.0%	60.0%		25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		25



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

PS-UY 3814: Social Psychology of Virtual Worlds

Class Number: 19743

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Lewis, James P

Response Rate: 25/28

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.2%				95.8%			24
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				12.0%	88.0%		25
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8				16.0%	80.0%	4.0%	25
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.3	4.0%		8.0%	40.0%	48.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7			4.0%	20.0%	76.0%		25
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7			4.0%	20.0%	76.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5		4.0%	8.0%	24.0%	64.0%		25
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	This course provided you with helpful feedback on your writing and communication skills.	4.6			9.5%	23.8%	66.7%		21
Q28	This course has taught you how to participate more actively in class discussion.	4.7			4.8%	19.0%	76.2%		21
Q29	This course helped you develop an understanding of how to do library research and evaluate sources.	4.3		4.8%	19.0%	19.0%	52.4%	4.8%	21
Q30	This course helped you understand the impact of technology on society.	4.7			4.8%	23.8%	66.7%	4.8%	21
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6		4.0%	4.0%	16.0%	76.0%		25
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8			4.0%	12.0%	84.0%		25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8			4.0%	8.0%	88.0%		25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.7		4.0%	4.0%	8.0%	84.0%		25
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A20	I have enhanced my ability to acquire and process information from multiple sources	4.5			9.5%	28.6%	61.9%		21
A21	I have enhanced my ability to learn independently.	4.5			14.3%	19.0%	66.7%		21
A25	I have enhanced my ability to articulate ideas in a clear and concise fashion.	4.7			4.8%	19.0%	71.4%	4.8%	21
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	4.4			19.0%	14.3%	57.1%	9.5%	21
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	4.4			19.0%	19.0%	61.9%		21

Class Number: 21036

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Ferrara, Philip

Response Rate: 6/6

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		6
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	20.0%					80.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	20.0%					80.0%		5
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		6
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		6
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7			16.7%		83.3%		6
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7			16.7%		83.3%		6
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					83.3%	16.7%	6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					83.3%	16.7%	6
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0					100.0%		6
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0					100.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		6
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.6				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.6				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	5.0					100.0%		5
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	5.0					100.0%		5
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.6			20.0%		80.0%		5
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.6			20.0%		80.0%		5
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.8				16.7%	83.3%		6
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6163: Job and Workplace Design

Class Number: 21036

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Ferrara, Philip

Response Rate: 6/6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%		6
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0					100.0%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0					100.0%		6

Class Number: 23531

Dept. of Technology Management
MG-GY 6163: Job and Workplace Design

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Lee,Mark

Response Rate: 5/9

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		5
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		5
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		5
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		5
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0					100.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6			20.0%		80.0%		5
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.6				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.4				60.0%	40.0%		5
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	5.0					100.0%		5
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		5
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		5
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		5
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	5.0					100.0%		5
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	5.0					100.0%		5
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		5
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	4.4			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.6			20.0%		80.0%		5
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.6			20.0%		80.0%		5
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	5.0					100.0%		5
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	5.0					100.0%		5
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6				40.0%	60.0%		5

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Class Number: 23531

Dept. of Technology Management
MG-GY 6163: Job and Workplace Design

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Lee,Mark

Response Rate: 5/9

H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0					100.0%		5

Class Number: 16193

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 2224: Data Analysis

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Qian,Jinghua

Response Rate: 5/32

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		5	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		5	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.4				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.6			40.0%	60.0%			5
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.8		20.0%		60.0%	20.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.0		20.0%		40.0%	40.0%		5
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.2				80.0%	20.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	2.8	20.0%	40.0%		20.0%	20.0%		5
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	2.7		20.0%	40.0%			40.0%	5
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	1.6	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%				5
Q29	I need more HW problems.	1.6	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%				5
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0				100.0%			5
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0			20.0%	60.0%	20.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.6		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.8		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5

Class Number: 16194

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 2224: Data Analysis

Section: E

Primary Instructor: Qian,Jinghua

Response Rate: 7/33

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		7	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		7	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					85.7%	14.3%	7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3			28.6%		57.1%	14.3%	7
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.7		14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	14.3%	14.3%	7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.3				57.1%	28.6%	14.3%	7
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8				14.3%	71.4%	14.3%	7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.8			42.9%	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	7
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	3.9			57.1%		42.9%		7
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	2.0	42.9%	28.6%	14.3%	14.3%			7
Q29	I need more HW problems.	2.7	14.3%	42.9%		14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	7
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.3		28.6%	28.6%	28.6%	14.3%		7
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.7		14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					85.7%	14.3%	7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.0		42.9%	28.6%	14.3%	14.3%		7

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society
DM-UY 1113: Audio Foundation Studio

Class Number: 15878

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Kato,Hideki

Response Rate: 19/21

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.3%				94.7%			19
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8				15.8%	84.2%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7		5.3%	5.3%	5.3%	84.2%		19
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.9				5.3%	94.7%		19
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4		10.5%	5.3%	21.1%	63.2%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.4		10.5%	10.5%	5.3%	68.4%	5.3%	19
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6		5.3%	5.3%	15.8%	73.7%		19
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7			5.3%	15.8%	78.9%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5		5.3%	5.3%	21.1%	68.4%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5		5.3%	10.5%	15.8%	68.4%		19

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society
DM-UY 1113: Audio Foundation Studio

Class Number: 20948

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Kato,Hideki

Response Rate: 19/19

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.3%				94.7%			19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					94.7%		5.3%	19
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7			5.3%	15.8%	78.9%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7			5.3%	21.1%	73.7%		19
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.9			5.3%		94.7%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.9				5.3%	94.7%		19
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.4		5.3%	10.5%	21.1%	63.2%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.2			21.1%	36.8%	42.1%		19
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3			15.8%	36.8%	47.4%		19
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.2			31.6%	15.8%	52.6%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.3		10.5%	10.5%	15.8%	63.2%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3		10.5%	5.3%	31.6%	52.6%		19

		<i>No</i>				<i>Yes</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		17
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				5.9%	94.1%	17
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%	17
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.9				5.9%	94.1%	17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.9				12.5%	87.5%	16
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.9				11.8%	88.2%	17
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0					100.0%	17
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.9				7.1%	92.9%	14
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.8				21.4%	78.6%	14
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.9			7.1%		92.9%	14
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.9			7.1%		92.9%	14
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.9				5.9%	94.1%	17
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.9				11.8%	88.2%	17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%	17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9			5.9%		94.1%	17

Class Number: 20792

Dept. of Technology Management
IE-GY 6063: Work Design and Measurement

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Soukup,David

Response Rate: 2/7

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		2	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		2	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		2
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	3.5		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	3.5		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%		2
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%		2
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%		2
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%		2
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%		2
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%		2
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%		2
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.5		50.0%			50.0%		2
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.5		50.0%			50.0%		2
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	5.0					100.0%		2
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%		2
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.5			50.0%	50.0%			2



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Class Number: 20792

Dept. of Technology Management
IE-GY 6063: Work Design and Measurement

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Soukup,David

Response Rate: 2/7

H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.5		50.0%			50.0%		2

		No			Yes			Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				100.0%				1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				100.0%				1
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.0			100.0%				1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		1
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.0			100.0%				1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%		1
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.0			100.0%				1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.0			100.0%				1
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	5.0					100.0%		1
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	1.0	100.0%						1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	1.0	100.0%						1

Class Number: 16123

General Studies

GS-UY 104: STUDY SKILLS

Section: C

Primary Instructor: Owens,Mary Rayven

Response Rate: 2/14

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		2
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0				100.0%		2
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%	2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0				100.0%		2
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0				100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0				100.0%		2
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	5.0				100.0%		2
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%	2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0				100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%	2



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

IE-GY 6193: Production Planning and Control

Class Number: 15006

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Gadzic, Andrew

Response Rate: 25/30

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		24
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				8.0%	92.0%		25
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8			4.0%	8.0%	88.0%		25
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6		4.0%		28.0%	68.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.9			4.0%	4.0%	92.0%		25
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8				20.0%	76.0%	4.0%	25
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8				16.0%	80.0%	4.0%	25
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.8				24.0%	72.0%	4.0%	25
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.8				20.0%	76.0%	4.0%	25
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.8				20.0%	76.0%	4.0%	25
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.8			4.0%	12.0%	80.0%	4.0%	25
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.7				28.0%	68.0%	4.0%	25
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.9			4.0%	4.0%	88.0%	4.0%	25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9				8.0%	88.0%	4.0%	25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9				8.0%	88.0%	4.0%	25



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 8643: NEW PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT

Class Number: 14924

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Paguaga,James

Response Rate: 21/25

		No			Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		21	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		20	
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				4.8%	95.2%		21
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.9			4.8%	4.8%	90.5%		21
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0				4.8%	95.2%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.9				9.5%	90.5%		21
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		21
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.9				9.5%	90.5%		21
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.8			4.8%	9.5%	85.7%		21
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.8				23.8%	76.2%		21
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	5.0					100.0%		21
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		21
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5			4.8%	38.1%	57.1%		21
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0				4.8%	95.2%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9			4.8%	4.8%	90.5%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8			4.8%	9.5%	85.7%		21



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6083: ECONOMICS

Class Number: 25043

Section: MOT2

Primary Instructor: VanDerwerken,Angela Marie

Response Rate: 17/17

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						94.1%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						94.1%		17
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5		11.8%		17.6%	70.6%		17
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5	5.9%	5.9%		11.8%	76.5%		17
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8				17.6%	82.4%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8			5.9%	11.8%	82.4%		17
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6		5.9%		23.5%	70.6%		17
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.8			5.9%	5.9%	88.2%		17
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.4		6.7%	6.7%	26.7%	60.0%		15
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.5	6.7%		6.7%	13.3%	73.3%		15
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.4	6.7%			33.3%	60.0%		15
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.4	6.7%			33.3%	60.0%		15
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6			5.9%	29.4%	64.7%		17
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6	5.9%			17.6%	76.5%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6	5.9%		5.9%	5.9%	82.4%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8			5.9%	5.9%	88.2%		17



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM-UY 1014: GENERAL CHEMISTRY I

Class Number: 15963

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Garetz, Bruce A

Response Rate: 52/68

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					98.1%	1.9%	52	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		51	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				9.6%	90.4%		52
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.4			19.2%	17.3%	63.5%		52
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.4		5.8%	11.5%	17.3%	63.5%	1.9%	52
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.6		3.8%	3.8%	19.2%	69.2%	3.8%	52
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7		1.9%	1.9%	25.0%	71.2%		52
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3		7.7%	9.6%	25.0%	55.8%	1.9%	52
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5		3.8%	7.7%	23.1%	63.5%	1.9%	52
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.5		1.9%	9.6%	26.9%	59.6%	1.9%	52
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.8		1.9%		13.5%	84.6%		52
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6		1.9%	7.7%	17.3%	73.1%		52
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	4.3	2.1%		8.3%	41.7%	47.9%		48
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	4.3	2.1%	2.1%	8.3%	37.5%	50.0%		48
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	4.0	4.2%		29.2%	25.0%	41.7%		48
X. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6		2.1%	4.2%	27.1%	66.7%		48
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	4.2		6.3%	18.8%	27.1%	47.9%		48
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.3	4.3%		6.4%	38.3%	48.9%	2.1%	47
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	4.1	4.3%	6.4%	14.9%	27.7%	46.8%		47



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Class Number: 2023B-Tsifrinovich,Vladimir

Section: Lecture

Primary Instructor: Tsifrinovich,Vladimir

Response Rate: 53/66

		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp				
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	1.9%	98.1%		53				
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		96.1%	3.9%	51				
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5			13.2%	24.5%	58.5%	3.8%	53
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.7	3.8%	5.7%	37.7%	15.1%	34.0%	3.8%	53
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.2	1.9%	1.9%	15.1%	34.0%	47.2%		53
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4		1.9%	9.4%	35.8%	52.8%		53
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.1	3.8%	3.8%	15.1%	37.7%	39.6%		53
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1	3.8%	7.5%	13.2%	28.3%	45.3%	1.9%	53
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.1	1.9%	5.7%	15.1%	39.6%	37.7%		53
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3			11.3%	45.3%	43.4%		53
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.6	9.4%	11.3%	20.8%	22.6%	35.8%		53
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.1		7.5%	17.0%	35.8%	37.7%	1.9%	53

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Class Number: 16129

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 4603: Special Topics in Physics

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Tsifrinovich, Vladimir

Response Rate: 7/9

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		7
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.4			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		7
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.7				28.6%	71.4%		7
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.9				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0					100.0%		7



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering
EL-GY 5213: Introduction to Systems Engineering

Class Number: 21063

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Zhu,Quanyan

Response Rate: 17/20

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		5.9%			94.1%			17
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.0		5.9%	23.5%	29.4%	35.3%	5.9%	17
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.7	5.9%	11.8%	23.5%	17.6%	35.3%	5.9%	17
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7			5.9%	17.6%	76.5%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5			11.8%	23.5%	64.7%		17
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.6	12.5%	6.3%	18.8%	31.3%	31.3%		16
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1		6.3%	25.0%	25.0%	43.8%		16
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	3.5	5.9%	17.6%	17.6%	35.3%	23.5%		17
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	3.4	17.6%	11.8%	17.6%	23.5%	29.4%		17
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	3.8	5.9%	11.8%	17.6%	23.5%	41.2%		17
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	3.6	5.9%	17.6%	23.5%	17.6%	35.3%		17
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	3.9		17.6%	5.9%	41.2%	35.3%		17
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	3.7		23.5%	17.6%	23.5%	35.3%		17
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	3.8		29.4%	5.9%	23.5%	41.2%		17
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	3.7		6.3%	50.0%		37.5%	6.3%	16
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.7		5.9%	47.1%	11.8%	29.4%	5.9%	17
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	3.6		5.9%	52.9%	5.9%	29.4%	5.9%	17
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	3.9	5.9%	5.9%	23.5%	17.6%	47.1%		17
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	3.8	5.9%	5.9%	29.4%	17.6%	41.2%		17
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.9	5.9%	5.9%	17.6%	29.4%	41.2%		17
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.4	11.8%	23.5%	11.8%	17.6%	35.3%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.0		11.8%	17.6%	29.4%	41.2%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.8	11.8%	5.9%	11.8%	29.4%	41.2%		17

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering
EL-GY 6233: System Optimization Method

Class Number: 15639

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Zhu, Quanyan

Response Rate: 15/18

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		6.7%			93.3%			15
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8		6.7%			93.3%		15
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3		6.7%	13.3%	20.0%	60.0%		15
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4		6.7%	6.7%	20.0%	60.0%	6.7%	15
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8			6.7%	6.7%	86.7%		15
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7		6.7%		6.7%	86.7%		15
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.3		53.3%		13.3%	33.3%		15
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.3		6.7%	13.3%	26.7%	53.3%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.5			6.7%	40.0%	53.3%		15

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering
EE-UY 2233: Introduction to Probability

Class Number: 16299

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Pillai,Unnikrishna

Response Rate: 27/57

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.7%					92.6%	3.7%	27
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.8%					92.3%	3.8%	26
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3		7.4%	11.1%	25.9%	51.9%	3.7%	27
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.7	7.4%	3.7%	29.6%	22.2%	33.3%	3.7%	27
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.9	11.1%		11.1%	37.0%	37.0%	3.7%	27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.7	7.4%	7.4%	14.8%	40.7%	25.9%	3.7%	27
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.5	3.7%	11.1%	25.9%	40.7%	14.8%	3.7%	27
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.5	3.7%	18.5%	29.6%	18.5%	25.9%	3.7%	27
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.5	7.4%	22.2%	7.4%	37.0%	22.2%	3.7%	27
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.7	3.7%	18.5%	14.8%	29.6%	29.6%	3.7%	27
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.2	11.1%	14.8%	29.6%	18.5%	18.5%	7.4%	27
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.5	3.7%	14.8%	29.6%	29.6%	18.5%	3.7%	27
H3. TA Evaluation		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	4.2			23.1%	30.8%	42.3%	3.8%	26
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	4.2		3.8%	11.5%	46.2%	34.6%	3.8%	26
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	4.3			15.4%	34.6%	46.2%	3.8%	26

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.7%						92.6%	3.7%	27
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.8%						92.3%	3.8%	26
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3		7.4%	11.1%	25.9%	51.9%	3.7%	27	
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.7	7.4%	3.7%	29.6%	22.2%	33.3%	3.7%	27	
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.9	11.1%		11.1%	37.0%	37.0%	3.7%	27	
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.7	7.4%	7.4%	14.8%	40.7%	25.9%	3.7%	27	
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.5	3.7%	11.1%	25.9%	40.7%	14.8%	3.7%	27	
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.5	3.7%	18.5%	29.6%	18.5%	25.9%	3.7%	27	
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.5	7.4%	22.2%	7.4%	37.0%	22.2%	3.7%	27	
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.7	3.7%	18.5%	14.8%	29.6%	29.6%	3.7%	27	
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.2	11.1%	14.8%	29.6%	18.5%	18.5%	7.4%	27	
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.5	3.7%	14.8%	29.6%	29.6%	18.5%	3.7%	27	
H3. TA Evaluation		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	4.2			23.1%	30.8%	42.3%	3.8%	26	
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	4.2		3.8%	11.5%	46.2%	34.6%	3.8%	26	
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	4.3			15.4%	34.6%	46.2%	3.8%	26	

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 6411: Fixed Income Securities and Interest Rate Derivatives

Class Number: 15279

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Mandel,Shlomo

Response Rate: 5/18

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		5
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.0		20.0%		40.0%	40.0%		5
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.6	20.0%		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.2			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6				40.0%	60.0%		5
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.6				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.6				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.6				40.0%	60.0%		5

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 6571: Asset-backed Securities and Securitization

Class Number: 14993

Section: I1

Primary Instructor: Mandel,Shlomo

Response Rate: 3/10

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		3	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		3	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3				66.7%	33.3%		3
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.3				66.7%	33.3%		3
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.3				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3				66.7%	33.3%		3



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 2114: Calculus III: Multi-Dimensional Calculus

Class Number: 16192

Section: E

Primary Instructor: Manocha, Harvansh

Response Rate: 5/24

		No		Yes		Decline To Answer		# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning			100.0%				5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.			100.0%				5
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	3.8		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.4	20.0%		40.0%		40.0%	5
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0			40.0%	20.0%	40.0%	5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.2		60.0%			40.0%	5
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0		20.0%	20.0%		60.0%	5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.6			20.0%		80.0%	5
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	4.2			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%	5
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	2.6	40.0%		40.0%		20.0%	5
Q29	I need more HW problems.	1.8	80.0%				20.0%	5
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.0	20.0%	20.0%		60.0%		5
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.8		40.0%			60.0%	5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.0	40.0%		20.0%		40.0%	5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.8		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	5



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 2114: Calculus III: Multi-Dimensional Calculus

Class Number: 20012

Section: A2

Primary Instructor: Manocha, Harvansh

Response Rate: 6/26

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					83.3%	16.7%	6	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					83.3%	16.7%	6	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.0		16.7%		50.0%	33.3%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.8	16.7%		16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		6
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.3			66.7%	33.3%			6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.3	16.7%	16.7%		50.0%	16.7%		6
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.0	33.3%			66.7%			6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.2		33.3%	16.7%	50.0%			6
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	3.2	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%		6
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	2.5	50.0%		16.7%	16.7%	16.7%		6
Q29	I need more HW problems.	2.2	33.3%	16.7%	50.0%				6
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	2.7	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	33.3%			6
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.2	16.7%	16.7%		66.7%			6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.7	16.7%			66.7%	16.7%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.2	33.3%		16.7%	16.7%	33.3%		6

Class Number: 15361

Dept. of Technology Management
MG-GY 8203: PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Bhatia,Ravi

Response Rate: 15/19

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		15
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5			6.7%	33.3%	60.0%		15
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.3		6.7%	6.7%	40.0%	46.7%		15
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.9		26.7%		26.7%	46.7%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4			13.3%	33.3%	53.3%		15
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.6				40.0%	60.0%		15
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7				26.7%	73.3%		15
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	4.1		13.3%	13.3%	20.0%	53.3%		15
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	4.3			13.3%	40.0%	46.7%		15
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	4.2		6.7%	13.3%	33.3%	46.7%		15
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	4.7				33.3%	66.7%		15
E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	4.4		6.7%		40.0%	53.3%		15
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	4.3		13.3%	6.7%	13.3%	66.7%		15
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	4.5				53.3%	46.7%		15
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	4.3		13.3%		33.3%	53.3%		15
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	4.2		6.7%	20.0%	20.0%	53.3%		15
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	4.3		6.7%		46.7%	46.7%		15
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	4.2		6.7%	6.7%	46.7%	40.0%		15
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	4.2			26.7%	26.7%	46.7%		15
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.4			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		15
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	4.4			13.3%	33.3%	53.3%		15
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	3.9	13.3%	13.3%	6.7%	6.7%	60.0%		15
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	3.9	13.3%	6.7%	13.3%	13.3%	53.3%		15
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3		6.7%		46.7%	46.7%		15



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 8203: PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 15361

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Bhatia,Ravi

Response Rate: 15/19

H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.1		13.3%	6.7%	40.0%	40.0%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.3			26.7%	20.0%	53.3%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.3			20.0%	33.3%	46.7%		15

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Class Number: 15396

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 6331: FINANCIAL RISK MANAGEMENT AND OPTIMIZATION

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Totouom Tangho, Daniel H

Response Rate: 1/14

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		1
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		1
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%		1
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0					100.0%		1
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	5.0					100.0%		1
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0					100.0%		1

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering
FRE-GY 7121: Statistical Arbitrage

Class Number: 15491

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Totouom Tangho, Daniel H

Response Rate: 1/13

		<i>No</i>					<i>Yes</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		1
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	5.0					100.0%		1
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%		1
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	5.0					100.0%		1
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	5.0					100.0%		1
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0					100.0%		1



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Class Number: 14904

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering
FRE-GY 6041: Extreme Risk Analytics

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Taleb,Nassim N

Response Rate: 9/30

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	11.1%				66.7%	22.2%	9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	22.2%				55.6%	22.2%	9
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%	9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.1	11.1%	11.1%		11.1%	66.7%	9
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.6	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	33.3%	9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.0	11.1%	11.1%		11.1%	55.6%	9
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.1	11.1%	11.1%			66.7%	9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.1	11.1%	11.1%			66.7%	9
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.3		11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	66.7%	9
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.3	11.1%		11.1%		77.8%	9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.2	11.1%	11.1%			77.8%	9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.2	11.1%	11.1%			77.8%	9



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering
ME-GY 6703: LINEAR CONTROL THEORY AND DESIGN I

Class Number: 15208

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Rizzo, Alessandro

Response Rate: 53/55

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning							100.0%		53
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	1.9%						98.1%		53
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.9				5.7%	94.3%			53
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.6		1.9%	7.5%	18.9%	71.7%			53
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.6		1.9%	7.5%	18.9%	69.8%	1.9%		53
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8		1.9%		11.3%	86.8%			53
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7		3.8%	1.9%	18.9%	75.5%			53
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5		3.8%	7.5%	24.5%	64.2%			53
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6		1.9%	3.8%	24.5%	69.8%			53
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8		1.9%	1.9%	15.1%	81.1%			53
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.9		1.9%		7.5%	90.6%			53
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8		1.9%		18.9%	79.2%			53

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME-GY 6923: Simulation Tools and Software for Mechatronics and Robotics

Class Number: 20098

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Rizzo,Alessandro

Response Rate: 19/21

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	10.5%				89.5%			19
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				100.0%			19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.8			5.3%	10.5%	84.2%		19
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.8			5.3%	5.3%	89.5%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8				15.8%	84.2%		19
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.8				21.1%	78.9%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7			5.3%	21.1%	73.7%		19
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6			5.3%	31.6%	63.2%		19
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.8			5.3%	10.5%	84.2%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0				100.0%			19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.9				5.3%	94.7%		19



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering
ME-UY 3413: Automatic Control

Class Number: 16108

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Rizzo, Alessandro

Response Rate: 24/25

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					95.8%	4.2%	24	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					95.7%	4.3%	23	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.7			8.3%	16.7%	70.8%	4.2%	24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.2			29.2%	20.8%	50.0%		24
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.2		8.3%	12.5%	33.3%	45.8%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.1		12.5%	8.3%	29.2%	45.8%	4.2%	24
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.8	8.3%	20.8%	4.2%	16.7%	50.0%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.9		17.4%	17.4%	21.7%	39.1%	4.3%	23
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned mathematical modeling and analysis of dynamic systems (e.g., mechanical, aerospace, electrical, robotic, thermo-fluid, and vibratory systems).	4.2		8.3%	16.7%	16.7%	54.2%	4.2%	24
Q28	Learned basic concepts of feedback control systems and acquired the necessary tools to design control systems using computer simulations.	4.0		16.7%	16.7%	8.3%	54.2%	4.2%	24
Q29	Learned and utilized specific tools to design feedback controllers for electro-mechanical systems.	4.0		16.7%	16.7%	12.5%	50.0%	4.2%	24
Q30	Learned about contemporary issues in the field of automatic control.	3.6		16.7%	29.2%	25.0%	25.0%	4.2%	24
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.0		16.7%	12.5%	29.2%	41.7%		24
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.4	4.2%		8.3%	25.0%	62.5%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.0	4.2%	4.2%	20.8%	25.0%	45.8%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.1	8.3%	4.2%	12.5%	12.5%	58.3%	4.2%	24
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	3.9		16.7%	12.5%	37.5%	33.3%		24
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	4.0		12.5%	16.7%	25.0%	45.8%		24
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	3.5	4.2%	16.7%	29.2%	20.8%	29.2%		24
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	3.9		12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	37.5%		24

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-GY 6083: Principles of Database Systems

Class Number: 14830

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Suel,Torsten

Response Rate: 41/64

		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			41
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		5.0%			95.0%			40
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.8		2.4%	2.4%	9.8%	85.4%		41
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7	2.4%		2.4%	12.2%	82.9%		41
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.9	9.8%	9.8%	9.8%	24.4%	46.3%		41
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.4	2.4%	4.9%	2.4%	34.1%	56.1%		41
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.7		2.4%	2.4%	22.0%	73.2%		41
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7			2.4%	22.0%	75.6%		41
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4		2.4%	12.2%	29.3%	56.1%		41
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.9			2.4%	4.9%	92.7%		41
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.7	2.4%		2.4%	12.2%	82.9%		41
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	4.8			2.4%	17.1%	80.5%		41

Class Number: 20036

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
CS-GY 6913: Web Search Engines

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Suel,Torsten

Response Rate: 14/23

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		14
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0				100.0%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.7			14.3%	85.7%		14
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	5.0				100.0%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.8		7.1%		92.9%		14
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	5.0				100.0%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.7			14.3%	85.7%		14
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.6				42.9%	57.1%	14
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	5.0				100.0%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	5.0				100.0%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	5.0				100.0%		14

Class Number: 15974

Dept. of Mathematics
MA-UY 1054: Calculus I with Pre-calculus

Section: D

Primary Instructor: Gbedemah,Amakoe

Response Rate: 4/28

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%			4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%			4
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.0			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.0		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.3	25.0%	25.0%			50.0%		4
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0			50.0%		50.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	3.8		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	4.0			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
Q29	I need more HW problems.	3.3		25.0%	50.0%		25.0%		4
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.0	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%		4
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.3	25.0%	25.0%			50.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.5	25.0%		25.0%		50.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.3	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

Class Number: 15988

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 1154: Calculus II with Pre-calculus

Section: F

Primary Instructor: Gbedemah,Amakoe

Response Rate: 3/18

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp	
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		3	
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		3	
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5				33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.5				33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	3
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5				33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.5				33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	3
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.5				33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.5				33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	3
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	4.5				33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	3.5			33.3%	33.3%		33.3%	3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	2.5		33.3%	33.3%			33.3%	3
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.5				33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	3
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.5			33.3%	33.3%		33.3%	3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.5				33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.5		33.3%			33.3%	33.3%	3

Class Number: 14906

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering
FRE-GY 6103: Corporate Finance

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Bernard,Lucas

Response Rate: 8/22

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning							100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%						87.5%		8
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.3	12.5%			25.0%	62.5%			8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.9	12.5%		25.0%	12.5%	50.0%			8
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.5	12.5%				87.5%			8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%			8
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.9	12.5%	12.5%		25.0%	50.0%			8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0	14.3%		14.3%	14.3%	57.1%			7
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	4.4			25.0%		62.5%	12.5%		8
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	4.0	12.5%			50.0%	37.5%			8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	4.0	12.5%		25.0%		62.5%			8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.6	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%		50.0%			8

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk & Engineering

FRE-GY 7801: TOPICS IN FINANCE AND FINANCIAL MARKETS I

Class Number: 15613

Section: L1

Primary Instructor: Zissu, Anne Marie

Response Rate: 1/14

		<i>No</i>			<i>Yes</i>			<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				100.0%				1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				100.0%				1
B. The Lecture Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.0				100.0%			1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	4.0				100.0%			1
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.0			100.0%				1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	3.0			100.0%				1
D. Instruction		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0				100.0%			1
G1. Course Difficulty		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.0			100.0%				1
H. Overall Evaluations		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.0			100.0%				1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	2.0		100.0%					1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	2.0		100.0%					1

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Class Number: 15933

Section: A2RC

Primary Instructor: Shopova,Siyka

Response Rate: 22/25

X. The Recitation Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6			4.5%	27.3%	68.2%		22
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	4.1			31.8%	18.2%	45.5%	4.5%	22
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.3		9.1%	4.5%	31.8%	54.5%		22
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	4.5		9.1%		27.3%	63.6%		22



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Class Number: 19587

Section: C4RC

Primary Instructor: Shopova,Siyka

Response Rate: 4/7

X. The Recitation Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	5.0					100.0%		3
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	5.0					100.0%		3
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	5.0					100.0%		3
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	5.0					100.0%		3

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Class Number: 19591

Section: D2RC

Primary Instructor: Shopova,Siyka

Response Rate: 21/24

X. The Recitation Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.2			14.3%	47.6%	28.6%	9.5%	21
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	3.8			28.6%	47.6%	14.3%	9.5%	21
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	3.8		9.5%	19.0%	42.9%	19.0%	9.5%	21
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	3.4	4.8%	9.5%	33.3%	28.6%	14.3%	9.5%	21

		No						Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies										
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.1%						86.4%	8.5%	59
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	10.5%						84.2%	5.3%	57
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.0	8.6%	8.6%	6.9%	25.9%	50.0%			58
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	3.6	8.6%	8.6%	32.8%	17.2%	32.8%			58
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.1	6.9%	6.9%	12.1%	19.0%	55.2%			58
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	4.1	3.4%	8.6%	6.9%	32.8%	48.3%			58
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	3.6	5.2%	17.2%	10.3%	39.7%	25.9%		1.7%	58
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0	3.4%	5.2%	19.0%	31.0%	41.4%			58
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.7	1.7%	19.0%	13.8%	39.7%	25.9%			58
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree		Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.8	5.2%	10.3%	10.3%	48.3%	25.9%			58
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	3.2	20.7%	20.7%	8.6%	19.0%	29.3%		1.7%	58
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.5	8.6%	6.9%	29.3%	31.0%	22.4%		1.7%	58



Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMICS

Class Number: 19597

Section: B3RC

Primary Instructor: Shopova,Siyka

Response Rate: 15/21

X. The Recitation Instructor		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.6				40.0%	60.0%		15
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	4.1		6.7%	20.0%	26.7%	40.0%	6.7%	15
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	4.1		6.7%	13.3%	40.0%	40.0%		15
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	4.3		6.7%	13.3%	26.7%	53.3%		15

Class Number: 16238

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Shopova,Siyka

Response Rate: 24/27

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.4		4.3%	8.7%	26.1%	60.9%		23
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.2	12.5%		4.2%	25.0%	58.3%		24
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.5		4.2%	4.2%	29.2%	62.5%		24
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.6			8.7%	21.7%	69.6%		23
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	3.9	4.2%	12.5%	8.3%	41.7%	33.3%		24
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	4.1	4.2%		16.7%	37.5%	41.7%		24
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	4.0	8.3%		12.5%	37.5%	41.7%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	4.0	4.3%	8.7%	4.3%	52.2%	30.4%		23
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.8		20.8%	12.5%	37.5%	29.2%		24
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	4.1	4.2%	4.2%	12.5%	37.5%	41.7%		24
Q40	Value was added to my education.	3.6	16.7%	8.3%	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	3.7	8.3%	12.5%	20.8%	20.8%	37.5%		24
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	4.2	4.5%	9.1%		36.4%	50.0%		22
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	4.0		18.2%	9.1%	31.8%	40.9%		22

Fall 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Class Number: 16240

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Shopova,Siyka

Response Rate: 21/22

		<i>Avg Of Results</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Moderately Disagree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Moderately Agree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Decline To Answer</i>	<i># Resp</i>
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	4.2		9.5%	4.8%	42.9%	38.1%	4.8%	21
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	4.0		9.5%	9.5%	52.4%	23.8%	4.8%	21
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	4.0		9.5%	9.5%	47.6%	28.6%	4.8%	21
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	4.2		5.0%	10.0%	45.0%	35.0%	5.0%	20
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	3.5		23.8%	9.5%	52.4%	9.5%	4.8%	21
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	3.6	4.8%	14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	19.0%	4.8%	21
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	2.9	10.0%	25.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5.0%	20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	3.5	14.3%	14.3%	4.8%	38.1%	23.8%	4.8%	21
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	3.3		23.8%	23.8%	47.6%		4.8%	21
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	3.2	9.5%	23.8%	4.8%	52.4%	4.8%	4.8%	21
Q40	Value was added to my education.	2.9	9.5%	23.8%	28.6%	33.3%		4.8%	21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	2.5	19.0%	28.6%	23.8%	23.8%		4.8%	21
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	3.8		14.3%		71.4%	9.5%	4.8%	21
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	3.8		9.5%	9.5%	71.4%	4.8%	4.8%	21